

UFO

The Truth is Already Here

monthly.com

ISSUE NUMBER 33

FEBRUARY 2007

THE CHICAGO O'HARE AIRPORT UFO SIGHTING AND THE MEDIA CIRCUS



THE NICK POPE INTERVIEW: PART 2

Available in the UK and Overseas with direct purchase via PAYPAL

CONTACT DETAILS

You can contact the magazine by regular mail or by telephoning:

01924 864614

or

07791 525355

(GMT office hours please).

The postal address is:

UFO Monthly.com

8 Ashdene Drive

Crofton

Wakefield WF41PQ

Or by email at:

heseltinegary@hotmail.com



For more information visit the website:

www.ufomonthlymagazine.co.uk

With your help and input my aim is to bring you a low cost quality publication that represents value for money.

GARY HESELTINE Editor

WE ARE NOT ALONE.



SUBSCRIBE FREE AND LEARN THE TRUTH ON

www.interseti.com



EDITORIAL

Welcome to the 33rd issue of UFO Monthly.com. This issue contains a variety of articles for your enjoyment.

From the recent Chicago O'Hare Airport sighting to the second batch of PRUFOS statistics I hope you enjoy the magazine. . **GH.**

CONTENTS

4. The second batch of statistical analysis from the recently released 5th PRUFOS police database report 2006. It makes for interesting reading.

5. The Chicago O'Hare Airport sighting and the surrounding media circus that has followed.



11. A roundup of the latest sightings from around the UK.


12. The Nick Pope interview—Part three.

15. The latest batch of bizarre images taken by George Warner over Portsmouth.

18. Two more archive documents for your collection. This time

relating to the abduction phenomena.

20. A roundup of the latest



sightings from around the world.

29. A classic UFO from the Apollo space program for your collection. It has never been explained.

Enjoy the magazine. GH.



THE 5TH PRUFOS POLICE DATABASE REPORT—THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS 2007

1. The top five UFO Shapes 3RD KIND) – 2
are: Light 104

Sphere 23

Cigar 21

Triangular 13

Saucer 13

2. UFO Classification
breakdown: Category –
On Duty:

NL (NOCTURNAL LIGHT) –
148

DD (DAYLIGHT DISC) – 5
CE1 (CLOSE ENCOUNTER
1ST KIND) – 21

CE2 (CLOSE ENCOUNTER
2ND KIND) – 3

CE3 (CLOSE ENCOUNTER
3RD KIND) – 1

3. UFO Classification
breakdown: Category –
Off Duty:

NL (NOCTURNAL LIGHT) –
17

DD (DAYLIGHT DISC) – 4
CE1 (CLOSE ENCOUNTER
1ST KIND) – 12

CE2 (CLOSE ENCOUNTER
2ND KIND) – 0

CE3 (CLOSE ENCOUNTER

4. There are 20 multiple
UFO cases.

5. There are 2 cases that
involve USOs (Underwater
Submerged Objects).

6. There are 6 cases that
involve radar confirmation
or radar visual.

7. UFO Characteristics: I
intend to do more research
in this area in the form of
graphical charts and
spreadsheets but already
some interesting aspects
stand out. For example
when one examines the
'On Duty' CE1 (CLOSE
ENCOUNTER 1ST KIND)
of the 21 cases in this
category, 12 display the
UFO characteristic of
being silent when being
observed.

8. UFO Shapes:
Breakdown in CE1 (CLOSE
ENCOUNTER OF 1ST KIND)
category:

Cigar 7

Light 5

Saucer 4

Oval 1

Diamond 1

Bell 1

Fiery Cross 1

Shape change –
Cigar/Sphere 1

9. Multiple officer
breakdown in CE1 (CLOSE
ENCOUNTER 1ST KIND)
category:

Of the 21 cases in this
category 14 are multiple
police officer witness
sightings i.e. 67%.

*Note – the UFO
Classifications are based
on Dr J Allen Hynek's
classification criteria in
his book 'The UFO
Experience' (1972).

I have extended the range
of CE1 cases from Hynek's
150 metres (distance from
the witness to the UFO) to
400 metres for the purpose
of this analysis.

I intend to release this in-
formation to the national
media at the beginning of
February.

It will be interesting to see
what they make of it!

GARY HESELTINE © 2006

UFO IN THE CLOUDS-THE SIGHTING AT CHICAGO O'HARE AIRPORT



The following is a snapshot of newspaper reports about the sighting at Chicago O'Hare Airport, USA.

The sighting allegedly took place in November 2006 but it was not picked up by the media until January 2007.

Since then there has been a constant stream of reports about the sighting being posted on the internet.

Last week rumoured images of the UFO were posted on the net that appear to corroborate the reports made by various airport baggage handlers and pilots. GH.

1. Posted: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 4:55 PM by Alan Boyle

More than 3,000 reports of unidentified flying objects were sent to the National UFO Reporting Center over the past year - but not one has generated as much buzz as November's sighting at Chicago's O'Hare International Airport. Was it a metallic looking, saucer shaped object rising through the clouds, or nothing more than a meteorological oddity?

It's hard to figure out whether the truth is really out there, but one thing is for sure: Clouds can do some positively alien-looking

things.

Peter Davenport, the UFO center's director, says the buzz over the O'Hare sighting is fully justified.

"In my opinion, because I know the quality of the witnesses, and because I know the nature of the documents that were generated, it is one of the most dramatic cases of the year 2006 that this center has handled," Davenport told me today from the center's headquarters in Washington state.

On the other side, NBC News space analyst James Oberg - a long time UFO sceptic - says the evidence that's come to light so far isn't all that compelling. "It's just sad that we keep getting these reports which are of zero evidential value," he told me. "It's sad because there's a lot of strange stuff in the air that we do need to know." Davenport's center put out the first reports on the O'Hare sighting weeks ago, but the report really picked up traction over the past weekend, when The Associated Press picked up a Chicago Tribune story about the case.

Here are the basics: Employees at O'Hare reported seeing a dark grey, seemingly spinning disc hovering above Concourse C - at an estimated altitude of hundreds of feet, close to the cloud cover. The disc appeared

to fly up at a rapid rate, leaving behind a hole in the clouds. The Federal Aviation Administration acknowledged that it received a sighting report, but agency spokeswoman Elizabeth Isham Cory said no further follow-up was planned.

"Our theory on this is that it was a weather phenomenon," she told the Tribune. "That night was a perfect atmospheric condition in terms of low ceiling and a lot of airport lights. When the lights shine up into the clouds, sometimes you can see funny things."

Case closed? Not so fast, Davenport said.

"I am certain that the airline and the FAA are now attempting to conceal the true nature of the incident," Davenport said.

So far, about a dozen witnesses all affiliated with the airport or airlines have surfaced, according to the Tribune. Davenport said he's not yet aware of any reports from outsiders.

"Trying to find the actual eyewitnesses is very difficult," he said. "My suspicion is that there are a great many more. ... You ask, are there other witnesses? My response is, almost certainly. How do we find them, and how do we get them to come forward?" One of the airport witnesses

did take a photo of the phenomenon, but is reluctant to make it public out of concern for his job, Davenport said. "So far, over almost two months, we've been unable to get that," he said.

I have a feeling that even photographic evidence wouldn't settle the case. There are so many weird atmospheric phenomena out there that even crystal-clear pictures could be interpreted either as UFOs or as cloud patterns. For example, check out this roundup of Lenticular clouds (offered with a big tip o' the Log to Phil Plait's bad astronomy blog). Even knowing what they are, you'd be hard-pressed not to see them as flying saucers worthy of a Stephen Spielberg blockbuster.

The idea that the disk left a hole in the clouds might sound like an atmospheric vortex phenomenon - perhaps like the vortices created when airplanes zoom through clouds. This web page includes a video of an airplane leaving a dark, indistinct vortex in its wake. Other weird phenomena are reminiscent of smoke rings.

When I suggested that the O'Hare incident might have been a vortex created by an airplane rising through the clouds, Davenport shot me right down.

"You can conjecture all day long on that point if you wish to do so, but it's futile in this case," he said. "First of all, airplanes don't fly over [terminal] gates, they fly over runways. So your surmise, I think, is not appropriate in this case. ... This object was seen by many people to accelerate so fast and go straight up in the clouds that their eyes were unable to follow it."

To be fair, Davenport's center has been taking such cases seriously for 32 years

longer than I've been a professional journalist. So who am I to question the reports, particularly when they seem so authoritative?

The O'Hare incident is being taken more seriously than most sightings because the reports are coming from aviation professionals rather than untrained onlookers. But Oberg argues that the professionals don't always make the best eyewitnesses because they tend to favour flight-related explanations for what they see.

"NTSB investigators say that the worst observers of an aviation accident are aviation personnel," Oberg said. "It's because a pilot will usually want to understand what happened, and in his initial perceptions and later retellings will stress the facts that support his initial interpretation."

Oberg pointed to a couple of case studies in pilot misperception, investigated in detail years ago. And just for good measure, he passed along Web links to a Russian UFO report from 2001 that sounds similar to the O'Hare incident, plus the solution to a UFO mystery that came up just last week in Europe.

Oberg said the European case was particularly instructive, because the specifics about the mysterious glow in the sky helped investigators quickly figure out that it was most likely a cloud trail left behind by a Russian rocket launch. Without such specifics, the O'Hare incident may turn out to be little more than another "missed opportunity," Oberg said.

EDITORIAL COMMENT

The following report is from the National UFO Reporting Center who first brought the story to the public. **GH.**

SIGHTING OF DISC SHAPED OBJECT OVER O'HARE AIRPORT, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, AT 16:30 HRS. (CENTRAL) ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 07, 2006

JANUARY 4, 2007 UPDATE:

We are delighted by the recent, and extensive, press coverage of this sighting, which NUFORC first reported on its website on November 14, 2006.

Following posting of the first report of the incident to our homepage, the Coast-to-Coast radio program, hosted by George Noory, interviewed Peter Davenport briefly about the case on November 15, 2006. At that time, no witness yet had consented to come forward and be interviewed publicly.

On December 12, 2006, the Jeff Rense radio program was kind enough to provide an hour of air time for an interview of one of the eyewitnesses to the event. The individual had witnessed the disc-shaped object from the cockpit of a Boeing 777 as he taxied the aircraft at O'Hare. That program is archived at the Rense website.

Also, we would like to express our gratitude to the Chicago Tribune, and to Jon Hilkevitch, for publishing the January 01, 2007, front-page article about the incident. The article briefly alluded to the National UFO Reporting Center, from which the newspaper had received the case on December 13 (although it failed to indicate that fact).

According to Mr. Hilkevitch, NUFORC's role in bringing the case to public attention had been included in his original version of the article, but it had been removed during editing before final publication. This article

can be accessed here (registration required). We will request permission to post the full text of the article.

The Associated Press published this truncated version of the article, which appeared in USA Today, and in many other publications. The AP did not contact our Center before release of their rewritten version of the original Chicago Tribune article. In our opinion, it presents only the "official" views put forth by the FAA and the airline involved, which we believe grossly distort many of details of the case.

Given that the FAA and the airline apparently have brought pressure to bear, both overt and implied, against their employees to have them remain silent about the incident, the article does not reflect what the eyewitnesses had to say about the case, but rather, what their employers want presented about it.

On January 1st, 2007, Mr. Hilkevitch was interviewed by Melissa Block, host of "All Things Considered," on National Public Radio. Regarding that interview, it is unclear to us why working with the National UFO Reporting Center, according to Ms. Block, should be considered to be "odd," given that our Center broke the story in the first place.

We have sent two email messages to Ms. Block at NPR, asking clarification on this point, and have received no response, three days later. Moreover, it is unclear why Mr. Hilkevitch responds to the question by saying, "...they ((i.e. Peter Davenport, Director of the National UFO Reporting Center)) kept wanting me to say this was a visit from some other world and further proof that, uh, we, on this planet are visited regularly by other beings..."

To set the record straight on this point, no such representation was made to Mr. Hilkevitch.

His claim we consider to be a misrepresentation of the facts regarding our role in the case. We did nothing more than contact the Chicago Tribune in mid-December to apprise that newspaper of the sighting, and to provide Mr. Hilkevitch with details of the case, to include our subjective view of the veracity of the reports, and the apparent credibility of the witnesses. In the final analysis, once we were satisfied that the Chicago Tribune was interested in following up on the case, we contacted eyewitnesses, to request that they contact Mr. Hilkevitch directly, if they were willing to do so.

Contrary to Mr. Hilkevitch's claim during his interview on NPR, we did not attempt to influence him as to what he wrote in his article.

A television interview of Mr. Hilkevitch by CLTV television, Oak Brook, IL, in which he fails to mention the National UFO Reporting Center, or what the ultimate source of his information about this case was, can be viewed here. Many other publications about the incident can be found on the internet by doing a search for O'Hare Airport UFO.

NOTE: A television interview of one of the witnesses in the case has been filmed, and may appear soon on a national news program.

WE EXPRESS OUR SINCERE GRATITUDE TO THE WITNESSES INVOLVED WITH THE INCIDENT, WHO HAVE COME FORWARD, AT SOME RISK TO THEMSELVES, AND TO THEIR JOB SECURITY, IN ORDER TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS INCIDENT.

IN OUR OPINION, IT IS THEY WHO ARE THE TRUE HEROES OF THIS CASE, AND WE BELIEVE THAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE OWE A LARGE DEBT OF GRATITUDE TO THEM.

PREPARED BY PETER B. DAVENPORT, DIRECTOR, NATIONAL UFO REPORTING CENTER, JANUARY 04, 2007.

TRANSCRIBED TEXT OF THE NPR INTERVIEW, JANUARY 01, 2007

Melissa Block: In the Chicago Tribune today, this tantalizing headline, "A Bird, A Plane, a UFO?," the report describes a number of workers at Chicago's O'Hare International Airport, who all say they saw more or less the same unexplainable thing on November 7th. A large disc shaped object hovering in the sky over an airport gate then shooting off into the clouds. Jon Hilkevitch wrote this story. He covers transportation for the Tribune, and joins us now. Thanks for being with us.

Jon Hilkevitch: You're welcome.

MB: And, Jon, you talked with 6 workers at O'Hare, what more did they tell you about what they saw on November 7th.

JH: Well it's very interesting because they all tell a very similar story from different vantage points at the airport. And they saw this grey, metallic object, just below the cloud layer, and this object was stationary for some minutes, anywhere from 5 to 20 minutes, according to different accounts.

MB: And how big was it, did they say?

JH: Anywhere from 6 feet in diameter, to 24 feet in diameter.

MB: That's a pretty big difference.

JH: That's a big difference, but, uh, these were some of the calculations made by some of the UFO watching groups, based on the witness accounts that were given.

MB: Uh huh. And then they describe it very suddenly taking off?

JH: That's one of the weird parts too. Uh, a very thick cloud layer that this craft was hovering below it, but then when it streaked away, it burst through the clouds, and unlike an airplane or a helicopter that would just slice through the clouds, this thing created this big donut hole, uh, vacuum, indicating tremendous energy force as it burst through the clouds and leaving this hole of clear sky that was there for several minutes, until the drifting winds pushed the clouds back together.

MB: Now, when you were talking to these workers at O'Hare, were they saying, ya know, your going to think I'm crazy but this is what I saw?

JH: Yeah, one of them, in fact, when he went to his manager in an email, the manager sent him an email back and said, "Hey, looks like somebody got a hold of your email account and is pulling a hoax." Um, but, this is anything but. That's what impressed me about this. All aviation professionals, very credible sources and they are very serious. They are not saying what they saw was a, you know, a spaceship from another planet, but it was unidentified, it was in restricted airspace, and they were concerned from a safety standpoint. That if this was something man-made, they needed to get it out of there because they were having busy flight operations in the early evening hours.

MB: You know, in the course of doing your reporting on this story, you were working along with, in some ways, the UFO Reporting Center. Did that strike you as odd, in some way?

JH: Yeah, I mean, they kept wanting me to say this was a visit from some other world and further proof that, uh, we, on this planet, are visited regularly by other beings and, uh, you know, to me, I am not qualified, I wasn't a witness. What was interesting to me was that United Airlines, after receiving numerous reports, including from high-level management officials, decided to deny that they got any such reports! I don't know if they were, felt, embarrassment, or maybe people would think United Airline employees are kooks, or something, by reporting this. But it's very odd. The FAA, at first, too, said they didn't know what I was talking about when I went to them, they had no reports of this. It wasn't until I put a Freedom of Information Request in and they got back to me saying well, were going through the communication tapes from O'Hare tower and by golly, there is a lot of chatter here about this UFO. So I am waiting for the full communication tapes on the FOIA requests, as well as radar data and we'll see if there is some follow-up.

MB: Okay. We'll wait to see what happens. Jon Hilkevitch. Thank you very much.

JH: You're welcome.

DECEMBER 15, 2006 UPDATE: NUFORC has an unconfirmed report from a journalist investigating the O'Hare Airport incident of Nov. 7 (see full details lower on page) that the FAA and the airline involved have declared that the incident did not occur. We strongly suspect a cover-up may be starting to form.

DECEMBER 07, 2006 UPDATE: NUFORC has received a second report about this incident from a senior aircraft mechanic, who was taxiing a Boeing 777 at the time of the sighting, and who witnessed the object.

ORIGINAL REPORT: The National UFO Reporting Center has received the following information from a single source (see below), who, for the time being, wishes to remain anonymous, and who prefers not to reveal for what entity he works. We have received documentation about the alleged sighting, which satisfies us as to the veracity of the report, and as to the credentials of the party reporting the incident.

We have delayed release of this case, principally because an investigation was begun almost immediately after our receipt of the initial report, and because we were hoping to obtain addition documentation about the sighting, before it could be concealed, or destroyed.

At approximately 16:30 p.m. (Central) on Tuesday, November 07, 2006, Federal authorities at O'Hare Airport received a report that approximately a dozen witnesses were observing a small, round disc-shaped object, metallic in appearance, which hovered over Gate C17 at that airport.

The object was first spotted by an employee, working on the ramp, who was engaged in "pushing back" Flight 446, departing Chicago for Charlotte, NC.

The employee reported to his supervisors that the object appeared to be almost directly above his location at Gate C17, it appeared to be perfectly round, and that its size was approximately equal to a US quarter, held at arm's length.

The object had a metallic appearance, according to the first witness, and it appeared to him to be spinning.

The first witness apprised the flight crew of Flight 446 of the existence of the object above their aircraft, and we believe both the pilot and co-pilot were witness to the bizarre object, as well. The witness also contacted his supervisors, who also witnessed the object, which was visible for approximately 2 minutes.

At the end of that time, the object was seen to suddenly accelerate straight up at a very rapid pace, and it "shot" through the solid overcast, which was at 1,900 feet at the time. The witness added that the object appeared to leave a "hole" in the clouds, where it had streaked upwards through the overcast.

Both the Federal Aviation Administration and Transportation Security Administration were apprised of the event at the time it was occurring, and FAA personnel in one of the towers at O'Hare may have witnessed the object, probably with binoculars. The FAA apparently reported that the object was not visible on radar, although that fact has not been confirmed at the time of this writing.

We hope to be able to release more information about the incident at some time in the near future. In the meantime, we would like to invite anyone who may have been personal witness to the event to submit a report of their sighting, using our Online Report Form. We would be most grateful if you would indicate in your report where you were located, at the time of the sighting, and what the object looked like, from your vantage point.

EDITORIAL COMMENT

As with these things eventually one and then two alleged cell phone images surfaced (see over page).

An initial examination looked promising and the pictures were widely posted however within days questions were being raised after one of the witnesses involved stated that one of the images was nothing like he had seen.

Linda Moulton Howe on her Earthfiles website was prominent in reporting the events of O'Hare Airport as they developed. Here is the latest information courtesy of Linda and Peter Davenport of NUFORC. GH.

Two Cell Phone Images of Disc Over Chicago O'Hare Airport

Copyright 2007 by Linda Moulton Howe

Both digital experts (David Biedny and Jeff Ritzmann) agree that the second image, very much like the first image, virtually has no signs of being edited."

Mark Allin, Co-Owner, AboveTopSecret.com

Virtually? Hmmm. This piece states that neither image shows any sign at all of any artefact or inconsistency when closely examined by experts. Various digital wizards are quoted saying there is no sign of anything odd in either photo, that both seem to show the same object taken from different sides of the airfield that afternoon. Then we're treated to the speculation that miles-wide holes in cloud occurring from time to time all over the world (meteorologically interesting to be sure) are

caused by rocketing UFOs. This is just depressing and unhelpful to the real investigation going on into events at O'Hare.

If you play with the gamma of the first image you find that an unnatural looking wedge of grey tone emerges bottom left of the "UFO". I don't insist that this is suspicious, but it is obvious, and does at least need noticing in any analysis. But at least the conditions in the first photo bear some resemblance to the weather conditions at the time (solid overcast at 1900 ft, fading daylight, visibility 4 miles with haze). The second photo very obviously shows bright sky conditions and shadows from a sun near the zenith.

The photos that have come forward almost certainly have nothing to do with the original sighting over O'Hare. One of the witnesses has looked at them, and declared that neither looks anything like the object he witnessed on November 07. I allow for the possibility that they may be part of a disinformation ploy.

I recommend that, in order to save precious time, we drop further discussion of these hoaxed photos. Just by continuing the discussion about them, we may be playing into the hands of folks who don't want the American People to know the truth about that incident.

Peter Davenport
NUFORC.

All entries courtesy of UFOINFO, Earthfiles and Peter Davenport, NUFORC.

EDITORIAL COMMENT
I will keep you posted on this fascinating sighting and developments. GH.

CHICAGO O'HARE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT



**TWO CELL PHONE IMAGES ALLEGEDLY TAKEN ON THE DAY OF THE
SIGHTING BY DIFFERENT PEOPLE**



UFO SIGHTINGS—UK



January 8th 2007 : Gorebridge, Midlothian, Scotland

Location: Gorebridge

Date: 8/1/2007

Approach Direction: north
northwest.

Departure Direction: north
northwest.

Witness Direction: north

Description: (UFO sighting
8/1/2007).

At around 5am 8/1/2007 my
wife and i both saw a huge light
object in the north northwest a
circular shaped light in the sky
it was stationary for around
1min before it moved away
very slowly. It moved in to some
clouds as it moved away out of
sight, It was not a plane or
helicopter there was no sound
from this object. Did anyone
else see this object.

Colour/Shape: huge Bright
light.

Height & Speed: 400/500

hundred feet.

January 9th 2007 Newcastle, County Down

Location: Newcastle County
Down Northern Ireland

Date: 8.00am GMT 01/09/06.

Approach Direction: North

Departure Direction: East and
West.

Witness Direction: North

Description: Just saw a bright
light hovering several hundred
feet in the air. Perhaps a half
mile away from me. The light
was bright, then split in two,
hovered independently and
then just vanished. WOW !
Colour/Shape: white, bright
light. Height & Speed: hovered,
split, moved a few feet apart
then vanished.

January 22nd 2007 : Bampton, Nr Penrith, Cumbria, England

Location: Bampton, Nr Penrith,
Cumbria. CA10 ***

Date: 22 January 2007. 07:30
am. Clear with very few light
med height clouds. Object
passed behind clouds.

Approach Direction: West

Departure Direction: East

Witness Direction: South

Description: Very fast light
object. Not commercial aircraft.
Small sparkling lights at random
points around craft. Not on
usual commercial route.

No noise. Visible for around 5
minutes. Faster than commercial
or military aircraft.

Colour/Shape: Initially one light
but appeared to be two close
related lights when parallel to
my view. Very clear morning

Height & Speed: 25 to 30,000
ft. Approached from west
departed towards east.

**Reports courtesy of UFOINFO
and HBCC Research**

AIRPORT UFO - BRAZIL 1976

AJ Geveard emailed me recently about the O'Hare airport controversy reminding me of another photo taken near to a busy airport. The picture below of a huge cylindrical UFO was taken near to Congonhas International Airport, Sao Paulo, Brazil on 20/10/76 at 1700 hours by student Julio Trazzi Martins. Thanks AJ.





NICK POPE

THE MAN FROM THE MINISTRY— PART TWO BY MILES JOHNSTON.

What follows is part two of the Miles Johnston interview with Nick Pope during November 2006 a short time after he had quit his position with the MoD.

MJ Ok, moving on, I was very impressed by the 1993 case that was recently on television. The usual suspects as we would describe in Ufology were tending to play it down, sort of pushing it aside but you were very keen to say that it was a UFO.

NP Yes the 1993, March 1993 sightings were an important turning point for me personally in my belief in UFOs.

MJ Was it the thing that switched it?

NP It was one of the things, the other thing was having access to formerly classified files, files that had been classified as Secret UK Eyes Only and such like dating back to the fifties.

MJ And what qualifies a case in that particular regard to suddenly become available to other eyes?

NP The Freedom of Information Act. The MOD has to follow the letter of the law so any application for UFO documents or files has to be considered

against the criteria in the Freedom of Information Act. Now of course there are exemptions for defence, national security and what you would do. The presumption is that you would release and that is the important point so it's a mind shift from previously when the presumption was not to release. Your start point is I'm going to have to release this, then what you do is look for any exclusions under the act and see if any of them apply and if they do don't release and if they don't apply then you release.

MJ What changed that mind set in the MOD or the Ministry? What actually made the Ministry, somebody like yourself talking in the first place.

NP There has always been a sceptical versus believer debate on the UFO issue at the MOD, in the Air Force, the intelligence community as much as anywhere else. I think I'm only one of a number of so called believers to have been involved in this subject over the years. Some of the great war leaders like Earl Mountbatten, Lord Dowding, people like Sir Peter Horsley, Ralph Noyes, the former head of the section where UFO investigations are done. There are many more people before me who believed, spoke out

about this issue so I'm not, I think some kind of whistleblower whose just come out for the first time.

MJ So the important thing is—do we have as a country a sort of special X Files Department which deals specifically with this kind of activity?

NP One of the things which on basis to talking to a United States individual who worked on one of the facilities in America and he was able to describe individuals within a given department who were going to deal with extraterrestrials were trained up, they'd be the best in their fields, they were required but only in a small minority would they be able to deal with an ET as such. Is there anything in the MOD files that allude to the actual contact with ETs in any underground facilities in this country?

NP There is nothing in the MOD files that I've seen that indicates contact with extraterrestrial entities.

MJ Or shall we say non human entities?

NP Or indeed those-No, there's nothing in the files on that. Just again to perhaps give an idea of the UFO project works. It works

with the analogy of the spider in the centre of the web. I'm sure my critics will have something to say about that but effectively I was running the project and there was as it were, a loose network of experts who I could draw upon whose expertise whose equipment I could draw upon, whose time on an as and when basis. So what would happen is that I would get a UFO report, begin my investigation, I would then bring in the radar specialist from the RAF Air Defence section. I would bring in RAF Fylingdales, the ballistic early warning centre for space tracking radar. I would bring in that particular facility if needs be. I would liaise with astronomers through various organisations like the Greenwich Observatory regarding their fireball survey or what have you. If we had a photograph or video, again there were certain sections that I'm sure you'll be familiar with who analyse and enhance images again for the department so that's the way I would, as it were co-ordinate the investigation and run it and bring in the scientific, technical experience when I needed it.

Of course the famous one is the Defence Intelligence Staff (DI55) who would help me with a range of scientific and technical aspects of the UFO phenomenon so it wasn't arguably a people debate about was this a big project or was it a one man band. I was certainly the only one who had who had UFOs on my job specification, no that's probably not true, probably one of my colleagues in DI55 did too but I was, as it were, we packed a bigger punch than you might think because of the fact that I could ask these various individuals and those various facilities so that perhaps gives you an idea, a little insight into the methodology and we don't often get I into that in interviews.

MJ You mention there's been a lot of talk in the US about remote viewing projects. Project Stargate, looking glass stuff like that, what is British side—did that come in your brief to deal with that, things involving ESP projects?

NP Remote viewing, psychic spies whatever you want to call it wasn't in my job specification but inevitably you run a UFO project and not find everything weird and wonderful comes your way so...

MJ So you'd be able to call upon a remote viewer to determine whether a given UFO craft or other..

NP What happened on my tour of duty was I had a number of people who contacted me claiming to be a remote viewer and effectively say I would like to be a psychic spy for Her Majesty Government to help locate ballistic missiles, submarines, arms caches, terrorists, drugs. All sorts of things that the American projects such as Stargate, Grillflame and such like. I discussed this with Defence Intelligence....

MJ And wasn't met with a great deal of..

NP Oh, it was, their view like mine was, look if it doesn't work we have not lost anything, just a little bit of our time—if it does work we've got a huge potential resource so of course we tried it and what we did, I devised a test that had anyone passed I would have invited them in for a meeting and we would have taken it from there.

The test was very simple, it was a test of their ability to do this, you claim to be a remote viewer, I said I will give you a time and a location. The time was 8pm, the location was the top of my television which was switched

off and I said I'm going to place an object on the top of that TV set. I would like you to remote view it and contact me the following morning and tell me what the object was. Now I used to say to these people tell me if you think there is something about the test that would invalidate the result, or tell me now if you think if you'll be able to do this. So I would duly place an object on my TV and I would always pick something bizarre and abstract because inevitably people may think of something like a china ceramic ornament or something like a watch. I would pick up a bag of flour or a pillow, something totally inappropriate. Things very unlikely to be guessed by chance and sadly no-one ever guessed it so I wasn't able to put any psychic spies to work for Her Majesty's Government but I probably would have if anyone had displayed the capacity and then yes of course we could have used those remote viewers on the UFO phenomenon.

MJ Did you get any other members of the public or services who would volunteer to do investigative services for you on a freelance basis? I'm contacted, both when I was head of the UFO project and now after I've left the Ministry. I'm contacted all the time by people who ask if I need help with investigations. I'm contacted by people who have had sightings of their own, by people who want to help because one of my research assistants—yeah I'm not short on offers.

MJ Does one have a relationship with the various UFO Research groups in the UK?

NP Yes, both a relationship which I instigated and cultivated at the MOD and it's a matter of public record that organisations such as BUFORA and Quest International did have links with me and we



exchanged information where we could within the bounds of witness confidentiality and now I continue my contacts, principally with BUFORA. Indeed as a result of the recent TV documentary on Channel 5 about 25 new witnesses have come forward, either claiming to have seen the large triangular craft that was seen in March 1993 on the night or at another time before or since. Now all those cases we are not going to let be forgotten. People will be offered contact details, I've spoken to the people and they've agreed to take those investigations. I have links with other researchers and stay in touch. I'm good friends with Tim Good, Georgina Bruni, Malcolm Robinson, so that there's lots of linkages yeah.

MJ In the same way that you have a professional side do you rely to a degree on amateurs?

NP Absolutely, it's a very good analogy, if you look at the discovery of comets, a lot have been found by amateurs.

MJ Getting to the nitty gritty. There have been a number of cases of large triangular vehicles or of similar description that have resulted in fatalities and aircraft shootdowns and in this country, notably the Sheffield incident which was investigated by Max Burns a few years ago.

He's alleging that a NATO jet was lost after an encounter with a triangular (UFO)—are there cases like that you can talk about?

NP Well I'm always a little sceptical of cases where aircraft have been brought down by UFOs. I mean I will keep an open mind but one of my previous jobs at the MOD was to do with aircraft crashes, in fact I know a little about the processes...

MJ Are you talking about military aircraft?

NP Yes, I worked with the Inspectorate of Flight Safety, an RAF division and there are certain processes and I can't go into details about this. I can't go into detail about how these processes come into play when an aircraft goes down and certainly the so called 'Sheffield Incident' these processes weren't followed. Some basic things—for example, the protocol if they survive a crash. You would stay at the crash site, that's where Search and Rescue is going to be centred and you would toggle your PLB (personal location beacon). Now a lot of testimony about the Sheffield incident doesn't quite ring true to me in terms of those actions. Well the idea that the surviving pilot would be wandering down the road. Now I suppose you

could have a counter argument—perhaps he was in such deep shock that his training went out of the window but it's just so deeply ingrained it would almost be a basic instinct for a downed flier just to stay at the crash site and want to be rescued.

MJ Could it be that what he encountered was so traumatic to him?

NP Well I suppose you could postulate that he was in such shock that he forgot absolutely everything in the training manual but I think it's unlikely but of course not impossible.

EDITORIAL COMMENT

I had originally planned to have the interview as a two part special but such is the length of it—57 minutes of video and the juggling of pages, it will now run to a third part next month.

So what have we learned about Nick so far. Well it is the most informative interview I have seen with him over the years.

One of the things that strikes me about his words is the fact that far from the MOD not investigating sightings as the standard letter from them clearly states there is clearly a well worn mechanism in place for research to be done. It seems clear to me that there are a number of people within the sphere of the MOD who have developed real expertise in the UFO field of research.


In part three of the interview Nick makes several important revelations about the UFO phenomenon so make sure you get a copy.

GH.

THE LASTEST IMAGES OVER PORTSMOUTH BY GEORGE WARNER







GREEN UFO NEAR THE MOON? TAKEN 6TH JANUARY 2007 AT 2100 HOURS



ARCHIVE UFO DOCUMENTS

COLUMBIA-PRESBYTERIAN NEUROLOGICAL ASSOCIATES
EAST SIDE
NEURODIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY SERVICES
38 EAST 61st STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10021
—
(212) 308-3108

TIMOTHY A. PEDLEY, M.D.
ROBERT E. LOVELACE, M.D.
RONALD G. EMERSON, M.D.

EEG REPORT

Whitley Streiber

December 3, 1986

Referring Doctor: Dr. Sciarra

EEG #: 86-486

HISTORY: This is a 42 year old patient with hallucinatory episodes for many years.

MEDICATIONS: None listed.

CONDITIONS OF THE RECORDING: This is a 20 channel EEG obtained with the patient awake and asleep. Nasopharyngeal leads were used. Chloral hydrate, 1 gram was given for sedation.

DESCRIPTION: Cerebral activity in this patient awake is characterized by a low to medium voltage well-formed and regulated 9.5 hz alpha rhythm that attenuates normally with eye opening. Low voltage arrhythmic beta frequencies are distributed symmetrically over the frontal scalp regions.

Photic stimulation at flash rates of 1-20 produced inconsistent driving but did not activate abnormal potentials.


Hyperventilation for 5 minutes produced a slight increase in generalized theta frequencies but no other change.

As the patient became drowsy and passed into the light stages of sleep, the alpha rhythm attenuated and low voltage 4-7 hz activity appeared symmetrically. In Stage II sleep well formed spindles and vertex waves occurred symmetrically in the parasagittal areas.

A satisfactory nasopharyngeal recording obtained with the patient drowsy and lightly asleep added no additional information.

There were no persistent asymmetries, focal abnormalities or epileptiform discharges.

IMPRESSION: This EEG, which includes nasopharyngeal leads, is normal with the patient awake and asleep.




Timothy A. Pedley, M.D.
TAP/cm

Orig.: Daniel Sciarra, M.D.

THE FOLLOWING TWO DOCUMENTS RELATE TO WHITLEY STREIBER WHO CAUSED A MEDIA STORM WITH HIS BOOK 'COMMUNION' IN THE 1970S. THEY ARE IMPORTANT IN UFO HISTORY BECAUSE OF THEIR CONCLUSIONS—BOTH ARE POSITIVE AND THUS SUPPORT THE PREMISE THAT THE ALIEN ABDUCTION EXPERIENCE IS REAL.

ARCHIVE UFO DOCUMENTS



POLYGRAPH SECURITY SERVICES LIMITED
31 ELKSTONE ROAD, LONDON W10 5NT
TELEPHONE 01-960 4392

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION OF WHITLEY STRIEBER - 18TH MAY 1987

At the request of the British Broadcasting Corporation (the BBC), it was agreed that this company would carry out a Polygraph examination of Whitley Strieber at the Atheneum Hotel, Piccadilly, London. Neither I or any of my colleagues had met Mr Strieber, or been in communication with him, before I was introduced to him at the hotel by a BBC researcher on the morning of the 18th May.

The BBC had explained that Mr Strieber (WS) had written a book entitled **Communion, A true story. Encounters with the unknown.**, and had supplied me with a review copy. The BBC requested that I examined WS in order to find out if his work was based on truth or fiction.

With a Lafayette Polygraph Instrument, I utilised stimulation tests and the Backster Zone of Comparison Technique. I found WS to be a co-operative and sensitive test subject. Examination commenced at 10.00 am and terminated at 11.45. Relevant questions, and my findings are set out below.

Do you intend to answer the questions I ask you on this test truthfully?
Reply - Yes

Are the "visitors", about whom you write in your book **Communion**, a physical reality?
Reply - Yes

Have you invented the "visitors", about whom you write, for commercial gain?
Reply - No

Whilst in the presence of your "visitors", have you actually felt them touch you?
Reply - Yes

Is **Communion** an honest account of your experiences?
Reply - Yes

Have you ever taken any kind of hallucinogenic drug?
Reply - No

Are the "visitors" a physical reality?
Reply - Yes

I found Mr Whitley Strieber to be **TRUTHFULL** in response to all questions

Jeremy G Barrett
Managing Director

Mr. Tite

THE BIG PICTURE: WORLDWIDE UFO SIGHTINGS

ARGENTINA

January 12th 2007 : Santa Rosa, La Pampa

Inexplicata

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
January 14, 2007

DATE: 01.13.07

SOURCE: CIUFOS-LA PAMPA

ARGENTINA: LIGHTS OVER LA PAMPA

** A report from Raul Oscar Chaves**

As has been occurring in recent times, sightings of strange lights over La Pampa have gone on to become a daily event.

On January 12, 2007, between 22:00 and .00 hours, four lights were seen over Santa Rosa.

After increasing their size suddenly, reaching dimensions roughly 4 times the size of Venus, they would gradually diminish until disappearing altogether.

Three of them were detected some 45 degrees to the East on radial 090 in a South/North direction, being seen at their greatest splendour in some 15 seconds, with a 15-20 minute interval between sightings. The fourth luminosity was detected on radial 035, some 45 degrees over the horizon, with a SSE - NNW direction, remaining visible for some 10 seconds before gradually disappearing.

Five witnesses agreed that the colours of these lights fluctuated between yellow, blue, fluorescent green and amber. Moreover, residents of the "El Guanaco" ranch located in the wilderness of the same name, some 35 km north of Santa Rosa saw at 23:00 that same evening the transit of a green, blue and white light from East

to West.

This light had a "sort of tail..." from which "...four lesser lights, white in colour..." broke off slowly and progressively. The witnesses stressed that they looked like "the windows of a bus," stressing the unusual nature of the phenomenon. While it is possible to see lights in this area, they have never had the size and intensity detected in this case.

In recent times, these events have become frequent and detected all across the geography of the Pampas. (translation (c) 2007, S. Corrales, IHU.)

AUSTRALIA

January 11/12 & 14th 2007: Northern suburbs, Adelaide, South Australia

Location: Northern suburbs, Adelaide approx 15 minutes from Adelaide City, South Australia

Date: 01/11,12,14/2007

Approach Direction: west to easterly direction

Departure Direction: north westerly.

Witness Direction: north
Description: on the 11th January my boyfriends dad was outside having a cigarette at approx 11:10pm when he yelled out for my boyfriend as he saw a satellite moving across Orions belt or what we call the saucepan in a westerly direction and then they saw a large boomerang shaped 'craft' flying over head silent with no lights - they yelled out for me but I missed it and was somewhat

disappointed - the 12th we decided to see if it came again so waited outside early at around 930pm and at approx 1035pm we saw what we thought to be another satellite cross westerly through Orions belt and then shortly after that the triangular boomerang grey/black object flew across it appeared to be on its side banking but did not actually turn and then appeared to vanish.

We waited again on the 13th from 9pm but there was too much cloud cover and gave up at approx 11.30pm.

14th at 9.50pm I witnessed the satellite go westerly through Orions belt and seem to stop and then reappear out of star to the left of the belt and continue then disappear and within a minute or two the triangular boomerang object flew straight over the top of us - I tried to view it though binoculars but they were too small but it appeared to be a dark grey and dull.

We had a theory that perhaps it is something they are testing like a spy drone but they are only small (about 2 1/2metres) and the object is up so high as there is no sound and it goes past at a fast rate. when I extend my arm and turn my hand inwards so its on its side and palm facing outwards the triangular object is slightly larger then my hand width ways.

Just for the record I'm over 25 do not smoke pot, nor was drunk at the time of these sightings
Colour/Shape: triangular or boomerang shaped object dark dull grey metallic?

Height & Speed: 5-10secs
visibility seems to appear shortly
after 'satellite' through Orions
belt found picture similar to
object on:
<http://www.rense.com/general36/v.htm>
TV/Radio/Press: Nothing.

CANADA

January 10th 2007 : 5 miles northwest of Seaforth, Ontario

Location: About 5 miles
northwest of Seaforth Ontario
Date: Approx. 11:15pm
01/10/2007.

Approach Direction: Heading
east towards our farm
Departure Direction: First
backwards westerly then shot
off into the sky in a southern
upward direction.

Witness Direction: West
Description: I was going to bed,
my husband was already in bed
and all the lights were off in
the farmhouse. I looked into
the westerly window, and I saw
this large bright yellow-orange
circular object in the distance,
almost hovering above another
farm that is about 2 miles west
of ours. I did a double take as I
wasn't sure what I was seeing,
and I said to my husband to get
over here right away, what the
#\$@* is this thing hovering
over the neighbours farm...and
he was groggy and said that he
couldn't see anyway, his glasses
were downstairs in the
bathroom.

By the time he said that the
object suddenly shrunk to a
smaller size, then shot off in
the distance to the left in an
upward direction on a southern
course from it's first reference
point.

Like many other people with
submissions on this site, I'm not
sure what the heck it was, but it
gives me the creeps and keeps
me awake at night, as I am a
religious person and also have a

newborn (you know how
mother's worry).

Colour/Shape: Round, bright
yellow-orange in colour and was
approximately the size of a
quarter in my field of view (not
sure of the true size as it was
at night and I'm not sure
exactly how far in the distance
the object was away).

Height & Speed: Did not see
approach, it was just there as I
turned off the light. Departure
was very rapid, it shrunk and
was gone out of range within
seconds.

TV/Radio/Press: I have not
contacted the press for fear that
I would be labelled crazy in the
local community! (But what DID
I see??!!)

January 11th 2007 : Prince Rupert, British Columbia

Prince Rupert, British Columbia
Huge Object Travels Across The
Sky.

Date: January 11, 2007
Time: Approx: 9:07 - 9.08 pm.

Hi Brian I saw something kind
of weird at about 9:07 or 9:08
pm tonight (Wed 10th) from
Prince Rupert, BC.

It was a very large cylindrical
object similar to a large aircraft
fuselage, but I'm guessing much
larger than a typical airplane.
It appeared to be burning up
like a meteor as it entered the
atmosphere. I first saw it at
about the 1100 position to the
north, it streaked downward at
about a 30 degree angle toward
the south.

From where I saw it, judging
from the distance, it may have
come down somewhere along
the Alaskan border well into the
BC side. If you get any other
reports and if this sounds
credible to you would you please

let me know. (Name a phone
number removed from report
by HBCC UFO Research).

UNITED STATES January 4th 2007 San Marcos, California

Center Of San Marcos, California
Frisbee Shaped Object
Date: January 4, 2007
Time: 7:30 p.m.

Number of witnesses: 2
Number of objects: 1
Shape of objects: Frisbee
Shaped.

Full Description of
event/sighting: I was driving
along with my mom, son and
niece, I was coming from the
west heading east. The street is
call San Marcos Blvd. by the
shopping centre.

I saw this light that was so
bright that I thought it was the
moon so I asked my mom if she
thought it was the moon too.
She said yes then I said maybe
is an airplane my mom said no
its like a street light. As we got
closer I kept steering at the
object when we realized it was
an UFO I was in shock that I
stopped driving.

It was a huge object that was
floating in the air and it was not
moving, the light came out of
the object was so bright, it
looked like fire. It was shaped
like a Frisbee with vertical
windows. We saw it for a few
seconds and then it disappeared
and appear like 1 mile away. I
went home to get a camera
but by then it was gone my
mom and I know it was not a
helicopter or an airplane. I think
that this helps more and more
people prove that we are not
alone.

January 8th/9th 2007 Oakland, California

Oakland, California Multiple
Lights And Craft

HBCC UFO Research Note: This UFO event took place over two nights.

Date: January 8, 2007 - (Three craft sighted (or sets of craft in formation)).

Time: Approx: 7:00 p.m.

Date: January 9, 2007 - (One craft, or formation of craft sighted).

Time: Approx: 7:30 p.m.

Hi Brian. Myself and a friend were in my backyard getting ready to throw some darts in our shed, when I decided to search for satellites in the night sky. Being from the Mojave desert, I've always been a sky watcher, and I take advantage of the few clear skies that we have in Oakland. After a couple of minutes looking up, I saw a triangular set of lights overhead travelling North at a high rate of speed.

There were six lights in a delta pattern, and I'm still not sure if it was one craft, or a formation of smaller craft. They appeared to be the size of a 747 flying at approximately 4000ft. My friend failed to see them, as they were faint, and difficult to spot. No noise accompanied the sighting. I should also mention that there were absolutely no jets travelling overhead at the time (which is unusual for an area with three major airports).

Now my eyes are glued to the sky, and within five minutes, another craft or formation flew overhead in the same direction, at the same speed. This time it appeared to be in the shape of a chevron. There were six faint, white lights.

My unfortunately missed this one as well, but instantly after it faded into the mist and city lights, another set of lights followed it. This last craft (or set of craft) was odd shaped. There were approximately seven faint lights that started as a triangle on the right, but with a

couple of lights sticking out to the left. I have a feeling that this was a formation of individual craft. We both saw this odd sighting, and my friend described it as 'A set of bowling pins' moving through the sky.

The following night we decided to take a look to see if any more appeared overhead. It was 7:00 and after a half hour, we spot another formation, this time moving NNW. It started out as a V shape, but by the time that it was overhead, the lights changed position with respect to one another.

I don't know if it was one object that was pivoting as it was flying, or if these multiple craft were able to change position within close proximity of one another, while moving at an incredibly fast speed.

The strange thing about this sighting, was that there was plenty of air traffic at the time. A Boeing 737 and a 767 flew over within seconds of this "thing" passing overhead. I don't know how they wouldn't have been able to see it. What we saw was not a flock of birds, a conventional aircraft, or any other object commonly known to man. They were large, very fast moving, and were silent as can be.

Their lights were defined, and were round and white/cream coloured. The craft were able to move from horizon to horizon in about 8 seconds. If I had not been focused on the sky in an attempt to see satellites, I would never have spotted them.

AUSTRALIA

January 26th 2007:

Matraville, Sydney,

New South Wales

UFOINFO Sighting Form Report
Location: Matraville, Sydney

Date: 01/26/2007 9:30pm local time.

Approach Direction: Towards the ocean.

Departure Direction: towards the city.

Witness Direction: towards the city.

Description: my husband and I were watching TV and saw out of the corner of our eye out the window an orange fireball oval type shape. It was moving slowly across towards our backyard. we ran to our back porch where it seemed to hover and a feeling of acknowledgement between it and us was so strong. It kind of changed to show us it was more of a saucer looking aircraft with red lights flashing around it.

It kind of took off! it went up 20m or so and actually had a whirling sound. It then shot off into the sky, out though, not up. We stood and watched in disbelief. We definitely saw a UFO. I have never been a believer, actually the opposite. I tend to think UFO believers are crazy. We had not had a drink hence being Australia day, we had instead taken our 3 children to jambaroo for the day. We do not take drugs or are not on any medication. I called and reported it to Maroubra Police station as I did not know what else to do.

We are very interested to talk more about it and would like to know if this UFO was spotted anywhere else.

Colour/Shape: Initially an orange fireball colour which changed to a lighter brighter light allowing us to see it more in its form which seemed to be of a saucer aircraft shape (just like the bloody movies!! I can't believe it). It had red lights which spun once it started to take off and shoot into the atmosphere.
Height & Speed: Its so hard to say, a 100 or so meters above. At first it flew quite slow

sideways and then it shot off millions of kms in about 10sec. TV/Radio/Press: I called the Maroubra Police station.

BRAZIL

January 18th 2007: João Pessoa, Tambau

João Pessoa, Tambau Brazil A Triangular Shaped Object
Date: January 18, 2007
Time: 11:50 p.m. (+3 GMT)
Number of witnesses: 1
Number of objects: 1
Shape of objects: Triangular
Full Description of event/sighting: After having a long nap from 7:00 until 10:30 pm. I got up and stayed awake, while my husband and daughter went to bed. I was searching the Internet for maps to locate McNaught comet (C/2006 P1) in my place (Latitude: 7° 06', South. Longitude: 34° 53', West), Joao Pessoa, Brazil. I was upset because I realized it wouldn't be easy to see the comet from here.

I went to bed thinking about the comet and stayed observing the nice spot of clear sky I have through my large bedroom's window (6th floor) which is always kept open during the warm summer weather. I have a nice view from here since there are no buildings around and we are only 100 m from the beach - in fact, from my window, I am facing the sea and "Ponta do Seixas" - the eastern most point of the Americas.

There were no clouds, neither the moon and I was observing the sky and the constellations (The Southern Cross, The Great Dog, Orion...) when I suddenly spotted what, at a first sight, looked like a tiny cloud blown by the wind - I felt strange because it was moving quite fast. When I observed it properly, I have noticed its triangular shape without a clear contour made up of some faint

points of white light (I could not count how many points - may be six or seven...). The delta shaped "thing" was travelling soundless from southeast to northwest in a peculiar way with its wider angle pointing sideway (even a delta shaped airplane would not fly with one of the wings heading). I then realized that could be a formation of orbs.

It was difficult to guess the altitude but it could be compared to a commercial plane flying at 3000 m. It soon went of my visual field (passing over my roof) - I run to the next room, facing north and in a second I could spot the triangular shape again. I observed it until it faded into the light sky of the city (away from the sea) I doubt a person who was not intentionally watching the night sky would ever have noticed that "thing" - I had the impression it was travelling camouflaged... I must add to this report that I have an engineering background and a considerable experience in observing the sky (I was an amateurs junior astronomer while at the university). Thank you to the witness for the report.

**Brian Vike, Director HBCC
UFO Research.**

E-mail: hbccufo@telus.net

Website:

<http://www.hbccufo.org>

IRAN

January 19th 2007 Babolsar

Location: Babolsar/Iran
Date: 1/19/2007. Time: 6:16 p.m. (local)
Approach Direction: north to south.
Departure Direction: north to south.
Witness Direction: upwards
Description: Babolsar is a UFO hotspot. The weather is usually

cloudy here. During a week if the sky is clear, we can see the UFOs passing at least in two of the nights. I am a student here and am witnessing UFOs for two years. They pass almost regularly everyday from 6:15 to 6:30 pm., although me and sometimes my friends have seen some in other occasions.

Most of them are fast moving lights moving north to south or the reverse but I have seen some triangular shapes too which most of the time pass with high speed in a very low altitude. I'm trying to capture some on tape.

Colour/Shape: I see them in the night, so I've got no idea of their colour. The bright ones are circular and the others are triangular. I say triangular because consider that three small lights are moving consistently in the sky, you can't see the body of the craft at night but the lights. These lights are very dim and consistent at the three angles of the craft.

Height & Speed: Circular shapes: high altitude high/low speed. Triangular: high/low altitude high speed.

TV/Radio/Press: Unfortunately, here people have zero knowledge of the UFOs. If you search the UFO sightings of Iran, you see that most of them are reported by foreigners or they are reported by people in the very imminent cases like crashes or big standing lights.

SOUTH AFRICA

January 24th 2007: Riviera Pretoria, halfway up Meintjies Kop Hill

Hi there,
Last eve - 24 January 2007 at approx 6:45pm just after sunset, I was watering my garden and looked northwards at the sky towards wonderboom airport. My vantage point was from Riviera Pretoria, halfway up

Meintjies Kop hill (northern side of the union buildings hill) I saw what I thought was a flare - an extremely bright light appeared well above the northern Magalies Kruin?? hill.

There was no emergence from the horizon nor upward motion, the light was just there. The sky was not yet dark as the sun had just set behind Meintjies kop, and the white-yellow light was extremely bright for the early evening time, hence me noticing it.

It was difficult to judge the distance, but the light object appeared to be spherical and seemed from behind the magalies kruin about 10km from me.

The intensity of light grew rapidly dimmer and the object moved off in an easterly direction in a straight line, stopped and return to it's original position for about 15 seconds. It grew brighter for about 15 seconds, but not to it's initial intensity, then moved off westward, again in a straight line, then returning to its original place, hence having displayed a symmetrical motion: point to east, ask to point.. pause...point to west and back to point.

It then grew brighter to almost the initial level, then faded to nothing whilst remaining stationary. completely vanishing! Although the wonderboom airport is northwards from here, and there is a lot of airplane traffic, this object did not display any kind of movement or give off the type of light characteristic of standard air traffic.

There was no flashing light common to aircraft, and it made no sound. The total viewing time I guess to be between 40secs and 2 minutes - I am not too sure in retrospect how long I was watching.

It is very similar in appearance, but not motion behaviour, to an anomaly I sighted from my house on 6 March 2004, which I reported on <http://www.ufoinfo.com/sightings/southafrica/040306.shtml>

Perhaps it would be sensible to contact the airport to find out about scheduled / early notice flights in and out of there that evening?

UNITED STATES January 22nd 2007 Eugene, Oregon

Eugene, Oregon A Cylindrical To Round Object
Date: January 22, 2007
Time: 5:15 p.m.
Number of witnesses: 1
Number of objects: 3
Shape of objects: Cylindrical to round.
Full Description of event/sighting: I was running due South-West along a path off 11th Street in Eugene, Oregon when I spotted an unusual structure about 1,500 to 2,00 feet or so in the sky moving slowly in a generally southern direction. It was black, round, approximately the size of a large airliner, but shaped differently.

Another object appeared to dangle from the back of it that looked almost like another circular UFO, but much smaller. Several times it appeared to catch up with the larger object and meld into it, then re-emerge. At that height and apparent distance it might, of course, have been circling the larger object. I also saw what appeared to be another object leave the first and fly southwest ahead of the object.'

I stayed to watch the slowly moving object (that at times appeared to accelerate and hover for about ten minutes), then continued my run back

home. When I left it was still moving south-west but somewhat faster. I am sure it was not a conventional aircraft, a helicopter, a bird or balloon, but was some kind of self-propelled round, cylindrical shaped device. What the main larger object was or the smaller ones, I cannot to specifically identify. One more point, I have excellent near-sighted vision and don't wear glasses for driving.

January 23rd 2007: Southampton County, Virginia

Southampton County, Virginia Round Lights In The Shape Of A Triangle
Date: January 23, 2007
Time: Approx: 7:40 p.m.
Number of witnesses: 2
Number of objects: Either 1-3
Shape of objects: Round lights in shape of triangle.
Full Description of event/sighting: Hi. I understand this site 'serves' Canada, so I wasn't sure if you'd want any possible UFO sighting in the states or not. But, I figured what the hay--all you can do is tell me is you don't need my testimony.

Anyway, this is something that I witnessed this past Tuesday, so I'm trying to get this all out while it's still fresh. I filed a report with NUFORC last night as well.

On Tuesday, January 23, 2007, at approximately 7:40p.m. I was driving SE on Sedley Road with my four year old son on my way home from a friends house when I spotted what I thought at first was a planet--possibly Venus or Mars- (I enjoy astronomy and such, but can hardly ever remember what is what exactly in the sky so far as the names of stars and planets and where they should be at, at any given time of the year.)

This object was to my left and

looked to be a few miles away from me—(after checking out my map, I think that this object was most probably 2-3 miles away from my location when I first spotted it as I was driving.) This object was looking for all the world like a planet—just far bigger than I was thinking a planet should look from earth—definitely larger than any planets I've seen before. But I'm no professional star gazer, so I figured what do I know?

The 'planet' was VERY bright, and a light orange colour. Even though I thought it looked awful large for a planet, I still assumed it must be one since it was not twinkling like stars do.

I pointed the light out to my son, and proceeded to bore him with probably useless trivia about how to tell if a star is a star or if its a planet. This object was in my view the entire time I was driving—(except short periods when trees obscured it.)

A little over 2 miles up the road I had to make a left turn to head due east. I had started thinking there was definitely something weird looking about the light so once I turned, and saw no other cars were coming, I stopped in the road to have a safer look.

This was when I noticed the light was not a single light, but three lights—and it was moving in a diagonal direction towards me! I figured that it must be an airplane since it was moving, yet I thought to myself that it was weird for an airplane to have three large orange lights underneath it like that because for it to have been an airplane the two front lights would have had to have been on the end of each wing, and the third rear one on the tail, and all would have had to have been underneath the object.

These lights all looked to be the same distance apart and were


very big looking lights, all large, all the same light orange colour, no pulsing, or colour changing, and they were set in a triangle pattern. They didn't appear to be landing lights, and I wanted to mention that the plane was not coming from, or going towards the direction of the closest airport here, so I'm sure it wasn't landing or taking off.

I made no unusual note of speed, or movement - (it appeared to move slowly, but I wouldn't say notably slow, nor did I see any unusual movements that defy conventional aircraft movements). I had my window rolled down, and I heard no sounds as if an airplane was passing overhead, but I never cut my van off, so I suppose it's possible there were some sounds.

This object appeared to be flying pretty low, yet was too high for

realize very quickly that it is in fact just an airplane, or some other form of 'normal' aircraft. You just-know when what you are seeing is normal, and when it is not, and this didn't look normal to me. I can't say as I've ever seen three big orange coloured lights in that formation on the very bottom of an airplane like that before. Ever. Another car ended up turning on the road behind me that I was stopped on, so I drove up to the next side road and turned on it to find a place to turn around and try to watch this thing some more.

I did this in about a one minute time period, losing sight of the object as I did so. As I was pulled into the dirt path I was using to turn around in, I stopped and searched out the object, finding it easily as it made its way in the same diagonal trek, but in front of me



me to make out any of the aircraft itself—I could only see the three lights. It's possible this may have been a normal, everyday aircraft, but I have to say that I've been driving for many years, and have been out lots of times at night, and I have seen many, many, airplanes, helicopters, and such in the night sky at varying distances.

I've seen all kinds of coloured lights on aircraft's as they go along up there, sometimes steady, sometimes blinking and blipping around.

More than a few of them have given me pause, but I always

this time.

I sat there maybe 30 more seconds as it grew smaller in the distance, then drove home. Thought I should mention that I submitted another report to the NUFORC about a year or two ago concerning a possible UFO sighting I had when I was younger back in the 80's in nearby Suffolk, Va. (I believe that was the last time I have seen anything 'unidentified' in the skies until Tuesday night).

The object I saw in the 80's was a solitary, huge, bright light, but was orange like the lights I saw last night. Might be nothing, but thought worth

stating. Don't know if this is helpful or not, but I looked up the exact coordinates of the location I was at when I saw all this on Tuesday.

My physical coordinates around the time I first laid eyes on the object were around LAT: -76.9872522354126 - LON: 36.74926092648688.

After studying a map of the area, judging by the horizontal direction this object was flying in while it was coming towards me on Black Creek Rd when I realized first this thing was three lights, I believe the craft was coming from the vicinity of the following coordinates; LAT: -76.96995735168457 - LON: 36.75394593241057.

I have done a drawing representing the best I could what I saw the other night on a paint program on my computer, as well as found a map detailing exactly where I

wanted to impress how sincere I am and am not afraid to speak with someone if anyone feels its necessary.

Thank you for your time and for reading my long narrative of what I'm sure is probably the millionth possible UFO sighting report for you. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to ask).

Additional Information:
Awwwww, bless your heart-thank you for the kind compliments! Made my day!).

I'm sending along my sorry little attempt at trying to draw what I saw--hey, none can say I don't at least try though, right?

The file (SouthamptonVirginia2) I thought was right darn close to what I saw, though...(in other words, not too shabby, eh? I tried to make it so that it looked similar to the way it did as I was looking up at it from the window

comparing it to the North Star. Basically I'm trying to say that if the north star is 'this' big, then the light I saw before I knew it was actually 3 lights was 'this' much bigger than it.

I tell you, its so hard to convey to someone the general idea of just how not normal something seen like this truly is, not to mention that you're not a nutball, while also trying to convey that your not overreacting either. I mean, I know I've had friends who have told me about something strange they might have seen in the sky before, and at the risk of sounding like a total hypocrite, usually the first thing I'm thinking is:

A) Probably what they saw was something 'normal' like a star, planet, satellite, plane, etc., and they probably just mistook it for something it wasn't, and B) Has this person been smoking crack today by any chance?

But yes, I think it really is hard to make the majority of people understand that you are not an idiot, and that you are not a crack head, and that you wouldn't even be telling anyone about this for no reason, know what I mean? Anyway, I'm sorry to babble on. The last file, (Map - SouthamptonVirginia3) just shows exactly where I was when I saw this thing.

January 24th 2007: Clearlake Oaks, California

Clearlake Oaks, California Bright Light Travels Over The Hill (Photos)

Date: January 24, 2007

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Location of Sighting: West over the hills of Clearlake Oaks.

Number of witnesses: 1

Number of objects: 1

Shape of objects: Round.

Full Description of event/sighting: I was walking



was while seeing this object. If your interested in having them, feel free).

I put my phone number down here on your contact form, but unless you feel the need, I wasn't officially requesting for anyone to investigate this. Just

of my van. The colour is as close as I could remember, although I do believe it might have been a shade or two darker.

The file (SouthamptonVirginia1) is simply just where I tried to give an accurate impression of how large this light was by




past my dinning room window when I noticed a very bright light over the hills to the west of my location. I went outside to check it out and it was moving from the west to east coming closer, actually in my

direction just a little north of my house. And as it got closer it zoomed way up in the sky in a blink of an eye. It went from being about 1500 to 2000 feet up to at least 30,000 feet, maybe more.

It was just a speck to my eye then. I have some pictures of it I grabbed my digital cam on the way out the door I would like to send them to you.





January 24th 2007 : Culver, Oregon

Culver, Oregon Sphere Shot Up
Like A Bullet (Photos)

Date: January 24, 2007

Time: Approx: Noon hour.

Hello Brian, I would like to report
a sight I had on January 24
around noon here in Culver,
Oregon.

I was taking pictures of various
things I had for sale and was
walking towards my Alfalfa field
when I saw this sphere moving
side to side really fast, one
moment it would be on one side
of the hill in the picture and the
next on a blink of a eye on the
other side.

At first I thought it was a balloon
but it was like blinking, in and
out, each time it blinked it
would be on a different side of
the hill.

I reached up to just shoot the
picture in hope of catching
something and right after
pushing the button on the
camera the sphere shot up like
a bullet. I mean it just like went
up and vanished, I couldn't tell
where it went!

I didn't hear any noise at all
and I would think for something
to go that fast boy it has to
make a lot of noise you know.

Also it was right over a fairly
travelled Highway (Hwy97) and

Culver Hwy too.

I told my wife and she told me
it was just a Paraglider.... that
thing was not flying I tell you,
it was like a light going on and
off but you could tell it was solid
reflecting the sunlight and you
could even see like when the
road is to hot around it.

So this is my experience the
entire show lasted less than 2 or
3 minutes and it was over, I
wanted to share my experience
it with you and the people that
visits to your site.

**All sighting reports courtesy
of UFOINFO and Brian Vike
Director of HBCC Research.**

prufospolicedatabase.co.uk

PRUFOS Police Reporting UFO's

John Kuhles will interview Gary Heseltine
1 Oktober 2006

on UntoldMysteries Radio
Need2Know.eu

Detective Constable and serving Police Officer --
Gary Heseltine

"Huge UFO"
Witnessed by
Police Officer

CLASSIC UFO PHOTO: FROM THE APOLLO 11 MISSION PROGRAM



Image courtesy of NASA and KeithLaney.com

3RD PARAQUEST ANNUAL CONFERENCE

**Saturday
28th April
2007
Sale**

**Masonic Hall,
Tatton Road,
Sale,
Cheshire, M33
7EE.**

Alan Murdie

Alan is the chairman of the world famous ghost club, and a renowned speaker on the subject of the paranormal. Alan is also a prolific writer, publishing many local ghost guides and is currently researching Victorian and early 20th century ghost cases.

Clint Denyer

Clint is a qualified Parapsychologist, Psychic Practitioner, and Remote Viewer, Writer, and Presenter. A Researcher in Egyptology, Ufology,

Ancient Civilisations, and the Universe we live in. He has lectured at many of the top UFO conferences around the UK, and presents his own weekly radio show on the Paranormal.

Ian Morison

Ian Morison works on the SETI (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence) project at Jodrell Bank Observatory, part of the University of Manchester. He believes that the Galaxy is teaming with extraterrestrial intelligence - it's just a question of finding it.

**Dr Jackie
Jones-Hunt**

Jackie has researched the experiential and theoretical aspects of shamanic paranormal phenomena, Out of body experiences (OBE's), Near Death experiences (NDE's) and mediumship for over 25 years. She has taught Psychical Research and Consciousness Studies at Warwick University

and other colleges in the UK intermittently between travel and research for over twenty years. She is author of *Séances with God: God through the Ages* and teaches Consciousness Studies/Psychical Research at Strathclyde University and Paisley University, Glasgow, Scotland.

**Paraquest
Conference**

**Saturday
28th April 2007**

Venue:

**Sale Masonic Hall
Time : 10am to
5:30pm**

**Tickets £12:50 in
advance £15:00 on
the door**

**Make cheques
payable to:**

Paraquest

**Send an A5 stamped
address envelope
(for programme,
tickets ,etc)to:**

John Slater

Tel 0161 969 870624

Broad Road

Sale

Cheshire

M33 2AL

PURCHASE INFORMATION

UFO MONTHLY.COM CAN BE PURCHASED BY CHEQUE, POSTAL ORDER OR THROUGH PAYPAL VIA THE INTERNET.

SERVICE DELIVERY

UFO Monthly.com can be obtained in two different ways.

1. As an email attachment.
2. On a CDROM.

SUBSCRIPTIONS

Payment can be made direct by PAYPAL by sending the relevant amount to my **PAYPAL** email account:

heseltinegary@hotmail.com

TARIFFS—UK

UK— Email attachment at £1.50 per issue or 12 months subscription for £18.

UK—CDROM £2.25 per issue or a 12 month subscription of £25.

TARIFFS-OVERSEAS (12 months)

EUROPE—36 EURO

US—\$54

AUSTRALIA—\$68

CANADA-\$68

SOUTH AFRICA- 272.00 RAND

REST OF THE WORLD—\$62

NOTE
OVERSEAS CUSTOMERS CAN ONLY OBTAIN THE EMAIL ATTACHMENT OPTION FOR SUBSCRIPTIONS

THE MAGAZINE IS NOT IN A PRINTED FORM BUT IN PDF FORMAT.

THANK YOU.

GARY HESELTINE
EDITOR
UFO MONTHLY.COM

COMING IN NEXT MONTH'S ISSUE



**A selection of
the best 'fleet
footage'
photographs
from around
the world**

**The Nick
Pope
interview:
Part three**

