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Dear Section 40,

Thank you for your letter dated 23 August 2004.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft.

With regard to your particular observation on 16 August 2004, I can confirm for that date, we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

The MOD is not saying that witnesses do not see things that they are unable to identify, just that we do not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question or to the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which we remain totally open-minded. Also, the MOD is often misquoted as saying that it does not believe ‘UFO/flying saucers’ to be a threat; this is a distortion of the MOD’s stated position which is that to date, we have seen nothing which could be classed as proof that extraterrestrial life and or ‘UFO/flying saucers’ exist. Hence to date, no threat to the UK has been discerned which has attributed to a so-called ‘UFO/flying saucer’.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Section 40

West Kilbride
Ayrshire
Reference 12/005/04/13  
I received letter 22nd June 04  

(Monday 23rd August 04)

I look note of your statement on U.F.O. an Alleged Menemore, and no Evidence of Experience. I don’t think it’s Memory of Defence to have witnesses to Solid craft. I kill take time. There is “plot” of the colours that one solid od grey as noted. I think if evidence by one man that time. They yellow from Tylor Sillen are still here in own Solar System. Do not times fly one century above your Defence Air Plane. But not always. There a lot of activity from one on August 16th 04. As 23:35 PM 5 Sillen Turner 4 at once on phase of 3 1/2 minutes all song to E. East one come down N/South. Since your letter he observe a few in sky is not so clear not by clearly. Bad times fly do Phenomenal acts of Tymon’s like Sillen. fly can still . I don’t think I can contact with each other with a white light. They are meant to be 2 at 5 above my Home Area.

I referred to a letter of Helicopter 5 total in 1980 and with my Dog at night hit made me conscious. I saw him very close up. Peter human male will shock features and only a cry is sound from problems above. Took me a while to think what Elsewhere because they make such a racket. we are used to them near on Helicopter’s. 5, were silent. Now the brief is necessary that you do have such sudden Tyle Helicopters. Every time I tell you is fruit for me. I do believe my place near the Random my presence as referred. I know one other place it their presence because by back was it is the real time you observe them as my close. In my working titles you them become a witness. I am a confirmed. I feel I have a clue. I think they just want to know my mind. I think it clear as more Phenomenal than we. yes, what you say in your way. I think you know it with that?
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Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 31 July 2004, the details of which you passed to Boscombe Down. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 31 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
11 August 2004

Section 40

MOD
F011
Fifth floor
Zone H
Main building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

Dear Section 40

Re Unexplained Sightings Over Shepton Mallet
31 July 2004

I have been given your name and address from the MOD in Boscombe Down, who have told me that you deal with any strange sightings.

I would like to inform you that on the 31 July 2004, at approx 22.45 I was leaving my house and looked up into the sky and saw a very strange bright orange object which was quite large and going in an upward direction, this was watched for a while and then it was decided that it should be recorded, both myself and my neighbours went and got our video cameras, on looking again into the sky it was noted that there were now three of these objects all following the same diagonal line upwards into the sky, they all seemed to be following each other, and they then disappeared vertically out of our sight.

You might also wish to know that a “UFO” expert has actually seen the video and can confirm that it does not look like any plane or military aircraft he has actually seen, due to it luminosity and the spinning, he thinks that it could be a genuine sighting of a UFO.
This footage has been played on our local ITV news station and also on the national station GMTV. To which there have been no confirmations of what the objects were.

I look forward to receiving your comments in due course.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 7 August 2004, the details of which you passed to Chingford Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 7 August 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
**REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

|   | Date and time of sighting.  
|   | (Duration of sighting.)  | 7th August 2004  
|   | | 04.30 Local  |

|   | Description of object.  
|   | (No of objects, size, shape, colour,  
|   | brightness, noise.)  | Strange looking object. Bigger than an  
|   | | aircraft. It had mesh around it and red,  
|   | | blue, green and yellow lights around the  
|   | | outside.  |

|   | Exact position of observer.  
|   | Geographical location.  
|   | (Indoors/outdoors,  
|   | stationary/moving.)  | Indoors  |

|   | How object was observed.  
|   | (Naked eye, binoculars, other  
|   | optical device, camera or  
|   | camcorder.)  | Naked eye, then husband observed it  
|   | | through binoculars.  |

|   | Direction in which object was  
|   | first seen.  
|   | (A landmark may be more helpful  
|   | than a roughly estimated bearing.)  | East, over the North Circular Road.  |

|   | Approximate distance.  | Not given.  |

|   | Movements and speed.  
|   | (side to side, up or down,  
|   | constant, moving fast, slow)  | “Stationary, but moving”!  |

|   | Weather conditions during  
|   | observation.  
<p>|   | (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)  | Not given.  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)</th>
<th>Duty Controller, Chingford Police, Section 40 on Section 40 who in-turn reported it to DCMC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Name, address and telephone no of informant.</td>
<td>Section 40 Chingford London Section 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Other witnesses.</td>
<td>Section 40 husband.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Remarks.</td>
<td>Chingford Police have the local authority CCTV footage of the area and will send it to DAS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Date and time of receipt.</td>
<td>Received by DCMC Saturday 7th August 2004 – 2130 Local. Received by DAS Monday 9th August 2004 – 09.30 Local</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I received a UFO report on Saturday evening at 2130 from Chingford Police. They were concerned, and had video film of the occurrence. I explained that we did not take such reports and that there was a separate number for UFO reports.

In the event I said I would pass it on, but gave them the number for future reference. However, the number we hold, 0207 218 2140, did not have the answerphone switched on so I was unable to use it!

Is the number still correct? If so could the machine please be reactivated. The reporting officer was The Duty Controller Chingford Police.
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 14 July 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Brize Norton. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 14 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax)

Sqn Ldr [redacted]
Royal Air Force Brize Norton
Carterton
Oxon
OX18 3LX

Your Reference:

Dear Sqn Ldr [redacted]

Thank you for the letter dated 16 July 2004 for which you passed to us, with regards to spotting a ‘UFO’ on the 14 July 2004.

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFO’s.

Enclosed is a copy of the report form, that we use when taking the details of a ‘UFO’ sighting, for future reference. Hope this will be of help.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
### SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Comd Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td>RAF HQ PTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ Land</td>
<td></td>
<td>D/C+L(F+S)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD DAS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Claims 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LA) C&amp;E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9 (Sec2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>RAF HQ</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S02</td>
<td></td>
<td>STC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOR MOD USE ONLY.

OS Grid Ref: **SP8684**

Serial No: **04/27/150**

File Ref: **26/61230**

LFA: **6**

Previous Complaint

No. of Complaints to date: **1st**

NS: 

Serial No: 

File Ref: 

### SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>Section 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surname:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forename (s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>14 July 04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time:</td>
<td>2310 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many Aircraft involved:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20/07/2004
Section 40

Corby
Northants

Section 40

Town/City:

County:

Postcode:

Telephone No.

Section 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT

X Address at Section 2,

.

.

Town/City:

County:

Postcode:

Jet Prop Hel Light A/C

Other (Specify):
Not known

Markings:
Grey Camouflaged Red/White Black/Yellow

Other (Specify):

Height
X Low Medium High

Estimation in Feet:

Direction:

Inside MATZ:
X No

If Yes which MATZ:

OVER

NOW TURN

20/07/2004
**SECTION 5: PARTICULAR OF COMPLAINT** (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Bright yellow light appears from nowhere for around 10 secs. Light at low level and is observed from back garden. Complains of shocking experience.

---

**SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)**

Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L(F+S)

Claims 3.

---

**SECTION 7: UNIT RESPONSE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Return Telephone Call
- Full written response sent (attach copy)
- Low flying leaflet sent
- Written acknowledgement only (attach copy)
- Visit arranged

Specify

Requires attention of

---

**SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT**

15 July 04 0030 hrs Hrs

20/07/2004
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rank:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel. No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IMPORTANT REMINDER**

ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999

THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARD TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS

20/07/2004
I am writing to acknowledge your recent telephone message and I very much regret to hear that you have had cause to complain about the disturbance by a low flying unidentified aircraft.

I note your particular concerns about this incident and I have therefore forwarded the details you provided to the Ministry of Defence department that monitors low flying activity, who will consider what action should be taken in this case. For your information their full address is:

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff
Complaints & Enquiries Unit
Room 6/64
Metropole Building
Northumberland Avenue
London WC2N 5BP

Reference: BZN/392/1/PR

Date: 16 July 2004

20/07/2004
From: Section 40
Sent: 28 July 2004 15:28
To: Section 40
Subject: Internet-Authorised: UFO Sighting.

Dear [Section 40]

Thank you for your e.mail of 20 May 2004. Sorry for such a long delay in getting back to you.

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 May 2004, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 May 2004, one in Lancashire and the other in North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft, on any of these occasions.

Yours sincerely

[Section 40]
Hello. At approx 09.43am this morning (20 May 2004) whilst lying in bed and looking out of the window I saw VERY CLEARLY what is commonly called a UFO. I live in Surrey.

Regards

Section 40
From: Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Your Reference:

WINCHMORE HILL
LONDON

Section 40

Dean: Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 13 July 2004, the details of which you passed to the Civilian Aviation Authority. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 13 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely
14 July 2004

Civilian Aviation Authority
CAA House
45 - 49 Kingsway
London
WC2B 6TE

Dear Sirs

I am writing to express my concern regarding an aircraft that was seen at approximately 1.00 am Tuesday 13 July 2004. The aircraft was clearly seen by my wife and myself from our bedroom window and it was not something that I have ever seen before. It most certainly was not an aeroplane or helicopter.

It was extremely large with pulsating lights and there was no sound whatsoever. We often have planes and helicopters flying overhead but this was something very different. I am not a believer in UFO's or alien beings and I do not believe in ghosts or things that go bump in the night. My wife and myself had an extremely good view because our bedroom is at the back of our house and is a loft conversion that has large windows. My wife and I fully expected to hear something about this on the news but in fact no one else appears to have spotted it.

Please do not dismiss this as a crank letter because I am not the type of person to just imagine what I saw. I am a 59 year old male and I do not frequent pubs or drink alcohol other than the occasional glass of wine with a meal or the odd glass of beer. I had not had any alcohol whatsoever and neither had my wife. We have been married for 35 years and have three adult children and a grandchild. We are just ordinary people who have lived in the same house for over 30 years and never before have we seen anything like this aircraft.

I would appreciate your looking into this for me and would be very grateful if you could give me an explanation of what you suspect this aircraft could have been. It was not completely circular but more like a circle than any other shape and the lights were pulsating different colours. I was not dreaming as I had only just gone upstairs to go to bed and my wife and I were both very much wide awake.

Once again I would ask you not to dismiss this as some kind of prank or something that is coming from someone who believes in little green men or such like nonsense. I would very much appreciate your response at your earliest convenience because I am totally perplexed about this.

Yours faithfully
14 July 2004

Airstaff 2A
Ministry of Defence
Old War Office
Whitehall
London SW1A 2EU

Dear Sirs

I am writing to express my concern regarding an aircraft that was seen at approximately 1.00 am Tuesday 13 July 2004. The aircraft was clearly seen by my wife and myself from our bedroom window and it was not something that I have ever seen before. It most certainly was not an aeroplane or helicopter.

It was extremely large with pulsating lights and there was no sound whatsoever. We often have planes and helicopters flying overhead but this was something very different. I am not a believer in UFO’s or alien beings and I do not believe in ghosts or things that go bump in the night. My wife and myself had an extremely good view because our bedroom is at the back of our house and is a loft conversion that has large windows. My wife and I fully expected to hear something about this on the news but in fact no one else appears to have spotted it.

Please do not dismiss this as a crank letter because I am not the type of person to just imagine what I saw. I am a 59 year old male and I do not frequent pubs or drink alcohol other than the occasional glass of wine with a meal or the odd glass of beer. I had not had any alcohol whatsoever and neither had my wife. We have been married for 35 years and have three adult children and a grandchild. We are just ordinary people who have lived in the same house for over 30 years and never before have we seen anything like this aircraft.

I would appreciate your looking into this for me and would be very grateful if you could give me an explanation of what you suspect this aircraft could have been. It was not completely circular but more like a circle than any other shape and the lights were pulsating different colours. I was not dreaming as I had only just gone upstairs to go to bed and my wife and I were both very much wide awake.

Once again I would ask you not to dismiss this as some kind of prank or something that is coming from someone who believes in little green men or such like nonsense. I would very much appreciate your response at your earliest convenience because I am totally perplexed about this.

Yours faithfully,
With the Compliments of
Sergeant
Deputy Chief Clerk to
Chief of the Air Staff

Room 230
Ministry of Defence,
Old War Office Building,
Whitehall, SW1A 2EU

Tel
AIRSTAFF 2A
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE,
OLD WAR OFFICE,
WHITEHALL,
LONDON,
SW1A 2EU.
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

|   | **Date and time of sighting.** (Duration of sighting.) | **22 May 2004**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>23.50L</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong> (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>Two objects were both an orange colour and were disc shaped. They looked like aircraft without wings. Were very silent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong> <strong>Geographical location.</strong> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong> (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong> (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Under a thousand feet up in the sky.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong> (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Objects glided. The objects moved at 80 degrees. Both did a flypast for about 20 seconds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong> (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Was a clear night.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
  (Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td><strong>Name, address and telephone no of informant.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section 40</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td><strong>Other witnesses.</strong></td>
<td>His girlfriend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td><strong>Remarks.</strong></td>
<td>The objects were very exceptional. Wondered if they could be satellites or satellite debris?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 24 May 2004  
  15.30L |
**Note of a Press enquiry**

D News RAF called at 11.30 on 20\textsuperscript{th} July 2004 to inform us that a local Newbury newspaper had called about reports of a UFO sighting in the Hungerford / Newbury area, near a US Depot at Wellford.

The UFO was seen at 22.20 on the 19\textsuperscript{th} July 2004 and consisted of a ball of flames with a solid bit underneath. The witness said the flames went out, the object changed direction, the flames came back on and it plummeted to earth.

Thames Valley Police launched their helicopter, but they could not see anything unusual. Nothing has been found on the ground.

I informed D News RAF that we had received no other reports, although our UFO answerphone is not in operation at present, so we may receive reports at a later date.

**Section 40**

DAS-FOI

20\textsuperscript{th} July 2004
Section 40

Greenfield
Oldham
Section 40

Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 21 June 2004, the details of which you passed to Air Traffic Control Manchester ACC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 21 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)
   21/6/04  1755  10 mins DURATION

b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc).
   LONG, BLACK, CIGAR SHAPE

c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving).
   OVER GREENFIELD, OLDHAM. INITIALLY INDOORS THEN OUTDOORS

d. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).
   NAKED EYE

e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing).
   TO NORTH EAST

f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable).
   APPROX 45°

g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).
   1/2 TO 1 MILE

h. Movements (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).
   SLOW, TOWARDS NORTH EAST

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.)
   LARGE, WHITE CLOUD FORMATIONS

j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, spires etc.)
   NONE

k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc).
   ATC, MANCHESTER ACC
m. Any Background On The Informant That May Be Volunteered

NONE

n. Other Witnesses

INFORMANTS PARENTS AND FRIEND.

o. Date And Time Of Receipt

2000 21/6/03

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC on

The completed form is to be despatched to:

Ministry Of Defence
Sec (AS) 2A
Room 8245
RAF Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 4 June 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Brize Norton. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 4 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
MILITARY AIRCRAFT ACTIVITY
PUBLIC COMPLAINT FORM

SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Comd Sec HQ Land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD DAS(LA) C&amp;E</td>
<td>D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9 (Sec2)SØ2</td>
<td>RAF HQ STC Specify</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAF HQ PTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOR MOD USE ONLY.

- OS Grid Ref: SP2978
- Serial No: 04/6/443
- File Ref: 09/8/19
- LFA: 8
- Previous Complaint
- No. of Complaints to date: 1
- NS: Serial No: File Ref:

SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT

- Title: Section 40
- Surname:
- Forename(s):

CORRECTED ADDRESS

- Section 40
- Coventry
- Section 40

- Town/City:
- County:
- 22/06/2004

SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT

- Date: 4 Jun 04
- Time: 2107 hrs
- How many Aircraft involved: 1 UFO
  - Jet
  - Prop
  - Hel
  - Light A/C

- Other (Specify): UFO
- Markings:
Section 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT

x Address at Section 2,

Town/City:

County:

Postcode:

Height
Low Medium High

Estimation in Feet:

Direction:

Inside MATZ:

x No

If Yes which MATZ:

NOW TURN OVER
SECTION 5: PARTICULAR OF COMPLAINT (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Section 40. Reported an unidentified flying object, flying below cloud level heading from Birmingham to Coventry. The object was black and silver, and of cylindrical shape.

She reports that 7 people attending a barbeque also saw the UFO

SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)

Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?

Yes x No

If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3.

SECTION 7: UNIT RESPONSE

YES NO

Return Telephone Call x

Full written response sent (attach copy) x

Low flying leaflet sent x

Written acknowledgement only (attach copy) x

Visit arranged x

Specify

Requires attention of

SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT

12120 hrs

Date Complaint received: 4 Jun 04

Signature

Correspondence to CCO Ex: Section 40

22/06/2004
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rank:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit:</td>
<td>RAF Brize Norton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel. No.</td>
<td>Ext Section 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IMPORTANT REMINDER**

ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999

THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS

22/06/2004
I am writing to acknowledge your recent telephone message and I very much regret to hear that you have had cause to complain about the disturbance by a low flying unidentified craft.

I note your particular concerns about this incident and I have therefore forwarded the details you provided to the Ministry of Defence department that monitors low flying activity, who will consider what action should be taken in this case. For your information their full address is:

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff
Complaints & Enquiries Unit
Room 6/64
Metropole Building
Northumberland Avenue
London WC2N 5BP
Dear [Section 40]

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 14 June 2004, the details of which you passed to DARA St Athan Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs’.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a ‘UFO’ sighting for 14 June 2004 and that was in Northants. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

[Section 40]
REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

A. Date, Time and duration of sighting (Local Time).

14 June 04 at 2315 (L)

B. Description of object.

One object about 70ft long. Seen in silhouette with very brilliant white lights. No sound at all. The object changed shape between being initially sighted to when sight was lost. See picture attached.

C. Exact position of observer.

Brackla, Bridgend on the [Section 40] (Built over old MOD / Local Authority bunker).

D. How observed.

Eyes.

E. Direction in which object was first seen.

To the north of observers position, looking towards the M4 and Brackla Radio Mast.

F. Angle of sight.

45 degrees from the horizon.

G. Distance.

Approx 1 – 2 mile from observer's position.

H. Movement.

During the observation period the shape of the object changed and then it disappeared at high speed to the south.

I. Meteorological conditions during observation.

Clear sky, No haze. Sky had a slight green hue to it.

J. Nearby Objects.

Brackla Radio Mast. Overhead the old Brackla MOD / Local authority Bunker.
K. To whom reported?

Cpl Section 40
Air Traffic Control
DARA St Athan
Section 40

L. Name and address of informant.

Section 40
Brackla
Bridgend
Home
Section 40 - Work

M. Any background information.

Nil

N. Any other witnesses.

Nil

O. Date and time of receipt of report.

15 June 04 0915 (L)

P. Reply requested

Yes
changed shape from this to this

Section 40

15-Jun-OK.
From: Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:

Date:
9 June 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 2 June 2004, the details of which you passed to National Air Traffic Services in Glasgow. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I understand that you believed the object might have been a Harrier aircraft. I can confirm that there were no Harriers flying at low level (below 2000ft) in your area at the time of your sighting. We received only one other report of a ‘UFO’ sighting for 2 June 2004 and that was in Middlesex. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft on either of these occasions.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
## Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report of Unidentified Flying Object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sighting Duration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of Object</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exact Position of Observer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How Object was Observed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direction in which Object was First Seen</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angular Elevation of Object</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distance of Object from Observer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Movement of Object</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meteorological Conditions During Observations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nearby Objects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To Whom Reported</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of Informant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address of Informant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Witnesses</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Receipt of Report</th>
<th>Wednesday 23rd June, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of Receipt of Report</td>
<td>0050 Local</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete report of UFO with as many details as possible and send to MoD Sec (AS).</td>
<td>Answer machine 0207-218-2140 TIME 0220 Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone details immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC(Mil), either direct or via D &amp; D.</td>
<td>Details given to FLT LT Section 40 at AIS (Military)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UFO REPORTS

Includes addressed envelopes to:

MoD AFO – SEC (AS)
TRACES

To:

Low Flying Booking Cell, LATCC, RAF West Drayton

I would be grateful if you could trace the following aircraft:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>LFA</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME (2)</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>N1+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>1/6/04</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>Fixed Wing</td>
<td>N1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2/6/04</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>Fixed Wing</td>
<td>N1+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9000
(Fax) 0171 218 9001

Section 40

Section 40
West Kilbride
Ayrshire

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
7 June 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of your reported sightings, dated 3 June 2004.

I can assure you that the integrity of the UK’s airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force. This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time “picture” of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of ‘UFO’ sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm for the dates 2 April, 17th, 19th and 30 May, we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings. On the 25th May, there was one other sighting, in Devon. We are satisfied there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft on any occasion.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
Ref D/DAS/642

Ministry of Defence

Received letter 25th 05/04. I know you say 16' shel's powerful are to you not a threat.

But I've been taking note. It's one Duty to Do So and since May 10th. practically every night, if skies are clear to see, they are here. It's too cold to stay out too more than 15 min.

The taken time note.

Sometimes one is date as 12.30 PM Pull out my car in garage April 2nd.

So 10th May 2 shelves 18th 2 shelves each by passed each other allowed to be a foot apart, Communicated with a flash in to light later one went on, other stalled few seconds. Rigel is above my head, grew brighter stayed there for ages. I was afraid to move, unless seen as the witnessed what these shelves can do. They can drop hundreds of thousand miles in one jump down. I sat there, and eventually went vertically up slowly. Told it's likely for matter. Faster into space. Without they, you wouldn't know it was a UFO.
I feel I watched? I would not say my one Not a threat. This is Quite a Strategic Area. Clyde Faslane, Huntington Nuclear Power Station

17th May, 17th. I only Slept out 5 minutes
19th May very large Biplane S/Late lower in your Air Space. Sony Scott, very fast. 11.20 PM.
25th May L Sphere
28th May L Sphere, I Half am hr earlier as I came out my car
4 turned up 5 one. Between 12-15 PM - 12-23 PM. Came in because I was went to dinner
So fly me there all the True Pursely. Sony where ever usually N/E. or N/S. ---
A Pvt from the one that Stopped me said somethy different.
I took my Daughter out to see him from St Andrews. She was too frightened to look up. You'd have to ask Keeper if they believe in 'Bings' from elsewhere? I think, for Keeper to handle Lone Sphere.
Try make me uneasy because he seen a craft 30-40 ft in length 15 ft High. Probably bigger than that thought like Libe & nice Xmas tree. mansion. I landed. As I said, feathers faster & the 'boring down' landing. Awesome. I feel terribly calm after it. Like as if UFO. So (sic.) I knew my one not from here. I could see as by 10 ft. My were Sodet craft no Scared. My are defiantly 'No' Bings detected by Radar in your lower Air Space. That Huhl
Think of you still in my last letter from you Do
Have a open mind. Because I woulddes claim 10m a no
Chief. They are too superior - massive - the shore do seial family
Impossible feat, when you decide to cut close. To one i -
my son wanted, as f look of Diagonally 2 a Tremendous speed
Electric Shark they around "<5" a 2 look off, Yet
was to first I knew this were here, ofler mercy to come below
The shore. Just when the day light out of me. my Son.
I feel a Real menace.
No layer UFO were more Angelic looking as sig let up.
My Hands were Pure white, hell its light. Yet I couldnt Tell
Quite Tady to Demon. But I Sort of Tacy to Say from where
I stood. To tell you hull I DoS know By Nobody else
Refuse there two larger UFO. All Remember Tom all my life.
You could never layer anyty so profound. Awesome Yet Beautiful.
There are a lot about, I feel to world i in Such a man By
Wrong places, I think thy maybe a Threat. Thy me not hear for
Notly. Thy exist. Anybody can see Thre shores if thy Stand where
I Stand. Thre seem to fly near around there a Lot, Thy is Shy 15
Lines, No Day Acrobat STunt. *i'm not too sure why th
seen l'm close up. The Notly to take a Gain or Power Sector.
No need to write Back, I DoS the Ill have anyty need to Refai.
Unless we are called away with Tom HA, I can contact Tom.

But I noticed, the very large one with the turret, contacted from behind with a flash. A blue white light. That all I knew. I feel my was the presence known.

Thank you for taking time to read my report. I believe people may see him too. But does he exist, or just references people are more afraid of the unknown. I hope hy are benefited.

Yours Sincerely

Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff, 3A.
Ministry of Defence
Room 6/73 Metropolitan Building
Northumberland Ave
London WC 2N 3BP
**REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

1. **Date and time of sighting.**
   (Duration of sighting.)
   - 1 June 2004
   - 24.00L

2. **Description of object.**
   (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)
   - The object was a bright light and it was flashing. Was making sort of engine noises.

3. **Exact position of observer.**
   Geographical location.
   (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)
   - Not given

4. **How object was observed.**
   (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)
   - Naked eye.

5. **Direction in which object was first seen.**
   (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)
   - Flew over a block of flats in Hamilton.

6. **Approximate distance.**
   - The object was 600yds away.

7. **Movements and speed.**
   (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)
   - It shot away very quickly.

8. **Weather conditions during observation.**
   (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)
   - Clear skies.
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Glasgow Airport Air Traffic Control. |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Section 40  
Hamilton  
Scotland |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | Not given |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | The object was there for only about 30 seconds. |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 2 June 2004  
14.30L |
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,
London WC2N 3BP

Telephone
(Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9000
(Fax) 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Section 40
Hayes
Middlesex
Section 40

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 2 June 2004, the details of which you passed to me on the telephone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 2 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Duration of sighting.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01.00L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Description of object.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Object, looked like a comet at first, was quite bright and lit up even more when it got bigger.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geographical location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>How object was observed.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Naked eye.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Was flying over Hayes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Movements and speed.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Going quite fast.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Was transferred to Section 40 phone, and I took the report. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Hayes  
Middlesex  
Section 40 |
|   |   |   |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | Not given |
|   |   |   |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | Rang up Heathrow Airport, to enquire if there were any planes flying at that time of the morning. |
|   |   |   |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 2 June 2004  
18.00L |
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 25 May 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 25 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>25 May 2004 17.00L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>Long single, black cylinder type object about 200ft long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Flying easterly over Paignton, Devon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Approximate distance.</td>
<td>Ten thousand feet up in the sky.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td><strong>Name, address and telephone no of informant.</strong></td>
<td>Section 40 Torquay Devon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td><strong>Other witnesses.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td><strong>Remarks.</strong></td>
<td>Was last seen drifting 20ft up in the air. Wondered if it could be an airship that went missing that day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td><strong>Date and time of receipt.</strong></td>
<td>2 June 2004 14.30L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPORT of an UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time and Duration of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)
   
   20-5-2004 1115 HRS  3-4 MINS

b. Description of Object (No. of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc)
   
   1 OBJECT, COLOUR WHITE, 'CHEWY MINT' SHAPED

   SOLID CRAFT, NO WINDOWS, NO WINGS, NO TAIL Fin.

c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving)
   
   RAILWAY STREET, NELSON, LANCs

   IN CAR - DRIVING UP STEEP HILL

d. How Observed (Naked eye, Binoculars other optical device, still or movie camera)
   
   NAKED EYE

   (PHOTOGRAPH TAKEN ON MOBILE PHONE)

e. Direction in which Object was first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)
   
   TOWARDS THE EAST

f. Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)
   
   30° (ESTIMATION 10000')

  (Comment)

g. Distance (By reference to a known Landmark if possible)
   
   3 NM

h. Movements (Changes in E,F,G may be of more use)
   
   A 'Z' SHAPED MOVEMENT WAS OBSERVED AND THEN DISAPPEARED INTO CLOUD.

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds Mist etc.)
   
   BLUE SKY WITH LIGHT CUMULUS CLOUD.

j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines Spires Etc.)
   
   NONE REPORTED

k. To Whom Reported (Police, Military Organisations, the Press)
   
   MANCHESTER ATC

MAN/FORM/005
Forms Doc. 1100/005 A
Page 1 of 2
18/09/96
Issue 3
Name and Address of Informant: NELSON, LANCS.

Any Background on the Informant that may be Volunteered: NOTHING RELEVANT

Other Witnesses: NONE

Date and Time of Receipt: 20-5-2004 1140

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATTC on Section 40.

The completed form is to be despatched to:

Ministry of Defence
Sec (AS) 2A
Room 8245
RAF Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON SW1A 2HB
Dear Section 40,

I have just received the letter and photographs that you sent to RAF Fylingdales regarding ‘UFOs’, that you observed in Sri Lanka on 27 March 2004.

It may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Defence of Sri Lankan airspace is clearly a matter for the Sri Lankan Government and you may wish to pursue your enquiries with them.

I am sorry I can not be more helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Scarborough
North Yorkshire

Section 40
UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC OCCURRENCE

1. Please find enclosed a letter and a couple of photographs I recently received regarding an unusual atmospheric occurrence observed over Sri Lanka on 27 Mar 04. As RAF Fylingdales routinely forwards sightings of UFOs to your office I am also forwarding this to you. RAF Fylingdales recorded no satellite re-entries or unusual phenomena on the date in question.

2. I have sent a standard reply to a copy of which is also enclosed.

Enclosures:

1. Letter from dated 26 Apr 04 and accompanying photographs.
2. Letter from RAF Fylingdales dated 12 May 04.
Unusual Atmospheric Occurrence

The Officer Commanding,
Royal Air Force Station,
Fylingdales.

Dear Sir,

For many years I was employed by the Ministry Of Defence at R A F Fylingdales as an employee of the A F D F S, my records will be held by the appropriate office. You will have seen by now the two photos enclosed, they are of an incident I observed whilst on holiday in Sri LANKA on the 27’th of MARCH this year, they may or may not be of any significance to your stations working, though may be of some significance to The M O D. You must admit they are unusual.

My notes on the incident are,

On Saturday the 27’th March 2004, whilst on holiday in Sri Lanka, at a small place called HABARANA, I noticed a partial aura in the sky, a minuet or so later there was a clap of thunder, then a short while later a ring like a doughnut appeared the ring was orange in colour with a white/cream finger pushed through, the head of the column glowed an orange colour, behind the doughnut, was a second cloud of colour, and a further ring of orange. The Time This occurrence happened was 17-30 hrs approx SRI LANKAN time. The only way I can describe the sighting is that of an atomic or other type of nuclear explosion, the cloud from which did not rise in the sky, but headed from the high atmosphere towards the earth. Whatever the outcome of this letter, I shall remain convinced that it was an air Burst of some kind or other.

I am fully aware that you cannot reply to my letter due to the nature of your service restrictions, what I am not sure of, is what the outcome will be.

Yours truly,

To,
B M E W S
Station Commander
Royal Air force
Fylingdales
Pickering
North York’s
UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC OCCURRENCE

1. Thank you for your recent letter and photographs reporting the sighting of an unusual atmospheric occurrence over Sri Lanka. Both this letter and the photographs have been forwarded to an office within the Ministry of Defence that deals with similar sightings and reports. The task of this office is to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance. It is also possible that there may be a natural explanation for this phenomenon.

2. I can assure you that your report has not been ignored and will be subject to further investigation by the Ministry of Defence. Once again, thank you for your letter and photographs and also for your interest.
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,
London WC2N 3BP

Telephone
(Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9000
(Fax) 0171 218 9000

Section 40

Your Reference:

Section 40

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of your reported sightings.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm for the dates 15th/16th April 2004, we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings. On the 10th May 2004, there was one other sighting, in Cumbria. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
Dear [Name],

In August 2003, I visited Yellow Stone and
one so will hear so much a blend of I go. Soon my
name, Joe. Your "PC" you, "like" you, "unlike" you and
looking at the back of the house of Parsons

We were able to get a straight line from it.

Some day we'll hear, "like", "unlike", "you"
and Parsons. Back was land same with, we'll hear, "close"
and a large UFO. Some day we'll hear, "like", "unlike", "you"
and Parsons. Back was land same with, we'll hear, "close"
and a large UFO. Some day we'll hear, "like", "unlike", "you"
and Parsons. Back was land same with, we'll hear, "close".

Yours truly,

[Signature]
Still hot. But not as much as in August. 

But it been too cold too cloudy to keep with a fly. Quite thick. Sky is cloudless much of the time. But I have known how to keep my car down. It on April 15th 10:40 PM. witnessed one. 

10:40 10:55 witnessed two. 

10" May 1 Store 10:47 - 04 

Better weather clear skies, probably more. I too cold to stay more than 15 minutes, or so. Too much cloud. 

However I am also curious to stay longer, as I write to little debbie often and a fellow behind my back 'flashed me to turn around. I am going to lift up and away. It was awesome to see. 

As I felt tremendous calm, like a UFO. So what? But was quite sunny for a few days. I think it more profound than I realized. 

I have witnessed it. Green lights after sunless was an astonishing entry. 

Welcome 100 ft. up. Angelic looking to way. 

I thanked down. 

As I have not been out for all to see, I can point them out 

I have seen a lot of UFOs. 

I don't know if they ever went away, all I 

Know by the end of the month was clear 

There were a lot more. Some time 3 times as 

Once, not as high as others. 

These shelves are still here. As better weather 

more. I'll be able to witness more. 

In truth I'm not too lucky to longer and not 

Sure of how 'general' could maybe inside. 

It to unknown the makes me uneasy. 

Slightly I have here. I'm really tell all truth. My name 

Official craft. You sincerely.
Section 40

Ministry of Defence
Room 6/73 Metropole Building
Northumberland Ave.
London WC 2N 5BP
Dear [Section 40],

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 20 May 2004, the details of which you reported to Manchester Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 20 May 2004, one in Lancashire and the other in Surrey. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft, on any of these occasions.

Yours sincerely,
REPORT of an UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time and Duration of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)
   20.5.2004 1330 30 SECS.

b. Description of Object (No. of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc.)
   'LIGHTISH, TRANSPARENT RING'
   'DEFINITELY NOT AN AIRCRAFT'

c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving)
   SKIPTON, OLD BAILEY

d. How Observed (Naked eye, Binoculars other optical device, still or movie camera)
   NAKED EYE

e. Direction in which Object was first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)
   TOWARDS THE EAST

f. Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)
   'NOT HIGH BUT DIFFICULT TO JUDGE'

g. Distance (By reference to a known Landmark if possible)
   4.5 NM

h. Movements (Changes in E,F,G may be of more use)
   NO MOVEMENT - JUST DISAPPEARED

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds Mist etc.)
   BLUE LIGHT CUMULUS CLOUD
   SKY

j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines Spires Etc.)
   OPEN COUNTRY

k. To Whom Reported (Police, Military Organisations, the Press)
   MANCHESTER ATC
m. Any Background on the Informant that may be Volunteered.

NONE

n. Other Witnesses

NONE

o. Date and Time of Receipt

20-5-2004 1350

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATTC on Section 40 Section 40.

The completed form is to be despatched to:

Ministry of Defence

Sec (AS) 2A

Room 8245

RAF Main Building

Whitehall

LOND ON SW1A 2HB
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 14 May 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs’.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 14 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date and time of sighting.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Date and time of sighting.</td>
<td>Duration of sighting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description of object.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Description of object.</td>
<td>(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exact position of observer. Geographical location.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>How object was observed.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>How object was observed.</td>
<td>(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Direction in which object was first seen.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Direction in which object was first seen.</td>
<td>(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Approximate distance.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Approximate distance.</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Movements and speed.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Movements and speed.</td>
<td>(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Weather conditions during observation.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Weather conditions during observation.</td>
<td>(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
   | (Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.**  
   | | **Section 40**  
   | Guiseley  
   | Leeds  
   | West Yorkshire  
   | **Section 40**  |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | His girlfriend. |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | Rang Heathrow, said something about it not being a 24 hour airport?!  
   | Could it be Terrorist activity? |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 17 May 2004  
   | 16:45L |
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 10 May 2004, the details of which you reported to the Cumbrian Police Contabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 10 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1. Date and time of sighting.**  
(Duration of sighting.) | **10 MAY 2004**  
**2245 - 0045** |
| **2. Description of object.**  
(No of objects, size, shape, colour,  
brightness, noise.) | **BRIGHT PIN T**  
**SPHERE EFFECT**  
**MOVES AROUND LIKE A KITE**  
**DISAPPEARS OVER THE HORIZON** |
| **3. Exact position of observer.**  
Geographical location.  
(Indoors/outdoors,  
stationary/moving.) | **FROM LOUNGE IN HOUSE AT HOME ADDRESS** |
| **4. How object was observed.**  
(Naked eye, binoculars, other  
optical device, camera or  
camcorder.) | **NATED EYE & 16X50 BINOCULARS.** |
| **5. Direction in which object was  
first seen.**  
(A landmark may be more helpful  
than a roughly estimated bearing.) | **NORTH - TOWARDS LANCADALE PIKES.** |
| **6. Approximate distance.** | **40 MILES. LANCADALE PIKES** |
| **7. Movements and speed.**  
(side to side, up or down,  
constant, moving fast, slow) | **UP & DOWN LIKE A KITE.** |
| **8. Weather conditions during  
observation.**  
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear) | **CLEAR.** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS'T CTO (C.E.T.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Name, address and telephone no. of informant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STORTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILNTHORPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Other witnesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Remarks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Date and time of receipt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 MAY 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL P. 03
please call about a WIP...
Section 40
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,
LONDON WC2N 5BP

Telephone: (Direct dial) Section 40
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) Section 40
CHQts address: DAS-Sec3
E-Mail: das-sec3@defence.mod.uk

FAX MESSAGE

TO: Section 40
SUBJECT: UFO Form
DATE: 7 May 2004
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER: 3

Here is the UFO form as discussed. Please feel free to keep a blank copy just in case you get any other UFO reports. You can fax these to me on the complaint's fax number.

Section 40
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 29 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 29 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
### REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

|   | **Date and time of sighting.**  | 29 April 2004  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>22.30L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong></td>
<td>She saw four bright lights, absolutely unbelievable. The outer circle of the round object, had eight lights going round in a clockwise direction and inner circle of lights, didn’t say how many, going round in an anti-clockwise direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3 | **Exact position of observer.**  | Not given  
|   | Geographical location.  |--- |
|   | (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)  |---|
| 4 | **How object was observed.**  | Not given  |
|   | (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)  |---|
| 5 | **Direction in which object was first seen.**  | Flying just outside Derby, for 20 minutes.  |
|   | (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)  |---|
| 6 | **Approximate distance.**  | A couple of miles up in the air.  |
| 7 | **Movements and speed.**  | Not given  |
|   | (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)  |---|
| 8 | **Weather conditions during observation.**  | Not given  |
|   | (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)  |---|
|   | To whom reported.  
(Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | Name, address and telephone no of informant. | Chederton 
Staffordshire |
| 11. | Other witnesses. | Not given |
| 12. | Remarks. | Was it a military plane? |
| 13. | Date and time of receipt. | 10 May 2004 
15.30L |
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 25 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 25 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

|   | Date and time of sighting.  
|   | (Duration of sighting.)     | 25 April 2004  
|   |                             | 08.50L       |
| 2 | Description of object.     
|   | (No of objects, size, shape, colour,  
|   | brightness, noise.)        | The object was a rectangle shape with a  
|   |                             | white light. |
| 3 | Exact position of observer.  
|   | Geographical location.     
|   | (Indoors/outdoors,  
|   | stationary/moving.)        | Not given    |
| 4 | How object was observed.   
|   | (Naked eye, binoculars, other  
|   | optical device, camera or  
|   | camcorder.)                | Not given    |
| 5 | Direction in which object was  
|   | first seen.                
|   | (A landmark may be more helpful  
|   | than a roughly estimated bearing.) | Moved from right to left, then dissapeared over Primrose Hill. |
| 6 | Approximate distance.      | About a mile away and 500ft up in the sky. |
| 7 | Movements and speed.       
|   | (side to side, up or down,  
|   | constant, moving fast, slow) | Not given    |
| 8 | Weather conditions during  
|   | observation.               
<p>|   | (cloudy, haze, mist, clear) | Not given    |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 9. | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Section 40  
Ealing  
London  
Section 40 |
|   | **Other witnesses.** | His son. |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | At first, Section 40 thought it was a helicopter. |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 10 May 2004  
15.45L |
Section 40

West Winch
Kings Lynn
Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
11 May 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 5 May 2004, the details of which were passed to us from RAF Marham. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 5 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely
To: MODUK AIR

SUBJECT:

SUBJ: 052340Z APR 04 MRM 0001 MSG ID
MODUK (AIR) FOR SEC(AS)DA. SUBJECT UFO REPORT
SERIAL 1 - 052310L MAY 04, SERIAL 2 - BRIGHT-PULSING-SPIDERISH,
SERIAL 3 - OUTDOORS, STATIONARY, SERIAL 4 - NAKED EYE, SERIAL 5 - NE,
SERIAL 6 - NIL, SERIAL 7 - CANNOT SAY, SERIAL 8 - STATIONARY,
SERIAL 9 - MINIMUM CLOUD COVER, CLEAR NIGHT, SERIAL 10 -
CONSTELLATION OF THE PLOUGH, SERIAL 11 - MILITARY (RAF MARHAM),
SERIAL 12 - LYNNE SOLO, SERIAL 13 - NIL, SERIAL 14 - NIL,
SERIAL 15 - 052310L MAY 04

END OF X400 TEXT

END OF X.400 TEXT
Dear Section 40,

Thank you for the reports of the sightings that you witnessed on the 19 April and the 22 April 2004. I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings on these dates from anywhere in the UK.

Your reports will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone
(Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9000
(Fax) 0171 218 9000

Section 40
To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported

Seen at ( Filey Brig )

On ( 19 - 4 - 04 )

Time ( 10.10 PM )

Heading ( East out to the North sea )

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, there where two objects travelling to giver. And almost touched each other at one point, then an other object just appeared at the side of the first two objects. The object then climbed at an incredible speed, and headed south down the coast.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter

Yours
To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported

Seen at (Queensbury Bradford)

On (22-4-04)

Time ()

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, did you receive any other reports in this area, or in the UK.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter.

Yours
To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported

Seen at  (Goole East Yorkshire)

On    (22-4-04)

Time    (4.30pm)

Heading  (stationary)

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, the object was stationary above Drax power station. The object looked like a boomerang, an aircraft was circling the object.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter.

Yours
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 14 April 2004, the details of which you reported to the West Yorkshire Police Constabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 14 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>14 April 2004 20:27L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>Looked like a Jellyfish flying in the sky.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approximate distance.</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>To whom reported.</strong> (Police, military, press etc)</td>
<td>The object was reported to West Yorkshire Police and then the message was left on the das answerphone, by the police.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 10 | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Section 40  
Honley  
West Yorkshire |
| 11 | **Other witnesses.** | Not given |
| 12 | **Remarks.** | The informant reckons he might have seen two bright white lights on the side of the object. |
| 13 | **Date and time of receipt.** | 19 April 2004  
15.00L |
Section 40

Dimchurch
Kent

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2

Date:
15 April 2004

Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 9 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 9 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

|   | Date and time of sighting.  
|   | (Duration of sighting.) | 9 April 2004  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>19.30L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2 | Description of object.  
|   | (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.) | Just said it was an object. |
|   | | |
| 3 | Exact position of observer.  
|   | Geographical location.  
|   | (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.) | Not given |
|   | | |
| 4 | How object was observed.  
|   | (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.) | Not given |
|   | | |
| 5 | Direction in which object was first seen.  
|   | (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.) | Not given |
|   | | |
| 6 | Approximate distance. | Not given |
|   | | |
| 7 | Movements and speed.  
|   | (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow) | Object was flying over and going very fast and then dissapeared. |
|   | | |
| 8 | Weather conditions during observation.  
|   | (cloudy, haze, mist, clear) | Not given |
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Dimchurch  
Kent |
|   | **Section 40** |   |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | Father. |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | Was it a Military plane? |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 14 April 2004  
15.00L |
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 11 April 2004, the details of which you reported to the Lancashire Constabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 11 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely
Lancashire Constabulary

SOUTHERN COMMUNICATIONS CENTRE
Police Headquarters, Hutton,
Preston, PR4 5SB

Telephone No. Section 40
Fax No. Section 40

NOTICE
If you have received this FAX in error, please notify me immediately by telephone and return the original to me by post. You must not keep the original. Lancashire Constabulary will refund the postage.

IMPORTANT YOU ARE PUT ON NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING:

The contents of this FAX are intended for the use of the person to whom the FAX is addressed. The contents are confidential strictly to that person and, in addition, are privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are strictly prohibited from reading, disseminating, copying or using this FAX or its contents in any way.

Fax Front Sheet

For the Attention of: Section 40 Manchester Airport Air Traffic Control

From: Southern Communications Centre Extension:

No. of Pages 1 of 1 Date: 11/04/04

MESSAGE

UFO SIGHTING: Informant: Section 40 mob no: Section 40 plus 3 other adults in veh. Approx 2043hrs, inf was driving down Formby dual carriageway heading from Formby >> Southport just going past Woodvale RAF base. They all saw a static UFO, with a cluster of 4 bright white lights in a ring shape on it, in front of clouds. 3 beams of white light shone upwards & then it disappeared. The UFO appeared to be sucked backwards at great speed into the clouds behind it. Inf heard no sound. Other witnesses: Section 40

Ow Log: 390 52110404

TOTAL P.01
REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting  [(Local times to be quoted)]
   11.04.04  20:43

b. Description Of Object  [(Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc.)]
   4 BRIGHT LIGHTS

c. Exact Position Observed  [(Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving)]
   RAF WOODFAL

d. How Observed  [(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera)]
   NAKED EYE

e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen  [(A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)]

f. Angle Of Sight  [(Estimated heights are unreliable)]

g. Distance  [(By reference to a known landmark wherever possible)]

h. Movements  [(Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)]

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations  [(Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.)]
   CLOUDS

j. Nearby Objects  [(Telephone lines, spires etc.)]

k. To Whom Reported  [(Police, military organisations, the press etc.)]
   SEE ATTACHED FAX.
REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting  (Local times to be quoted)

11.04.04  20:43

b. Description Of Object  (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc).

4 BRIGHT LIGHTS

RAF WOODVALLE

d. How Observed  (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).

NAKED EYE

e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen  (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing).

f. Angle Of Sight  (Estimated heights are unreliable).

g. Distance  (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).

h. Movements  (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations  (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.)

CLOUDS

j. Nearby Objects  (Telephone lines, spires etc.)

k. To Whom Reported  (Police, military organisations, the press etc).

SEE ATTACHED ATT.
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1. Name And Address Of Informant

m. Any Background On The Informant That May Be Volunteered

n. Other Witnesses

o. Date And Time Of Receipt

Revd e MACE 22:30 /11.04.04

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC on Section 40.

The completed form is to be despatched to:

Ministry Of Defence
Sec (AS) 2A
Room 8245
RAF Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB
Lancashire Constabulary

SOUTHERN COMMUNICATIONS CENTRE
Police Headquarters, Hutton,
Preston, PR4 5SB

Telephone No. Fax No.

NOTICE

If you have received this FAX in error, please notify me immediately by telephone and return the original to me by post. You must not keep the original, Lancashire Constabulary will refund the postage.

IMPORTANT YOU ARE PUT ON NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING:

The contents of this FAX are intended for the use of the person to whom the FAX is addressed. The contents are confidential strictly to that person and, in addition, are privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are strictly prohibited from reading, disseminating, copying or using this FAX or its contents in any way.

Fax Front Sheet

For the Attention of: Manchester Airport Air Traffic Control

From : Southern Communications Centre Extension:

No. of Pages Date: 1 of 1 11/04/04

MESSAGE

UFO SIGHTING: Informant mob no: plus 3 other adults in veh. Approx 2043hrs, inf was driving down Formby dual carriageway heading from Formby >> Southport just going past Woodvale RAF base. They all saw a static UFO, with a cluster of 4 bright white lights in a ring shape on it, in front of clouds. 3 beams of white light shone upwards & then it disappeared. The UFO appeared to be sucked backwards at great speed into the clouds behind it. Inf heard no sound. Other witnesses:

Ow log: 350 52/110404
National Air Traffic Services Ltd, Control Tower Building, Manchester Airport, Wythenshawe, Manchester M90 2PL
Telephone: Section 40

WITH COMPLIMENTS
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 9 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 9 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40

Greater Chesterford
Essex
## REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

|   | **Date and time of sighting.**  
|   | (Duration of sighting.) | 9 April 2004  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>17.57L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | **Description of object.**  
|   | (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.) | 60 foot long Symetrical object. |
| 2. | **Exact position of observer.**  
|   | **Geographical location.**  
|   | (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.) | Outdoors. |
| 3. | **How object was observed.**  
|   | (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.) | Naked eye. |
| 4. | **Direction in which object was first seen.**  
|   | (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.) | The object came from Duxford direction flying into the wind, flying in the direction of Stansted. |
| 5. | **Approximate distance.** | Object was 3,000ft up in the sky. |
| 6. | **Movements and speed.**  
|   | (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow) | Not given |
| 7. | **Weather conditions during observation.**  
|   | (cloudy, haze, mist, clear) | Not given |
|   | **To whom reported.**  
   | (Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Greater Chesterford Essex |
|   | **Section 40** was one of the six. |   |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | Six people witnessed it. |
| 12. | **Remarks.** |   |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 13 April 2004  
|   |   | 17.00L |
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 25 March 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 25 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)</td>
<td>25 March 2004 22.10L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>Large ball of light, looked like a rocket. No sound generally, but at times, a slight whirring sound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Naked eye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>From North to South over Leighton Buzzard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Approximate distance.</td>
<td>A thousand feet up in the sky.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Object was falling slightly. The speed was like a helicopter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Not given.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Leighton Buzzard  
Section 40  
Section 40  
Section 40 |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | None given. |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | No more to give. |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 30 March 2004  
10.30L |
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 17 March 2004, the details of which you passed to Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 17 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
Report of Unidentified Flying Object

a) Date, Time and Duration of Sighting. 17/3/04 20:20 40 to 50 seconds

b) Description of Object FAST MOVING BRIGHT LIGHT

c) Exact Position of DONBAR

d) How Observed NAKED EYE

e) Direction in which Object was First Seen EAST

f) Angular Elevation of Object HIGH

g) Distance of Object from Observer NOT KNOWN

h) Movements of Object SOUTH TO NORTH

i) Meteorological Conditions During Observations CLEAR

k) Nearby Objects NIL

l) To Whom Reported

m) Name and Address of Informant

n) Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered NIL

o) Other witnesses HER BOYFRIEND

p) Date and Time of Receipt of Report 17/3/04 20:40
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 1 March 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40.
**REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong></th>
<th>1 March 2004  20.00L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Duration of sighting.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong></td>
<td>Yellow light in circular formation. The flash shot across the sky and the lights went out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong></td>
<td>Outdoors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Geographical location.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong></td>
<td>Object going across Lowestoft, East Anglia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong></td>
<td>Object/lights moving fast up into the sky and across the sky.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | Name, address and telephone no of informant. | Lowesfoft  
Suffolk  
Section 40 |
| 11. | Other witnesses. | Her children |
| 12. | Remarks. | |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 2 March 2004  15.20L |
**REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | **Date and time of sighting.**  
   (Duration of sighting.) | 12 January 2004  
   16:30L |
| 2. | **Description of object.**  
   (No of objects, size, shape, colour,  
   brightness, noise.) | Round object with white lights all around it over the Huddersfield area. |
| 3. | **Exact position of observer.**  
   Geographical location.  
   (Indoors/outdoors,  
   stationary/moving.) | No details given. |
| 4. | **How object was observed.**  
   (Naked eye, binoculars, other  
   optical device, camera or  
   camcorder.) | No details given |
| 5. | **Direction in which object was first seen.**  
   (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.) | SW of Huddersfield |
| 6. | **Approximate distance.** | Not given |
| 7. | **Movements and speed.**  
   (side to side, up or down,  
   constant, moving fast, slow) | Not given |
| 8. | **Weather conditions during observation.**  
   (cloudy, haze, mist, clear) | Not given |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)</th>
<th>Reported to the switchboard of Leeds/Bradford Airport who relayed the message to DAS answerphone.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Name, address and telephone no of informant.</td>
<td>Section 40 No address given Tel: Section 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Other witnesses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Remarks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Date and time of receipt.</td>
<td>12 January 2004 17.35L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No answer on Section 40 number.
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,
London WC2N 3BP

Telephone 
(Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9000
(Fax) Section 40

Section 40

Rhyl
North Wales

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
24 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 18 February 2004, the details of which you passed to the Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 18 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th><strong>18 February 2004 16.02L</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>Large black object over Rhyl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Geographical location.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Policeman |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| 10 | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Section 40  
Rhyl  
North Wales |
| 11 | **Other witnesses.** | None mentioned. |
| 12 | **Remarks.** | NVW Police helicopter was up at the time and saw a civilian aircraft in the area. |
| 13 | **Date and time of receipt.** | Message left on our answerphone by Sargeant Section 40 |
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,
London WC2N 3BP

Telephone
(Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9900
(Fax) 0171 218 2140

Section 40

Holbeach
Lincs

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
19 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 11 February 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Wittering. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs’.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 11 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | Date and time of sighting.  
(Duration of sighting.) | 11 FEB 04 APPROX 2105+  
DURATION OF APPROX 3 SECS |
| 2. | Description of object.  
(No of objects, size, shape, colour,  
brightness, noise.) | 2 OBJECTS, DESCRIBED AS A FAST PAIR OF  
SPEEDING LIGHTS. NO SIZE OR SHAPE.  
VERY FAST BRIGHT LIGHT, NO NOISE.  
PASS CONTRAIL (BLUEBIRD LIGHT) |
| 3. | Exact position of observer.  
Geographical location.  
(Indoors/outdoors,  
stationary/moving.) | OUTSIDE, STANDING IN GARDEN  
STATIONARY  
HOLBEACH LINKS  
Section 40 |
| 4. | How object was observed.  
(Naked eye, binoculars, other  
optical device, camera or  
camcorder.) | NAKED EYE |
| 5. | Direction in which object was first seen.  
(A landmark may be more helpful  
than a roughly estimated bearing.) | STARTING FROM SSE HEADING NW  
FROM DIRECTION OF RADIO MAST/  
CONIFER TREE |
| 6. | Approximate distance. | UNSURE |
| 7. | Movements and speed.  
(side to side, up or down,  
constant, moving fast, slow) | EXTREMELY FAST  
LEVEL  
2ND OBJECT TURNED 180° BACK ON  
ITSELF INSTANTANEOUSLY |
| 8. | Weather conditions during observation.  
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear) | CLEAR SKY  
LITTLE / NO AMBIENT LIGHT |
| 9. | Details of any other agencies that have been informed.  
(such as police, press etc) | RAF WITTERING GUARD ROOM  
(C/LT Section 40), INFORMED RAF  
NEARISH HEAD SURVEILLANCE DIRECTOR |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10. Name, address and telephone no of informant.</th>
<th>Section 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOLBEACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LINCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 11. Other witnesses. | NIL |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12. Remarks.</th>
<th>Section 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAS SHAKEN BUT COMPOSED. A POLICEMAN OF 30 YEARS CLAIMS HE NEVER BELIEVED IN UFO'S BUT DOES NOW!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 13. Date and time of receipt. | 2210 ² 11 FEB 04 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14. Name and telephone no of person submitting report form to MOD.</th>
<th>FLT LT</th>
<th>Section 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SURVEILLANCE DIRECTOR</td>
<td>CRC NEATTISHEAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WROXHAM, NORWICH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORFOLK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Completed Unexplained Aerial Sighting Reports should then be either:

E-mailed on CHOtS or RAF CCIS to DAS-Sec3;

Faxed to DAS Sec 3 on Section 40 or Section 40;

Posted to DAS Sec 3
Ministry of Defence
Room 6/73
Metropole Building
Northumberland Avenue
London
WC2N 5BP;

Or a message left on answerphone: 0207 218 2140 or Section 40.
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 8 February 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 8 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong> (Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>Sunday 8 February 2004  21.45-23.00L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong> (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>4 Lights, I brighter than others, sometimes fading, other lights not as bright.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong> Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong> (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>With a camera.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong> (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong> (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong> (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | Das answerphone |
|---|-------------------|----------------|
| 10 | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Ely  
Cambridgeshire  
**Section 40** |
| 11 | **Other witnesses.** | None mentioned |
| 12 | **Remarks.** | She checked with the Police who did not have any helicopters in the area. She said it was not a plane, stars or helicopters. Also took some photographs. |
| 13 | **Date and time of receipt.** | Message left on Sunday 8 February 2004 at 23.21 Local. |
Dear Section 40,

Thank you for your letter dated 1 February concerning ‘unidentified flying objects’.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft. We do not have any expertise or role in respect of ‘UFO/flying saucer’ matters to the question or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which we remain totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

I therefore have nothing further to add to our previous correspondence. Your letter has, however, been placed on our files.

Yours sincerely,
When I last wrote to you some time in Sept last about my views, the war, as stated in letter 26 August 03.

Then also I'd one by Snd, I watched after we heard Cool

Bellowing all night. Some was some Annoyance.

The second one that day up, there is something I just out

about that one I was attracted by a flock of my Back

As I turned round, could see a thing as I stated.

But the strange thing was, when I did right up, there off the

write, it lift up, as away from front-shyft across to

its back, before I reached the back I could see it structure

from reflection of its front. It was definitely structured -

colour grey metal looking, well cut out - windows. Solid

grey like a Lance bed, so I said 3 times. Disappearance

lift up, it had good height of nearly 300 yards it went as slow as

the fibre office. Flower and green with strap fibre of the yellow centre

well the Aeroflame. The barn thought its only black dirty cloud.

Wobbled then did up. Told thought I did not imagine.
was for real. The word of the Yellow Shores, some trees in a
Dead Shaggy line too far for miles, a peculiarly flat Earth to South.

What worries me, I reported a wave of UFO's, asked you to come & witness them - you would've had no lack of friends etc.

This reminds me of my Stafford, tell me, he died more cause Ham Radio. Picked up from other Ham Racers more cause that.

He just were heading to America, he sent 5 over to its Americans who ignored it. Because they called think it was
feasible. So hence Pearl Harbour.

Someday Somebody is going to tell 'Ministry of Defense' so they
finally see, not going to be acknowledged. Just because they
think they are so superior in knowledge that nobody at a lower
level has none. This is not acceptable. Now, bright people
Don't take very done to notice lack of knowledge in our Superior.

The world is changing, everybody is entering a New Information
Age. and Beginning to Question Authority.

If we want a future it time you 'look Need of Responsible
people, views' - not treat them as fools. Or be No future.

We have to done with these 'views' with no suffer. To all
Seem wasted Space. We a High IQ's could have been a
member of Memex. If I could have done Math by Doug
Edinburgh University, is a Mathematician, Physicist, now Pure Math.
So were not a Simple Family. We need 'Perpet' of our Views. Yours Success.
Operation Policy 1A.

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE.

Room G/73 Methodist Building
Northumberland Ave
London WC2NS B.P.
To: D News RAF
Subject: Press Enquiries on UFOs

Section 40

I have received two enquiries on UFO matters direct from the press. One left a message on our answerphone and one called the public low flying complaints line. I do not normally deal direct with the press so would be grateful if someone in the press office could contact the following. I attach a few lines which can be given to both of them.

UFO PRESS
Enquiries.doc

Section 40

Thame News
Jordan's Courtyard
8 Upper High Street
Thame
Oxfordshire
OX9 3ER
Tel: 

She left a message on the DAS UFO answerphone concerning a loud noise, like an air balloon heard in the area in the early hours of 2 December.

Section 40

Flame TV Company

Telephoned our Low Flying complaints line and said his company are making a programme about UFOs. No further details given. Please inform him that if he needs any further information, we would be happy to answer his questions if he would like to send them by fax or e-mail via yourselves.

Thanks for your help.

DAS-Sec3
MT6/73

ENCLOSED NUMBER

22 Dec 02

Note: Press Office left a message on answerphone. There have been no further calls. 12/12/004

Note: Press Office called the phone using lines to talk provided. NFA. 12/12/004

Resolved over
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>2 December 2003 Between 0130-0200L for 5-10 minutes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>Loud noise like a hot air balloon heard, but nothing seen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Information passed by the local newspaper. No details given.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Nothing seen, only heard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Nothing seen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Approximate distance.</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Nothing seen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Not given.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc)  
Local newspaper.  
Thame News and then to DAS answerphone.

10. **Name, address and telephone no of informant.**  
[Section 40](#)  
Thame News  
Jordan’s Courtyard  
8 Upper High Street  
Thame  
Oxfordshire  
OX9 3ER  
Tel: [Section 40](#)  
e-mail: editor@thamens.net

11. **Other witnesses.**  
Members of the public have reported this to the newspaper. The newspaper has not provided us with their details.

12. **Remarks.**  
[Section 40](#)  
said that a similar noise was heard in the area 10-11 months ago. A local person with an interest in these things has told her it may be a Flying Triangle or the military could be testing “an anti-gravity method of propulsion”. She wondered if we could identify what this was.

13. **Date and time of receipt.**  
Tuesday 2 December 2003
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 January 2004 the details of which you passed to RAF Shawbury. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
### MILITARY AIRCRAFT ACTIVITY
#### PUBLIC COMPLAINT FORM

#### SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ MOD Sec(AS)2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ N8 (Sec2)S02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Comd Sec HQ Land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ RAF HQ STC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ RAF HQ PTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Specify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FOR MOD USE ONLY.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OS Grid Ref:</th>
<th>507194</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial No:</td>
<td>04/2/68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Ref:</td>
<td>6914/4758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFA:</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Complaint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Complaints to date:</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT

- **Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other:** Section 40
- **Surname:** Section 40
- **Forename(s):** Section 40
- **Address:** Section 40
- **Town/City:** Kidderminster
- **County:** Shropshire
- **Postcode:** Section 40
- **Telephone No:** Section 40

#### SECTION 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT

- Address at Section 2, or:
- Address: 
- **Town/City:** 
- **County:** 
- **Postcode:**

#### SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT

- **Date:** Wed 28 Jan 04
- **Time:** 1815 – 2000
- **How many aircraft involved:** ??
- **Type of aircraft**
  - [ ] Jet
  - [ ] Prop
  - [ ] Helo
  - [ ] Light a/c
  - Other (Specify): LIGHTS
- **Markings**
  - [ ] Grey
  - [ ] Camouflaged
  - [ ] Red/White
  - [ ] Black/Yellow
  - Other (Specify): 
- **Height**
  - [ ] Low
  - [ ] Medium
  - [ ] High
- **Estimation in Feet:** 
- **Direction:** NE
- **Inside MATZ?**
  - [ ] Yes
  - [ ] No
  - If Yes which MATZ?

NOW TURN OVER
SECTION 5: PARTICULARS OF COMPLAINT (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary).

**FIVE UNIDENTIFIED LIGHTS IN NIGHT SKY ABOUT TWO MILES AWAY TO NE APPEARED TO BE "FLYING" IN FORMATION.**

AGAIN ON FRI 6 FEB TO SEE WHETHER WE HAD DISCOVERED ANYTHING.

Section 40

---

SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)

Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C/L (F+S) Claims 3.

---

SECTION 7: UNITS RESPONSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return Telephone call</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full written response sent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low flying leaflet sent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written acknowledgement only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visit arranged

Specify

Requires attention of HQ P&SS

Other (Specify)

---

SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT

Time: (Local) 1513
Date Complaint received: 30 JAN 04
Signature: Section 40

Name: Section 40
Rank: Sgt LN
Unit: RAF SHAWBURY
Tel. No: Section 40

---

IMPORTANT REMINDER

ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1989.

THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDIED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS.
Dear [Name]

Sighting of Lights

I am writing to follow up your call to RAF Shawbury, taken by [Section 40] at 3.15 pm on Friday 30 January, to report the sighting of unidentified lights in the sky in your area on Wednesday 28 January between 6.15 pm and 8 pm. I do apologise for taking so long to write to you and for giving you cause to telephone again last Friday.

RAF Shawbury airfield was closed on the evening of 28 January as no night flying had been programmed during the week. In any event the helicopters from RAF Shawbury would not be operating at night in the Billingsley area, which is well outside our designated training area. It is also most unlikely that the lights would have been associated with anything operating from RAF Cosford as their airfield would also have been closed.

As I am unable to shed any light on the phenomenon I have forwarded details to the appropriate department in the Ministry of Defence in London for their attention.

Once again, please excuse my delay in replying.

With best wishes

[Signature]

cc:
MOD DAS(C&E) - by fax

www.shawbury.raf.mod.uk
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,
London WC2N 3BP

Section 40

Grosmont
N Yorkshire

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
11 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 4 February 2004 the details of which you left with the Operator at RAF Fylingdales. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 4 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
UNCLASSIFIED

Action Precedence: ROUTINE
DTG: 041000ZEB04
From: RAF FYLINGDALES BMESW/OPS OPERATOR/
SIC: Z6F

Subject: ACTION

To:
MODUK AIR

SUBJECT:

SUBJ: MSG ID/FYD/0005/041000ZEB04
SUBJECT: AERIAL PHENOMENON
1. FOLLOWING SIGHTING OF A POSSIBLE UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT IS
REPORTED:
A. 040615 LOCAL FEB 04
B. OBJECT APPEARED TO BE A SOUNDLESS PAIR OF LIGHTS, ONE YELLOW, THE
OTHER WHITE. NO DISCERNABLE SHAPE.
C. ON THE YORK MOORS IN THE VILLAGE OF GROSMONT WITH RAF
FYLINGDALES ON THE LEFT.
D. NAKED EYE
E. OBJECT SEEN JUST SOUTH EAST OF OBSERVER, FLEW OVER FYLINGDALES AND
ON TOWARDS PICKERING.
F. 25 DEGREES.
G. OBJECT VIEWED AT A RANGE OF APPROX. 10 MILES.
H. OBJECT MOVED ERRATICALLY (LEFT, RIGHT, UP AND DOWN). IT CAME TO A
SUDDEN STOP AND MOVED A GREAT DISTANCE IN A SPLIT SECOND. APPROX.
DISTANCE TRAVELLED 60 MILES (ACCORDING TO OBSERVER).
J. CLEAR, MORNING SKY. STARS OBSERVED RED IN THE SKY.
K. OBJECT INITIALLY VIEWED ABOVE TREE-LINE, BUT FOR THE MAJORITY OF
SIGHTING WAS IN CLEAR VIEW.
L. RAF FYLINGDALES THROUGH MOD OPERATOR
M. GROSMONT, N YORKSHIRE.
N. UNEMPLOYED OIL RIG DRILLER. FAMILIAR WITH HELIOPS AND THE LIKE DUE
TO PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT
P. NONE
Q. 040915 LOCAL FEB 04
R. NONE
S. INFORMANT OFTEN SEES HELICOPTERS AND COMMERCIAL AIRLINERS WHEN IN
THE GENERAL AREA, BUT DUE TO NATURE OF MOVEMENT OF OBJECT BUT
THOUGHT IT PRUDENT TO REPORT THE INCIDENT.

END OF X.400 TEXT

Time Sent: 041030ZEB04
ACP127 Identifier: RBDJIA 0220 0351018
MM Identifier: /DD.acp-plad-RAF FLYLINGDALES BMESW/OPS OPERATORS//DD.acp-r1-RBDJIA/CN=RBDWC/OU2=OUA/OU1
-~MODMAIN/O-HQ-AMRAD/P-MODUK-AMRAD/A= /~GB/@3227 04020410327Z

UNCLASSIFIED
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 9 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, the Strange light, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 9 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description of object.</strong></th>
<th><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Duration of sighting.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Strange light</strong></td>
<td><strong>Geographical location.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 January 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Indoors/outdoors,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.30-1200L</td>
<td></td>
<td>stationary/moving.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Naked eye.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**Naked eye, binoculars, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optical device, camera or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>camcorder.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>first seen.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**A landmark may be more helpful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Not given</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Not given</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**side to side, up or down,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during</strong></td>
<td><strong>Not given</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>observation.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)</td>
<td>DAS answerphone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Name, address and telephone no of informant.</td>
<td>Section 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thaxted Essex</td>
<td>Section 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: Section 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Other witnesses.</td>
<td>None mentioned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Remarks.</td>
<td>Section 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>said the time was just after 1200 and she had been watching this strange light for about 1½ hours and it was still there at the time of the call. The 8&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; was a Thursday and the message was recorded on Friday at 12.15 am, I therefore believe that she should have said it was the 9&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; January.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Date and time of receipt.</td>
<td>9 January 2004 12.15L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 28 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs’.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, of the flashing green lights, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 28 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong> (Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>28 January 2004  18.30L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2. | **Description of object.**  
(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.) | Saw flashing green lights. 1000-5000ft. Lights stayed on for about 2 seconds. |
| 3. | **Exact position of observer.**   
**Geographical location.**  
(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.) | Outdoors but in car |
| 4. | **How object was observed.**  
(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.) | Not given |
| 5. | **Direction in which object was first seen.**  
(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.) | They were driving in a North-North West direction along Blackwell Road. South – N/NW |
| 6. | **Approximate distance.** | Not given |
| 7. | **Movements and speed.**  
(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow) | Flew off very fast and low. |
| 8. | **Weather conditions during observation.**  
(cloudy, haze, mist, clear) | Not given |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>To whom reported.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Police, military, press etc)</th>
<th>Das answerphone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td><strong>Name, address and telephone no of informant.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section 40</strong>&lt;br&gt;Hampton&lt;br&gt;Peterborough&lt;br&gt;Cambridgeshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td><strong>Other witnesses.</strong></td>
<td>Daughter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td><strong>Remarks.</strong></td>
<td>Not a normal aircraft.&lt;br&gt;No sound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td><strong>Date and time of receipt.</strong></td>
<td>Tuesday 28 January 20.39L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 27 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, the four dull red lights, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 27 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th><strong>Tuesday 27 Jan 2004  21.00L</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>4 dull red lights above his house, north of Peterborough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong>&lt;br&gt;Geographical location.&lt;br&gt;(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Travelling fast and low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Cloudy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
   | **(Police, military, press etc)** | Das answerphone |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 9. | **Name, address and telephone no**  
   | **of informant.** | Section 40  
   |                                | Bretton  
   |                                | Peterborough  
   |                                | Section 40  
   |                                | Section 40 |
| 10. | **Other witnesses.** | Not given |
| 11. | **Remarks.** | Changed formation and disappeared behind clouds.  
   |                                | Did not recognise as normal aircraft they see in the area. |
| 12. | **Date and time of receipt.** | Tuesday 27 Jan 2004  21.30L |
Dear [Section 40]

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 2 January 2004 the details of which were passed to us by Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 2 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
Report of Unidentified Flying Object

a) Date, Time and Duration of Sighting.
   2-1-2004, 04:30, 2-3 mins

b) Description of Object
   Square red object, pintail at front

c) Exact Position of Observer
   Indoors, at address noted at (in) - 500 feet from airfield.

d) How Observed
   Through house window, naked eye.

e) Direction in which Object was First Seen
   Out to west of house towards Aran.

f) Angular Elevation of Object
   Low angle

g) Distance of Object from Observer
   Estimated at 1/2 miles - 2 miles

h) Movements of Object
   West to east appeared to be descending, as fast as a fighter.

i) Meteorological Conditions During Observations
   Clear, wind calm.

k) Nearby Objects
   None observed.

l) To Whom Reported
   Ewart supervisor Scottish Airways, Dorsade.

m) Name and Address of Informant
   Section 40

n) Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered
   None.

o) Other witnesses
   None.

p) Date and Time of Receipt of Report
   2-1-2004 15:08

SCO/P/120
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SCO/PS/CENTRE
Section 40
Market Harborough
Leicestershire

Your Reference:

Our Reference:
D/DAS/64/2
Date:
2 February 2004

Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 9 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 9 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We can confirm also, that there were no F117’s, or B2’s over the UK on that same date. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong> (Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>9 January 2004 1400-1800L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong> (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>One large black triangular aircraft with three bright lights in a triangle formation. Rumbling sound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer. Geographical location.</strong> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Outdoors in his garden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong> (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Naked eye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong> (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>SE-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong> (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Flying directly overhead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong> (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9. | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | DAS answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | **Section 40**  
Market Harborough  
Leicestershire  
Tel: **Section 40** |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | **Section 40**  
Was in his back garden when a commercial airliner went over and then directly overhead he saw a large black triangular aircraft with lights on each corner, “jumping about the sky”. He wondered if it may have been a military F117 or B2. |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 10 January 2004  18.44L |

DAS-VF confirmed there were no F117's or B2 over the UK on the 9th Jan.  
Section 40  
14/1/04

Section 40 made further call to answerphone at 11:32am on Tuesday 20th January 2004. Repeated the message he left on the 14th January.  
Section 40  
26/1/04
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 29 December 2003 the details of which were passed to us by Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 December 2003 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
Report of Unidentified Flying Object

a) Date, Time and Duration of Sighting.
   29/12/03 APPROX 0150Z AND ON PREVIOUS EVENINGS.

b) Description of Object
   STEADY BRIGHT LIGHT RESEMBLING FRONT OF HELICOPTER

c) Exact Position of
   EAST/SOUTHEAST OF BARNTON PARK, EDINBURGH

d) How Observed
   BY EYE NAKED EYE,

e) Direction in which Object was First Seen
   DIRECTION OF CORSTORPHINE HILL

f) Angular Elevation of Object
   SIMILAR TO THAT AT WHICH OBSERVER WOULD EXPECT TO
   SEE AIRCRAFT ON APPROACH OR "STACKING" FOR EGH.

g) Distance of Object from Observer
   NOT KNOWN.

h) Movements of Object
   STEADY

i) Meteorological Conditions During Observations
   SEE ATTACHMENT.

k) Nearby Objects
   NONE

l) To Whom Reported
   Section 40

m) Name and Address of Informant
   Section 40

n) Any Background Information on the Informant that may be volunteered
   SOUNDED LIKE AN OLDER LADY

o) Other witnesses
   NONE

p) Date and Time of Receipt of Report
   2/3/12/03 0153Z
When I answered the telephone she asked to be put through to Edinburgh Airport, most likely BAA. Crossed with ATC and they had not been contacted by her. Have tried to locate where it is, but the phone gives unobtainable tone when it is dialled.

At end of conversation having been told that we knew of nothing there, conceded that it was possibly a planet or satellite.
Dear Sir Madam,

thank you for your reply which reached me on the 25 Dec 2003.
On 25 Nov 2003 at 23 past 2 in the afternoon I saw a object in the sky like I had never seen before. I opened my French windows, and about a mile North of my window there was this glorious strong bright light coming down to earth at a steady speed, the light was so so bright I have never seen such a strong glow in all my life it was unbelieveable it had a trickle of smoke hovering behind it there was no sound from the object at all. Perfectly straight it came down, perfectly straight.

we will take our family dogs and look around in the area, and see if we can find any clues, but its deceiving when you looking from a distance isn't it trying to find where it landed. The coming light from where I saw it was huge.

I was so amazed thats why I had to share Shrewsbury and tell them if my husband would of been at home at the time it happen I would of gone to have a look, but because I was on my own I was a little wary,
because these days it's just not safe to wander around fields on your own.

If we do come across anything unusual we will let you know as soon as possible.

Many thanks for your reply.

PS. There was something else I should mention. I have three children and when my 11-year-old daughter heard the story, and she said that a girl aged the same age 11 year old, said to a friend, 'I saw a ball of fire in the sky!' So she must have seen the same object has me. My daughter said she was shouting it out loud, they go to school in...
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your letter of 21 December 2003 to my colleague, concerning your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 1 December 2003. Your letter has been passed to me as this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

As you will be aware from our previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence examines reports of UFOs solely to establish whether they present any evidence that UK airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 December from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that UK airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

As for your suggestion that the MOD should develop something to combat alien spacecraft, I should inform you that while the MOD remains open-minded as to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, to date we know of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

Yours sincerely
Your ref D/143/03/12/36

Dear

Thank you for your letter of the 15th. I have noted your comments therein.

Nothing in any field covered so anything like what I saw. The planetoid was huge and rough to the navel of 2 in diameter. Side by side, it rode in water and could steer or pivot and change direction. My guess is it called the 'little green men' private device here's tested to which care it should have been in either isolated area
over the sea, having studied all aircraft for the past 40 years. I have never seen anything "as good as this machine," My second theory is it could be more serious from a dealer since we don't know 100% about them again if it belongs to that dimension what we call UFOs, why the size? I know your reply could be if to defense significance or is it we don't have a not developed anything to combat it yet I have the belief that if these things exist and I am not 100% convinced they could have taken us years ago if they do exist they have some beautiful aircraft.
Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17th December 2003, the details of which you gave to our Low Flying Complaints and Enquiry unit. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that, to date, we have received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 17 December from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
Wokingham
Berkshire

He and wife returning home in their car along Peacock Lane
saw an object - 60 ft across x 40 ft high.

Thing remained still for approx 2-3 mins then drifted slowly off towards
Reading. Our location for 20 mins

The object was Black / Dark with
lights along the side and a weird
tone light-like when electrical
strobe light. No sound.

17th Dec.
7:15 pm.
Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an unusual noise heard on 2 December, the details of which were left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'Unidentified Flying Objects.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to the unusual noise heard in the vicinity of your home on 2 December, we are satisfied that that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,
# REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><strong>Date and time of sighting.</strong></td>
<td>Tuesday 2 December 2003 01.20 for 1 minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Duration of sighting.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong></td>
<td>Nothing seen. Loud noise like a balloon letting out air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer.</strong></td>
<td>Not Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Geographical location.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong></td>
<td>Not seen, only heard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong></td>
<td>Not seen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong></td>
<td>Not seen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong></td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc) | DAS answerphone. |
|---|---|---|
| 10. | **Name, address and telephone no of informant.** | Aylesbury  
Bucks  
Section 40  
Tel Section 40 |
| 11. | **Other witnesses.** | None given, but said the local newspaper knew of the event. |
| 12. | **Remarks.** | Lady said they heard the same thing in the area about a year ago. |
| 13. | **Date and time of receipt.** | 11.36am Tuesday 2 December 2003. |
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone
(Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) (GTN)

Section 40

Section 40

Your Reference

Wem
Section 40

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/2
Date
23 December 2003

Dear Section 40,

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 November 2003, the details of which you gave to the Community Relations Officer at RAF Shawbury. Your report has been forwarded to this department, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 November from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

cc: CRO RAF Shawbury
**PUBLIC COMPLAINT**
(NB – To be faxed to the CRO asap on receipt or delivered on the next working day)

**Origin**
From: (please tick one box) 
- Ops Desk
- SDO/00
- D OIC Fg

**Personal Details of Complainant**
(Complete ALL boxes unless repeat complaint)
- **Name**: [Section 40]
- **Initials**: [Section 40]
- **Address**: Wem
- **Post Code**: [Section 40]
- **Tel No**: [Section 40]
- **Has person complained before? (Y/N)**

**Details of Incident**
- **Date**: 25 NOV 03
- **Time (Local)**: 14.25
- **Type (FW/RW)**: BRIGHT LIGHT
- **No of ac**: [Section 40]
- **Other info (Colour/markings)**: ORANGE VERT RED DESCENDING
- **Direction**: DESCENDING
- **Est Ht**: ?

**Location of Incident**
- **OS Grid?** S25129

**Particulars of Complaint**

**Section 40**

LOOKED OUT OF THE WINDOW AND SAW AN AMAZING BRIGHT ORANGE/RED LIGHT DESCENDING NORTH OF THE TOWN. SHE HAD NEVER SEEN ANYTHING LIKE IT IN HER LIFE BEFORE, IT WAS ALSO SEEN BY AN 11-YEAR-OLD PUPIL AT WEM (SHE SAID).

If adjacent to airfield/RLG, please specify runway/landing direction in use;

Please specify any injury/damage (or N/A):

**Stn Response/Action**
(by Ops/00/D OIC Fg)

- **Date and local time of call**: 25 NOV 14.25
- **Taken by (name/rank)**: [Section 40]
- **Sect & tel ext**: [Section 40]
- **Signature**: [Section 40]

**CRO Follow-up Action (see over)**
Dear [Name],

SIGHTING OF STRANGE LIGHT

I am writing to acknowledge your telephone call on Tuesday afternoon, 25 November, to report a strange bright orange/red light which appeared in the sky to the north of Wem at 2.25 pm and would like to thank you for bringing the phenomenon to my attention.

As RAF Shawbury is not really equipped to conduct research into sightings of this sort I am forwarding your comments to the appropriate department at the Ministry of Defence in London.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further concerns.

Yours sincerely,

[Name]

Squadron Leader
for Officer Commanding

cc:

MOD DAS [Name] - by fax

www.shawbury.raf.mod.uk
Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report of Unidentified Flying Object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sighting Duration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of Object</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exact Position of Observer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How Object was Observed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direction in which Object was First Seen</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angular Elevation of Object</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distance of Object from Observer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Movement of Object</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meteorological Conditions During Observations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nearby Objects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To Whom Reported</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of Informant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address of Informant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Witnesses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of Receipt of Report</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time of Receipt of Report</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CREDIBLE WITNESS ‘UFO’ REPORT – 17 NOV 03

Reference:

A. D/Sec(AS)/64/2 dated 17 Nov 03.

At Reference, you asked whether the report submitted by Sgt from New Scotland Yard, through Plt Off at CRC Neatishead, regarding “20-30 red flashing lights in the sky accompanied by a whirring noise” at 0225hrs on 17 Nov 03 at Bromley in Kent, represented anything of air defence interest. CRC Neatishead have reviewed the radar tapes for the time period specified and other than routine air traffic in the area, nothing additional was detected in the area despite good radar coverage. Therefore, I can now confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.

Signed on CHOts
Wg Cdr
DUK SO1 Air Ops 2
MT466

Action:
Sgt at New Scotland Yard not on duty. Message of outcome passed to control room. No details of the people reporting this sighting so unable to reply direct. Police given my telephone number in-case Sgt wanted to contact me or pass us the address of those reporting the sighting.

19/11/03.
CREDIBLE WITNESS REPORT DATED 3 NOVEMBER 1999.

1. In line with our current policy, please find below a 'UFO' report which you may wish to be aware of. Although the report was originally from a member of the public, these lights were also seen by Police Officers and a Police helicopter crew. Swanwick and RAF Neatishead have also become involved. I would be grateful if you could let me know if the report represents anything of air defence interest.
Follow-up UFO Report – 170612z Nov 03

UFO report relayed to STCDO from the Duty Surveillance Officer Flt Off at RAF Neatishead who had received the report from F/Sgt at Swanwick Mil ext. Flt Off has also passed this report to MOD Sec (AS) 2a and to CAOC 9 – Fit Lt 625

F/Sgt had received the report from Sgt of New Scotland Yard Ref. No CAD 625 contact Tel No

'At 2.25am 17 Nov 03 2 adults and 1 child in Bromley, Kent saw 20-30 red flashing lights in the sky accompanied by a whirring noise and they recorded the occurrence on video tape. The family called the police who confirmed the sighting. The policeman using a lamppost as a marker concluded the lights were zigzagging / turning across the sky at a speeds faster than any man-made aircraft.

A crew of a police helicopter airborne at the time also confirmed the lights but they thought they might be lights of aircraft on the approach in to LHR. However Swanwick Mil declared that there were no aircraft in the approach pattern at that time all aircraft on their radar were at high level.'

S/L FO STCDO-C

Message left on DAS answering phone at 05.34 Monday 17th Nov by Pilot Officer of RAF Neatishead. UFO sighting details as above reported to Neatishead at 04.00 by Swanwick ARTC. The sighting was confirmed by the Police and Scotland Yard reported it to Swanwick ARTC. Police helicopter in the area thought they were aircraft approaching Heathrow but Swanwick say there were no such aircraft in the area.

Pilot Officer is on Neatishead Crew Room for website number
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) (GTN)

Section 40

Your Reference

Assistant Secretary
RAF Dependents Fund
Section 40
London

Section 40

Dear Section 40:

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’, seen on 5th November 2003, the details of which were left on our answerphone. As you may be aware, this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs.’

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting reports and can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 5 November from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
D UK/270/1/13
17 Nov 03
DAS Sec 3

CREDIBLE WITNESS ‘UFO’ REPORT – 5 NOV 03

Reference:

A.  D/Sec(AS)/64/2 dated 12 Nov 03.

At Reference, you asked whether the report submitted by Section 40 regarding “2 bright lights that hung in the air for several minutes before departing very fast”, whilst he was Southbound on the A11 on 5 Jul 03, represented anything of air defence interest. I can now confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.

Signed on CHOIS
Section 40
Wg Cdr
DUK S01 Air Ops 2
MT460

Section 40
CREDIBLE WITNESS REPORT DATED 5 NOVEMBER 2003.

1. In line with our current policy, please find below a 'UFO' report from the Assistant Secretary of the RAF Dependants Fund. Although Section 40 did not say in his message that he was either Military or ex-Military, his position with the RAF Dependants Fund suggests that he may be. I would be grateful if you could let me know if this report represents anything of air defence interest.

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date and time of sighting.</th>
<th>Wednesday 5th November 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Duration of sighting.)</td>
<td>16.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Description of object.</td>
<td>2 Bright Lights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Exact position of observer.</td>
<td>In car on A11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geographical location.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>How object was observed.</td>
<td>Naked eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td>Very high in the sky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td>Hanging in the air for several minutes, then departed very fast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td>Clear sky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>To whom reported.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Police, military, press etc)</td>
<td>DAS answerphone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Name, address and telephone no of informant.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section 40</strong>&lt;br&gt;Assistant Secretary, RAF Dependants Fund&lt;br&gt;London&lt;br&gt;<strong>Section 40</strong>&lt;br&gt;GPTN <strong>Section 40</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Other witnesses.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section 40</strong> wife and a friend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Remarks.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section 40</strong> and party were returning from RAF Honington to London and saw these lights while on the A11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Date and time of receipt.</strong></td>
<td>Message left on answerphone at 09.20am Thursday 6th November 2003.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE RAF DEPENDANTS FUND

General

1. The RAF Dependants Fund was established on 1 Jul 67 with an initial grant of £50,000 from the RAF Central Fund.

2. The purpose of the RAF Dependants Fund is to provide a single tax-free payment for the immediate need of widows, widowers, dependant children, or other nominated dependants of subscribing members of the Fund who die while serving on a regular RAF engagement or on specially designated Full Time Reserve Service.

3. The RAF Dependants Fund is a registered charity. The governing Trust Deed, Constitution and Rules have been registered in accordance with the Charities Act.

Scope of the Fund

4. All officers, airmen and airwomen, including members of the PMRAFNS, who are serving on regular engagements may apply for membership of the Fund. Specially designated Full Time Reserve Service (FTRS) personnel may also apply for membership. Eligibility will cease on the last day of paid service regardless of age.

Grant Payment

5. Because of the charitable status of the RAF Dependants Fund, the basic grant payable on death will be at the discretion of the Managing Trustees and will be related to the current financial state of the Fund. The amount to be paid will be reviewed by the Managing Trustees annually, or as dictated by exceptional circumstances, and promulgated to the RAF.

Subscription

6. The subscription is 25p per month per member. Six months advance warning will be given to members in the event of any increase. Subscriptions will be collected monthly in arrears by AFPAA(Inns) and paid to the Fund.

Income Tax

7. Subscriptions to the Fund do not qualify for income tax relief.

Closure During Emergency

8. The Managing Trustees may close the Fund to new subscribers when war has been declared or when, in their sole opinion, war is imminent. In such a case, the dependants of those who were subscribers at the date of closure may be considered for assistance from the Fund for such period and in such circumstances as the Managing Trustees in their sole opinion shall decide. Should the Fund be so closed, the Managing Trustees will determine the day on which it shall re-open and will notify all serving personnel of the date of such re-opening.

ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

Application for Membership
9. Eligible personnel may join the Fund at any time. Application for membership is to be made on RAF Form 7230 (RAF Dependents Fund – Application/Voluntary Withdrawal), a composite 3-part form. The purpose of the various parts is as follows:

a. *Flysheet.* The Flysheet contains basic information on membership of the Fund. It is to be detached and retained by the applicant on submission of the Form 7230.

b. *Original.* The original is used as the authority for the deduction of subscriptions from pay.

c. *Duplicate.* The duplicate is a card on which the subscriber agrees to be bound by the rules of the Fund. It becomes the Fund’s permanent record of membership and beneficiary.

**Effective Date of Membership**

10. Membership of the Fund will commence from the date on which the applicant signs the Form 7230 provided that the applicant is eligible for membership in accordance with para 4 and provided there is no undue delay in the Form 7230 reaching the Secretary of the Fund. The Managing Trustees’ decision on the effective date of commencement will be final.

**Action in Personnel Services Flight and Disposal of Form 7230**

11. Form 7230 is to be signed by OC PSF certifying the eligibility of the applicant. Should an applicant’s dependant be under 18 years of age, the applicant is to nominate on Form 7230 a Responsible Adult through whom any monies can be paid.

12. After certification by OC PSF, both the original and duplicate copies of Form 7230 are to be forwarded to the RAF Dependents Fund at the address shown in para 25. All applications from FTRS personnel must be accompanied by Form 7455 duly completed and authorised by OC PSF.

**Action by the Secretary of the Fund**

13. The Secretary of the Fund is responsible for:

a. Ensuring the computer input coding is correct on the original copy of the Form 7230 and forwarding the form to AFPAA(Inns) as the authority to commence the deduction of subscriptions.

b. Retaining the duplicate copy of the Form 7230 as a permanent record of Fund membership and details of the beneficiary.

c. Amending details of beneficiaries from RAF Forms 7233 received from units.

**Withdrawal of Membership**

14. **Withdrawal of Membership.** Members of the Fund who do not subscribe to the RAF Dependents (Income) Trust can withdraw from the Fund by completing Form 7230 and inserting Code ‘2’ as shown in para 4 on Form 7230. The original and duplicate copies of the Form 7230 are to be forwarded to the Fund for action and onward transmission to AFPAA(Inns). Personnel who withdraw from the Fund will not be eligible for any refund of subscriptions already paid.

(NB - Fund membership is a pre-requisite to joining the RAF Dependents (Income) Trust and, therefore, those personnel who subscribe to both the Fund and Trust cannot withdraw from the Fund without also ceasing membership of the Trust using Form 7329 – see AP3392, Vol 2, Leaflet 2406.

**Change of Nominated Dependant or Change of Name of Subscriber**

15. **Change of Nominated Dependant.** RAF Form 7233 (RAF Dependents Fund and RAF Dependents (Income) Trust – Notice of Amendment), raised in duplicate, is to be used to notify the Fund of a change of nominated dependant. After signature, the original copy of Form 7233 is to be
filed in the individual's Form 445A and the duplicate sent to the Fund. To avoid ambiguity, it is essential that subscribers to both the Fund and RAF Dependents (Income) Trust complete both sections on the Form 7233 relating to the Fund and Trust.

16. **Change of Nominated Dependant on Marriage.** When a subscriber marries, the Trustees will automatically assume that the spouse is to become the nominated beneficiary. Where the individual wishes a person other than their spouse to be the beneficiary, details are to be sent to the Secretary of the Fund on Form 7233. In the event of separation, divorce or re-marriage, it is imperative that subscribers notify the Secretary of the Fund of any change of beneficiary, if appropriate. If in doubt, subscribers are invited to contact the Secretary of the Fund to discuss beneficiary options.

17. **Change of Name of Subscriber.** Form 7233 is to be used to notify the Secretary of the Fund of the change of surname of any subscriber. If this also involves a change in the name of the nominated beneficiary, the two occurrences may be included on the same form.

**Continuity of Membership during periods of Non-Effectiveness for Pay**

18. Fund members who become non-effective for pay due to secondment to other forces must make private arrangements with the Secretary of the Fund for payment of monthly subscriptions for the period of secondment. Subscribers going on the unpaid portion of Maternity/Paternity Leave must make similar arrangements with the Secretary of the Fund. As no loss can be borne by public funds in respect of subscriptions to the Fund collected through RAF Pay, failure to pay subscriptions privately during the period of non-effectiveness for pay will result in the termination of the subscriber's membership.

19. **Illegal Absence.** Any subscriber to the Fund who is declared illegally absent will automatically cease to be a member of the Fund. Such individuals may re-apply to join the Fund on becoming effective for pay again.

20. **Detention.** When a subscriber to the Fund is awarded detention, membership of the Fund will be allowed to continue uninterrupted with subscriptions being deducted from the individual's RAF pay in the normal manner.

21. FPAA (Ins) will inform the Fund and the unit in all cases when subscriptions cease to be recovered under paras 19 and 20.

**Method of Payment on Death of a Subscriber**

22. **Notification of Casualties.** In the event of the death of a subscriber, the CO's representative is to ascertain membership by reference to the individual's personal documents [see also Leaflet 2406 (The RAF Dependents (Income) Trust)]. The Secretary of the Fund is to be informed of the casualty without delay by fax, using the fax number quoted in para 25, giving the following particulars:

   a. Number, rank and name of the subscriber.

   b. Date and cause of death, if known.

   c. Name and address of the spouse or nominated beneficiary.

Speed is the essential factor and the submission is not to be delayed because of lack of information on any specific point. If necessary, the fax is to be amplified by telephone, letter or further fax. **Units and Visiting Officers are not to advise individuals that they are beneficiaries until confirmation has been given by the Secretary of the Fund.**

23. **Payment to Beneficiaries.** On receipt of the Casualty fax, the Managing Trustees will take immediate action regarding payment of a grant. The final decision regarding the beneficiary, the method of payment and the amount will be at the sole discretion of the Trustees.

**Fund Organisation**

http://main.chots.mod.uk/rafpubs/ap3392v2/12405.htm 10/11/03
24. The Fund and its assets are controlled by a committee of Managing Trustees as follows:

President: - AMP

Members - Controller, RAF Benevolent Fun.
AOA HQPTC
Cmd Sec HQPTC
Gp Capt SF&CS HQPTC

Communications

25. All communications should be addressed to:

The Secretary
Royal Air Force Dependants Fund,
67 Portland Place,
London W1B 1AR.

Telephone: 020-7580-8343 (Switchboard)
(Switchboard) (Direct Line)
(Direct Line) (Fax)
(Fax) (GPTN)

Publicity

26. The continued ability of the RAF Dependants Fund to assist the beneficiaries of subscribers who die depends on the support it receives from serving personnel and COs should give the scheme the widest publicity. Every facility is to be afforded to personnel who wish to be subscribers to the Fund and advice offered to all eligible personnel to ensure that they are aware of the value of the scheme.

(AL187, May 03)
From: DAS-LA OpsPol1
Sent: 06 November 2003 15:22
To: Section 40
Subject: UFO
Signed By: das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk
Security Label: Signed

Dear Section 40,

Thank you for your e-mail of 26 October concerning your sighting of unusual aerial activity at North Wirral.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the UK from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe that it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked at our sighting reports and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 26 October from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk
As I left a friends house on the evening above, I happened to look into the night sky. Conditions were extremely clear and as I commented to my friend on how grand the night sky looked, I noticed two objects traveling side by side directly overhead, they were a dull red colour and appeared to be moving about as fast as a satellite against the background stars. What fascinated both me and my friend as they passed overhead (East-west) the two objects suddenly started to zig zag in and out of each others orbits. Like all satellites I have observed in the past there was no sound of any jet engines, just silence, but unlike the satellites I have seen before, there were two objects and unlike satellites they began to zig zag, crossing each others paths as they moved what appeared to be high speed against the background stars. It was quite amazing to see this as I have been a keen amateur astronomer for over 20 years and I have never seen anything like this before in the night sky.
Your files are attached and ready to send with this message.
Thank you for your letter of 5 October 2003 in which you report a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' over Bridlington on 22 July 2003. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your question concerning whether there were any unusual radar signals that day, you may wish to be aware that this department does not hold radar data. It is generally the case that air defence radar data is recorded and held for 30 days before disposal. In any case, such data is held in electronic form, requiring appropriate software to translate it and necessary expertise to interpret it. Furthermore, any such information would be classified as it reflects the capabilities of the respective systems and it is unlikely it would be released.

Finally, I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential military threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 22 July the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
To whom it may concern

On the 22nd July of this year, I filmed on video what I believe are genuine UFOs over Bridlington.

The object was silver white in colour and appeared at a high altitude then proceeded to fly in much lower until it looked as though it were at roof top level. Although it was higher this is how it appeared looking up from the ground.

Since it was totally silent I ruled out that it could be a helicopter or a small plane and it was most diffidently not a balloon as it came in from a great height and was pulsing as it traveled. After about 10 minutes it reappeared at an unbelievable speed only this time it was going in the opposite direction.

I also filmed what I believe was the same object stationary in the sky the next day. It stayed stationary a few minutes then proceeded to move right across the sky in front of me. Calendar TV showed this film 3 days in a row and it caused a great deal of interest. (It is totally genuine)

What I would like to no is if there was any unusual radar signals that day or during July that could account for these objects.

Yours

[Signature]
**REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)</th>
<th>Tuesday 14\textsuperscript{th} October 2003 @ 06:56</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Description of object.</strong> (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)</td>
<td>Very large object, sun reflected off, lights were flashing on it, before being covered by cloud.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer. Geographical location.</strong> (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)</td>
<td>Indoors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>How object was observed.</strong> (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)</td>
<td>Naked eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object was first seen.</strong> (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><strong>Approximate distance.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td><strong>Movements and speed.</strong> (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td><strong>Weather conditions during observation.</strong> (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. **To whom reported.**  
(Police, military, press etc)  
DAS (LA) Ops & Pol 1 Answerphone

10. **Name, address and telephone no of informant.**  
Whitney  
Oxfordshire  
Tel (Home):  
Tel (Mobile):

11. **Other witnesses.**

12. **Remarks.**  
Often sees US and RAF aircraft where he lives.

13. **Date and time of receipt.**  
Wednesday 15\textsuperscript{th} September 2003 @18:00

Return the completed form by fax or post to:

Directorate of Airstaff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1  
Room 6/73  
Metropole Building  
Northumberland Avenue  
WC2N 5BP

Tel: 020 7218 2140  
Fax:  

From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace)
Operations & Policy 1a
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London,
WC2N 5BP

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 5000
(Fax) Section 40
das-laospol1a@defence.mod.uk

Section 40

Lincoln

Section 40

Your Reference

Our Reference
D/DAS/64/2

Date
13 October 2003

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an ‘unidentified flying object’ seen on 21 August 2003. The report was passed to this office by RAF Waddington, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to ‘UFOs’.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of ‘UFO’ sightings for 21 August from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely

Section 40
## Facsimile Transmission Cover Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission Details</th>
<th>Document Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serial Number:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reference:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 1030 8 SEP 03</td>
<td>WAD/2538/OPS C29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date &amp; Time of Transmission:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Subject:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UFO REPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>From:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Fax Number:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAF Waddington</td>
<td>Section 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Operations</td>
<td><strong>Total No. of pages including this cover sheet:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TWO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Message / Remarks:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFO REPORT CELL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fax Number:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transmit Operator**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank/Grade:</th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Tel No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPL</td>
<td>Section 40</td>
<td>Section 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Classification:** U/C

**Caveat:**

**Covering:** U/C
# REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Date, Time and duration of sighting.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description of object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Exact position of observer (indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D.</strong></td>
<td><strong>How observed (naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Direction in which object first seen (landmark may be more useful than an estimated bearing).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Angle of sight (estimated heights are unreliable).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Distance (by reference to a known landmark).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Movements (changes in E, F &amp; G may be more use than estimate of course and speed).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>J.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Met conditions during observation (moving clouds, haze, mist etc).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Nearby objects (telephone lines, high voltage lines reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, rivers, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, TV/radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L.</strong></td>
<td><strong>To whom reported (Police, military, press etc).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Name and address of observer.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Background of observer that may be volunteered.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Other witnesses.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Date and time of receipt.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Any unusual met conditions.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Remarks.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen near Digby on 19 September 2003. The report was passed to this office by RAF Waddington, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 19 September from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.
## UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT REPORT

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Date and time of receipt</td>
<td>20 Sept 03 0940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Date and time of sighting of UFO</td>
<td>19 Sept 03 2230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>How long did you observe the UFO for?</td>
<td>3/4 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>What were the weather conditions during the sighting?</td>
<td>CLEAR SKIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Where were you when you observed the UFO?</td>
<td>ASHBY DELA LAUNCEY NR OXBY, LINCS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Did you observe the UFO with the naked eye or any optical device?</td>
<td>NAKED-EYE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>In what direction in relation to you did you observe the UFO? Landmarks would be useful.</td>
<td>THE OBJECT WAS SPINNING WHILE STATIONARY, THEN HEADED NORTH-WEST TOWARDS WOODSTON.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Was the UFO stationary?</td>
<td>SPINNING STATIONARY - THEN 'SHOT OFF' ACROSS THE SKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8A</td>
<td>IF NO What direction was the UFO moving in? Again landmarks would be useful.</td>
<td>HEAD NORTH-WEST FROM ASHBY DELA LAUNCEY TO RST WOODSTON (LINCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Were there any significant objects nearby, for example, Power Lines.</td>
<td>NO (OPEN SPACE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Were they any other witnesses? (Name and address on back of form)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Have you informed the civil authorities or the press? IF YES WHOM.</td>
<td>CIVIC POLICE - INFOD LINCS FIRE RADIO - INFOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Name and address of informer.</td>
<td>Section 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Any other details we have not covered?</td>
<td>THE SPINNING OBJECT WAS ABOVE A HOUSE, IT 'SHOT OFF' CREATING A BLUE + WHITE LIGHT SOME 30 FEET HIGH.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: Section 40
Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace)
Operations & Policy 1a
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London,
WC2N 5BP

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk

Section 40
Ministry of Defence
SATIC
Section 40
Leeds
Section 40

Your Reference
Our Reference
D/DAS/64/2

Date
9 October 2003

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 16 September, in which you provided details of a sighting of an ‘unidentified flying object’ on 13 September over Heckmondale, West Yorkshire by the Section 40 programmes at the Royal Armouries Museum.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of ‘unidentified flying objects’ it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom’s might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no ‘UFO’ report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

The details of the sighting were passed to the appropriate Air Defence experts in the MOD, who were satisfied that the report did not represent anything of air defence interest. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on the 13 September the United Kingdom’s airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40
LOOSE MINUTE

D/DAS/64/2

25 September 2003

DAO-AIRC2-SO1

CREDIBLE WITNESS ‘UFO’ SIGHTING REPORT – 13 SEPTEMBER 2003

1. Please see the attached enquiry regarding an ‘unidentified flying object’ seen by the Section 40 at the Royal Armouries Museum on 13 September 2003 at approx 21:40.

2. I would be grateful if you could let me know if the report represents anything of air defence interest.

Section 40

DAS(LA)Ops+Polla
MT 6/73 Section 40
CHOTS: DAS-LA-Ops + Polla
Ministry of Defence

Armouries Drive
Leeds
Tel: 0113 220 
Fax: 0113 220
Email: 

Ref D/PR RAS
Date 16 September 2003

Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace)
Operations & Policy 1
Rm 6/73
Metropole Building
Northumberland Avenue
London
WC2N 5BP

Dear Colleague

UFO SIGHTING

Please see attached report compiled by who is at the Royal Armouries Museum (we are currently housed in the Royal Armouries as a MoD unit).

I would consider account to be reliable and worthy of investigation.

Thanking you for your assistance.

Yours sincerely,

C2
Ministry of Defence

Email:
Sighting of UFOs

Date: 13th September 2003.
Time: Approximately 9.40 p.m.
Weather: Warm clear night with very thin, high, transparent wisps of clouds.
Location: Heckmondwike, West Yorkshire.

On the night of the 13th of September, my son, his wife, my wife and I were returning from dinner out in Leeds. As normal we parked the car at the rear of the house and were making our way down a path at the side. My son and his wife were helping my wife locate a small step in the path, her night vision being limited. I was behind the others, looking upwards and admiring the clarity of the night and the remarkable number of stars visible.

Two circular objects, about half the apparent size of the moon, came into view from over the roof of my house. They were travelling in straight lines, on parallel courses, towards the Southwest. As they moved away from me, they appearance changed from circular to elliptical suggesting that they were disc-shaped rather than spherical. As soon as I had grasped what was happening, I shouted to my son who looked up and saw the same phenomena as myself. After having covered about half of the distance between my house and the roof of the houses on the other side of the drive, the two objects moved together, almost touched and then separated to resume their original courses and spacing before disappearing over the neighbour's rooftop. During this manoeuvre their speeds, that were not excessive, remained unchanged. The changes of direction of both objects being smooth and controlled.

The appearance of both objects was the same, of a very dull yellow, not bright but definitely self-luminous. The outer edges of both objects were not well defined, giving the impression of being either jagged or fuzzy. There were darker areas inside the shape but not easily defined. With no point of reference it was impossible to be definite about height or size, but the impression was that they were not very high, maybe a few hundreds of feet. The whole sighting lasted no more than about five seconds.
From: D UK-SO1 AIR OPS 2
Sent: 01 October 2003 15:11
To: DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1; DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1a
Subject: Credible Witness UFO Sighting Report 13 Sep 03

Section 40

I have reviewed in concert with 2 Gp Staffs, the credible witness report dated 13 Sep (D/DAS/64/2 letter dated 25 Sep 03) and can confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.

Section 40

Wg Cdr
DUK, SO1 Air Ops 2
MT466

Section 40