


(c) crown copyright



	2nd Review date	PA ACTION	Ro		
		(MOD Form 262F must be completed at the time of file closure)	<u></u>		
	Produced by Forms Design Section Design Studio DSDA (PC) KY 2 0117 9376256	2012년 1월 2019년 1월 201	CTED/UNCLASSIFIED	· IA	
an an an an Arrange An Arrange An Arrange					
en en la sur de la s La sur de la					



\$

From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

West Kilbride Ayrshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 31 August 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 23 August 2004.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft.

With regard to your particular observation on 16 August 2004, I can confirm for that date, we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

The MOD is not saying that witnesses do not see things that they are unable to identify, just that we do not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question or to the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which we remain totally openminded. Also, the MOD is often misquoted as saying that it does not believe 'UFO/flying saucers' to be a threat; this is a distortion of the MOD's stated position which is that to date, we have seen nothing which could be classed as proof that extraterrestrial life and or 'UFO/flying saucers' exist. Hence to date, no threat to the UK has been discerned which has attributed to a so-called 'UFO/flying saucer'.


Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely

Section 40		

ection 40 Jee Section 40 Section 40 íor Killmace Reference 1) / DAS/64 /3 Aynshin) Privice Letter 22 na June 04 ection Monday 23 Aug to 4 ection 40 (Secretaria G/3A. I look note of your Slatement on UFO an Alleged Menomena and No Evidence of existence.) Don't think 16 monisty of Defence G Beny Fair to Flores Witnesses to Soled araft this Light up Tike Xinas Lees à Jubre ofter Colorans Mui one Soleir Ley Srey as noted & Plenty of Excelence they are massive times. The yellow Bran lifter Spleres are slile Here is our own Solor System. Do note times They are mostily above your Defence Ali space But nos always. Yore is a lot of activity Socry on August 16th 04. as 23:35 PM 5 Splare, turned of al once on space of 32 minutes all song N/F. Riceft one come down N/South Since your letter de observed a few as sky is not so clear too cloury But thes Ily Do Do PHenometer acts of Junping Like Space. Time they can Stop also they con connect with each other with a while Thask Hey one Real " exist - above my Home - Area Section 40) Reputere in a letter of Helicoften) Tole In 1980 out will my Doy a night that make No Noise. Junce) Som Very dere up. Pelot Human celete with Starf features and only a sky it sweet Sound from hopelens above. Took me a while to Thik' what' Deffered because they make such a Racket - we are used to Ilim Here on H clydi " honwick, 71, were Silens Now de Read in Newsfufer das week you Do Here such Stealth Type Helleculting, Everythy I'm Telky you, is Frutt Truck ne) Do Believe Hy Pick out feafle at Random my Views as Reputer.) know one Allertin me to Then- mescance because by Back was to is the Read nice you observe them as By clace Contactee, Wily O'Horew a clue. I link the Jun word't know Contactee, Wily O'Horew a clue. I link the Jun word't know thy erst. I link 16 Dranet is more Precision lion is is a warming our ways May Restry it what Else? Section


MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Secretaria G 3 A (Section 40 Room -6/73 metropole Bulling NorThumberland Ave DAS LONDON WEAN 3BP. 5/ HIJ M-B





Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000



I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 31 July 2004, the details of which you passed to Boscombe Down. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 31 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40		



Section 40

5

Shepton Mallet Somerset Section 40

11 August 2004

Section 40

MOD FOI1 Fifth floor Zone H Main building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

Dear Section 40

Re Unexplained Sightings Over Shepton Mallet 31 July 2004

I have been given your name and address from the MOD in Boscombe Down, who have told me that you deal with any strange sightings.

I would like to inform you that on the 31 July 2004, at approx 22.45 I was leaving my house and looked up into the sky and saw a very strange bright orange object which was quite large and going in an upward direction, this was watched for a while and then it was decided that it should be recorded, both myself and my neighbours went and got our video cameras, on looking again into the sky it was noted that there were now three of these objects all following the same diagonal line upwards into the sky, they all seemed to be following each other, and they then disappeared vertically out of our sight.

You might also wish to know that a "UFO" expert has actually seen the video and can confirm that it does not look like any plane or military aircraft he has actually seen, due to it luminosity and the spinning, he thinks that it could be a genuine sighting of a UFO. This footage has been played on our local ITV news station and also on the national station GMTV. To which there have been no confirmations of what the objects were.

I look forward to receiving your comments in due course.

Yours sincerely



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

> Telephone (Direct dial) 020 72 (Switchboard) 020 72 (Fax) Secti

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Chingford London Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 10 August 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 7 August 2004, the details of which you passed to Chingford Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 7 August 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40		

L Con databases).

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

۲ د بر

1.	Date and time of sighting.	7 th August 2004
	(Duration of sighting.)	04.30 Local
2.	Description of object.	Strange looking object. Bigger than an
	(No of objects, size, shape, colour,	aircraft. It had mesh around it and red,
r.	brightness, noise.)	blue, green and yellow lights around the
		outside.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Indoors
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
	·	
4.	How object was observed.	Naked eye, then husband observed it
	(Naked eye, binoculars, other	through binoculars.
	optical device, camera or	
	camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was	East, over the North Circular Road.
	first seen.	
	(A landmark may be more helpful	
	than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
0.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed.	"Stationary, but moving"!
	(side to side, up or down,	Suitoning, out moving .
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions during	Not given.
	observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

To whom reported. 9. Duty Controller, Chingford Police, (Police, military, press etc) on Section 40 Section 40 who in-turn reported it to DCMC. Name, address and telephone no 10. Section 40 of informant. Chingford London Section 40 11. Other witnesses. Section 40 husband. 12. Chingford Police have the local authority Remarks. CCTV footage of the area and will send it to DAS. Received by DCMC Saturday 7th August 2004 – 2130 Local. 13. Date and time of receipt. Received by DAS Monday 9th August 2004 – 09.30 Local

Section 40

From: DJtCts-DCMC DCDSDO 4

Sent: 08 August 2004 11:16

To: DAS-LACEU

Subject: UFO Reports

I received a UFO report on Saturday evening at 2130 from Chingford Police. They were concerned, and had video film of the occurrence. I explained that we did not take such reports and that there was a separate number for UFO reports.

In the event I said I would pass it on, but gave them the number for future reference. However, the number we hold, 0207 218 2140, did not have the answerphone switched on so I was unable to use it!

Is the number still correct? If so could the machine please be reactivated. The reporting officer was The Duty Controller Chingford Police, Section 40 on Section 40





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Corby Northants Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 2 August 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 July 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Brize Norton. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Sqn Ldr Section 40 Royal Air Force Brize Norton Carterton Oxon OX18 3LX Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/3 Date: 2 August 2004

Dear Sqn Ldr Section 40

Thank you for the letter dated 16 July 2004 for which you passed to us, with regards to Section 40 Section 40

This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFO's.

Enclosed is a copy of the report form, that we use when taking the details of a 'UFO' sighting, for future reference. Hope this will be of help.

Yours sincerely



Page 1 of 4 (on databases)

Section 40

From: CRO - Sqn Ldr Section[@0@brizenorton.raf.mod.uk]

Sent: 16 July 2004 12:09

To: lowflying@defence.mod.uk

Subject: MOD FORM 953_2

RAF Brize Norton

Unit Ref: E

BZN/392/1/PR 2004

MOD FORM 953

(Revised 4/99)

MILITARY AIRCRAFT ACTIVITY PUBLIC COMPLAINT FORM

16 July

 \ensuremath{n} To be completed in CAPITALS

 \ensuremath{n} Forward the completed form to the authorities listed at Section 1 within 5 days

SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES


Action	Info		Action	Info	Action	Info
		Unit			Comd Sec HQ Land	RAF HQ PTC
		MOD DAS (LA) C&E			D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3	Other
		N9 (Sec2) SØ2			RAF HQ Specify STC	
FOR MOD	D USE (DNLY.	-			69/6/54E IF
OS Gri	d Ref:	SP8687	Serial No:		HISO File Ref:	6/6/6/EBO
	LFA:	\bigcirc	Previous	s Com	plaint No. of Comp date:	aints to
	NS:		Serial No	:	File Ref:	

SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT

Title:	Sec	tion 40
Surnam	e:	- Charlenna
Forenan (s):	ne	

SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT

Date:	14 July 04
Time:	2310 hrs
How mar	ny Aircraft involved: 1



Section 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT

٦

X Address at Section 2,							
Town/City:							
County:							
Postcode:							

Jet	Prop	Hel	Light A/C
Other (Spe Not known			
Markings:		2 - 2019 - 1020 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1110 - 1	,,
Grey	Camor aged	ufl- Red/ White	Black/Yellow
Other (Spe	ecify):		
Hoight			
Height X	Low	Medium	High
Estimation	in Feet:		
Direction:			
Inside MA	rz:		
		x	No
If Yes whic	h MATZ:		

OVER

Γ

NOW TURN

SECTION 5: PARTICULAR OF COMPLAINT (Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Bright yellow light appears from no where for around 10secs. Light at low level and is observed from back garden. Complains of shocking experience .

SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)

SECTION 7: UNIT RESPONSE

and/or livestock	or damage	o any injury to perso to property which sation being submitt	vill .	
	Yes	No		Return
lf Yes, give deta Claims 3.	ils and copy	form to D/C+L(F+S)		Full wri copy)
				Low fly
				Written (attach
				Visit arı
				Specify
				Require
SECTION 8 INDIVIDUAL				
COMPLAIN		NG		
15 July 04		0030 hrs Hrs		

	YES	NO
Return Telephone Call	x	
Full written response sent (attach copy)	x	
Low flying leaflet sent		x
Written acknowledgement only (attach copy)		x
Visit arranged		x
Specify		
Requires attention of		

.

Signature I Correspondence to CCO RAF Brize Norton Ext Sectior Email cco.rafbzn@virgin.net	
Name:	IMPORTANT REMINDER
Rank:	ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999
Unit:	THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE
Tel. No.	AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS


Section 40			una de la constitución de la const
	ion 40 <mark>[cco.rafbzn@virgin.net]</mark> Jy 2004 13:37		
	ying@defence.mod.uk		
	REFER AIRCRAFT		
	Royal Air Force Brize Carterton Oxon OX18 3LXTelephone:(01993) 897779 MiFax:Section 40	Norton litary Network: <mark>Section 40</mark>	
Section 40 Corby Northants		Reference: BZN/392/1/PR	
Section 40		Date: 16 July 2004	

I am writing to acknowledge your recent telephone message and I very much regret to hear that you have had cause to complain about the disturbance by a low flying unidentified aircraft.

I note your particular concerns about this incident and I have therefore forwarded the details you provided to the Ministry of Defence department that monitors low flying activity, who will consider what action should be taken in this case. For your information their full address is:

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff Complaints & Enquiries Unit Room 6/64 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue London WC2N 5BP

• C *



Subject: Internet-Authorised: UFO Sighting.



Thank you for your e.mail of 20 May 2004. Sorry for such a long delay in getting back to you.

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 May 2004, the details of which you passed to us by e.mail. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service.

It would be inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 May 2004, one in Lancashire and the other in North Yorkshire. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft, on any of these occasions.

Yours sincerely

Elving La

***** *

v 🏓

(on databases). Page 1 of 1

From: Section 40

Sent: 20 May 2004 10:17

To: lowflying@defence.mod.uk

Subject: ufo spotted

Hello. At approx 09.43am this morning (20 may 2004) whilst lying in bed and looking out of the window i saw VERY CLEARLY what is commonly called a UFO. I live in surrey.

Regards



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information 1



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Winchmore Hill London Section 40

Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 20 July 2004

Dear Section 40


I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 13 July 2004, the details of which you passed to the Civilian Aviation Authority. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 13 July 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely



Dear Sirs

I am writing to express my concern regarding an aircraft that was seen at approximately 1.00 am Tuesday 13 July 2004. The aircraft was clearly seen by my wife and myself from our bedroom window and it was not something that I have ever seen before. It most certainly was not an aeroplane or helicopter.


It was extremely large with pulsating lights and there was no sound whatsoever. We often have planes and helicopters flying overhead but this was something very different. I am not a believer in UFO's or alien beings and I do not believe in ghosts or things that go bump in the night. My wife and myself had an extremely good view because our bedroom is at the back of our house and is a loft conversion that has large windows. My wife and I fully expected to hear something about this on the news but in fact no one else appears to have spotted it.

Please do not dismiss this as a crank letter because I am not the type of person to just imagine what I saw. I am a 59 year old male and I do not frequent pubs or drink alcohol other than the occasional glass of wine with a meal or the odd glass of beer. I had not had any alcohol whatsoever and neither had my wife. We have been married for 35 years and have three adult children and a grandchild. We are just ordinary people who have lived in the same house for over 30 years and never before have we seen anything like this aircraft.

I would appreciate your looking into this for me and would be very grateful if you could give me an explanation of what you suspect this aircraft could have been. It was not completely circular but more like a circle than any other shape and the lights were pulsating different colours. I was not dreaming as I had only just gone upstairs to go to bed and my wife and I were both very much wide awake.

Once again I would ask you not to dismiss this as some kind of prank or something that is coming from someone who believes in little green men or such like nonsense. I would very much appreciate your response at your earliest convenience because I am totally perplexed about this.

Yours faithfully Section 40



14 July 2004

Airstaff 2A Ministry of Defence Old War Office Whitehall London SW1A 2EU

Dear Sirs

I am writing to express my concern regarding an aircraft that was seen at approximately 1.00 am Tuesday 13 July 2004. The aircraft was clearly seen by my wife and myself from our bedroom window and it was not something that I have ever seen before. It most certainly was not an aeroplane or helicopter.

It was extremely large with pulsating lights and there was no sound whatsoever. We often have planes and helicopters flying overhead but this was something very different. I am not a believer in UFO's or alien beings and I do not believe in ghosts or things that go bump in the night. My wife and myself had an extremely good view because our bedroom is at the back of our house and is a loft conversion that has large windows. My wife and I fully expected to hear something about this on the news but in fact no one else appears to have spotted it.

Please do not dismiss this as a crank letter because I am not the type of person to just imagine what I saw. I am a 59 year old male and I do not frequent pubs or drink alcohol other than the occasional glass of wine with a meal or the odd glass of beer. I had not had any alcohol whatsoever and neither had my wife. We have been married for 35 years and have three adult children and a grandchild. We are just ordinary people who have lived in the same house for over 30 years and never before have we seen anything like this aircraft.

I would appreciate your looking into this for me and would be very grateful if you could give me an explanation of what you suspect this aircraft could have been. It was not completely circular but more like a circle than any other shape and the lights were pulsating different colours. I was not dreaming as I had only just gone upstairs to go to bed and my wife and I were both very much wide awake.

Once again I would ask you not to dismiss this as some kind of prank or something that is coming from someone who believes in little green men or such like nonsense. I would very much appreciate your response at your earliest convenience because I am totally perplexed about this.

Yours faithfully Section 40



With the Compliments of Sergeant^{Section 40} Deputy Chief Clerk to Chief of the Air Staff

Section 40

SONTTO CAS BI MISTAKE

Room 230 Ministry of Defence, Old War Office Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2EU




ant defait 1,1 = 5 / 1 = 1 hasii Centre 10.07.04 07:48 nm AIRSTAFF 2A , MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, WAR OFFICE JHITEHALL LONDON, SWIAZEU,

(Keep ringing-no-ore privers). (On old database).

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	22 May 2004 23.50L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Two objects were both an orange colour and were disc shaped. They looked like aircraft without wings. Were very silent.
	en de la companya de la	
	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
-5.	Direction in which object was first seen.	Not given
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Under a thousand feet up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed.	Objects glided.
	(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	The objects moved at 80 degrees. Both did a flypast for about 20 seconds.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Was a clear night.

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10		
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Section 40
· .	and a standard for the standard standard Standard standard sta	
11.	Other witnesses.	His girlfriend.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12.	Remarks.	The objects were very exceptional. Wondered if they could be satellites or
		satellite debris?
13.	Date and time of receipt.	24 May 2004
· .		15.30L



Note of a Press enquiry

D News RAF called at 11.30 on 20th July 2004 to inform us that a local Newbury newspaper had called about reports of a UFO sighting in the Hungerford / Newbury area, near a US Depot at Wellford.

The UFO was seen at 22.20 on the 19th July 2004 and consisted of a ball of flames with a solid bit underneath. The witness said the flames went out, the object changed direction, the flames came back on and it plummeted to earth.

Thames Valley Police launched their helicopter, but they could not see anything unusual. Nothing has been found on the ground.

I informed D News RAF that we had received no other reports, although our UFO answerphone is not in operation at present, so we may receive reports at a later date.



20th July 2004



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a




MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)





Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 21 June 2004, the details of which you passed to Air Traffic Control Manchester ACC. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 21 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by any unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted) 21/6 04 1755 10 mins Duration b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc). LONG , BLACK , CIGAR SHAPE c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving). GREENFIEDD, OLDHAM . INITIALLY INDOORS THEN OUTDOORS OVER d. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera). NAKED EYE e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing). 70 NORTH EAST f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable). 45° APPROX g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible). 1/2 TO I MILE h. Movements (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed). SLOW, TOWARDS NORTH EAST i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.) LARGE, WHITE CLOUD FORMATIONS j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.) NONE k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc). ATC, MANCHESTER ACC

Manform 005

	Name And Address Of 1 Section 40 Section 40	GREENFIELD		AM, Section 40	
m.	Any Background On The NONE	e Informant Tha	t May Be '	Volunteered	
n.	Other Witnesses	PARENTS	AND	FRIEND .	
٥.	Date And Time Of Rece	eipt			
	2000 21	6/03			
The on <mark>S</mark>	above details are to Section 40	be faxed immed	iately to	AIS (Military),]	LATCC
The	completed form is to	be despatched	to:		
Sec	istry Of Defence (AS) 2A				
RAF	m 8245 Main Building				
Lon	don				
SWT	A ZUR				
on The Min Sec Room RAF Whi Lon	completed form is to istry Of Defence (AS) 2A m 8245 Main Building tehall			AIS (Military), J	LATCC

r.

· · ·



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference:
Concentration	Our Reference:
Coventry	D/DAS/64/2
Section 40	Date:
	23 June 2004
•	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 June 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Brize Norton. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40	

MOD FORM 953 Page 1 of 4 Low Flying ection 40 CCO RAF Brize Norton [cco.rafbzn@virgin.net] From: 22 June 2004 12:44 Sent: To: lowflying@defence.mod.uk Subject: MOD FORM 953_2 MOD FORM 953 (Revised 4/99) Unit Ref: BZN/392/1/PR n To be completed in CAPITALS **MILITARY AIRCRAFT ACTIVITY** n Forward the completed form to the authorities listed at Section 1 within **PUBLIC COMPLAINT FORM** 5 days **SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES** Action Info Action Info Action Info Unit Comd Sec HQ **RAF HQ PTC** Land

MOD DAS(LA) C&E	D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3	Other
N9 (Sec2)SØ2	RAF HQ STC	Specify
FOR MOD USE ONLY.		<u> </u>
OS Grid Ref: SP2978	Serial No:	File Ref: 69/8/19 56
	Previous Comptaint	No. of Complaints to J
NS: /	Serial No:	File Ref:

SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT	SE	CTION 4: DETAILS	OF INCIDENT
Title:	Date:	4 Jun 04	
Surname:	Time:	2107 hrs	
Forename(s):	How man	y Aircraft involved:	1UFO
CORRECTED ADDRESS			400 miles (1) to brown
Section 40	Jet	Prop H	lel Light A/C
Coventry			
Section 40	Other (Sp UFO	ecify):	
Town/City:			
County:	Markings	:	

22/06/2004

MOD FORM 953


Postcode: Telephone Section 40	Grey Camoufl- Red/ aged White Other (Specify):	Black/Yellow
No. Section 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT		
x Address at Section 2,	Height Low Medium	High
•	Estimation in Feet:	
	Direction: Inside MATZ:	
Town/City:	x	Νο
County: Postcode:	If Yes which MATZ:	

NOW TURN OVER

MQD FORM 953		Page 3 of
SECTION 5: PARTICULAR OF COMPLAINT (C Section 40 reported an unidentified flying of Birmingham to Coventry. The object was blac	pject, flying below cloud level heading fror	n
She reports that 7 people attending a barbeq	ue also saw the UFO	
Jet finedding NE to SW SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)	SECTION 7: UNIT RESPONSE	
Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?	YE	S NO
Yes x No	Return Telephone Call X	
If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L(F+S) Claims 3.	Full written response sent (attach copy) X	
	Low flying leaflet sent	x
	Written acknowledgement only (attach copy)	x
	Visit arranged	x
	Specify	
	Requires attention of	
SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT		
12120 hrs		
Date Complaint received: 4 Jun 04		
Signature I Correspondence to CCO Ext <mark>Section</mark> 40		
·		

22/06/2004

MOD FORM 953



IMPORTANT REMINDER

ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999

THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS

Page	1	of	1
	-	~ -	_

Low Flyin	Low Flying				
Sent: 22 To: low	O RAF Brize Norto June 2004 12:41 /flying@defence.m DD REFER AIRCR		ı.net]		
×	Royal Ai Carterto Oxon OX Telephone: Fax:	18 3LX	Norton filitary Network: <mark>Sec</mark>	tion 40	×
Section 40 Coventry			Reference:	BZN/392/1/PR	
Section 40			Date: 22 Ju	ine 2004	

I am writing to acknowledge your recent telephone message and I very much regret to hear that you have had cause to complain about the disturbance by a low flying unidentified craft.

I note your particular concerns about this incident and I have therefore forwarded the details you provided to the Ministry of Defence department that monitors low flying activity, who will consider what action should be taken in this case. For your information their full address is:

Ministry of Defence Directorate of Air Staff Complaints & Enquiries Unit Room 6/64 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue London WC2N 5BP



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference:
Brackla Bridgend Section 40	Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 18 June 2004
Dear Section 40	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 June 2004, the details of which you passed to DARA St Athan Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 14 June 2004 and that was in Northants. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40	

18. JUN. 2004 11:55

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

A. Date, Time and duration of sighting (Local Time).

14 June 04 at 2315 (L)

B. <u>Description of object.</u>

One object about 70ft long. Seen in silhouette with very brilliant white lights. No sound at all. The object changed shape between being initially sighted to when sight was lost. See picture attached.

C. Exact position of observer.

Brackla, Bridgend on the Section 40 Authority bunker). (Built over old MOD / Local

NO. 9036

P. 1

D. How observed.

Eyes.

E. Direction in which object was first seen.

To the north of observers position, looking towards the M4 and Brackla Radio Mast.

F. Angle of sight.

45 degrees from the horizon.

G. Distance.

Approx 1-2 mile form observer's position.

H. Movement.

During the observation period the shape of the object changed and then it disappeared at high speed to the south.

I. Meteorological conditions during observation.

Clear sky, No haze. Sky had a slight green hue to it.

J. Nearby Objects.


Brackla Radio Mast. Overhead the old Brackla MOD / Local authority Bunker.

(1)	DAS	(UFO. Lyit.)	
fain	Section 40	Denc	

K. To whom reported?

Cpl Section 40 Air Traffic Control DARA St Athan Section 40

L. Name and address of informant.



M. Any background information.

Nil

N. Any other witnesses.


Nil

O. Date and time of receipt of report.

15 June 04 0915 (L)

P. Reply requested

Yes





From Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

ection 40	Your Reference:
Hamilton	Our Reference:
Lanarkshire Section 40	D/DAS/64/2
	Date
	9 June 2004
•	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 June 2004, the details of which you passed to National Air Traffic Services in Glasgow. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I understand that you believed the object might have been a Harrier aircraft. I can confirm that there were no Harriers flying at low level (below 2000ft) in your area at the time of your sighting. We received only one other report of a 'UFO' sighting for 2 June 2004 and that was in Middlesex. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft on either of these occasions.

Yours sincerely Section 40





۲ ۰۰ P



.

FORM/ATC/086

Ι.

Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

Report of Unidentified Flying Object			
Date	Wednesday 2 nd June, 2004		
Time	<u>0035 Local</u>		
Sighting Duration	Approx. 30 secs		
Description of Object	One object, with a bright, white flashing light. It looked like a Harrier Jet and was making engine noises.		
Exact Position of Observer	Observed form the window of his apartment within a block of flats. The observer was stationary.		
How Object was Observed	Naked eye		
Direction in which Object was First Seen	North Easterly from the block of flats		
Angular Elevation of Object	Not known		
Distance of Object from Observer	Approx. 400 yards		
Movement of Object	The object was in the hover, before it lifted and then climbed diagonally and to the right. The object then shot away very quickly.		
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	<u>Clear skies</u>		
Nearby Objects	3 other flats were close by, as the observer lives in a complex consisting of 4 flats.		
To Whom Reported	NATS Glasgow Section 40DWM)		
Name of Informant	Section 40 Tel Section 40		
Address of Informant	Section 40 <u>HAMILTON</u> <u>Lanarkshire</u> Section 40		
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	Informant was plying his playstation when he made the observation.		
Other Witnesses	NONE		



۶

7 .

FORM/ATC/086

2.

Date of Receipt of Report	Wednesday 2 rd June, 2004	
Time of Receipt of Report	<u>0050 Local</u>	
	Actions	Time
Complete report of UFO with MoD Sec (AS).	n as many details as possible and send to	<u>Answer machine</u> 0207-218-2140 TIME 0220 Local
Telephone details immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC(Mil), either direct or via D & D.		Details given to FLT LT Section 40 at AIS (Military)



FORM/ATC/086

UFO REPORTS

Includes addressed envelopes to:-

MoD AFO - SEC (AS)

FORM/ATC/086

Version 2.0

29/7/03

ACTIONOGO BY SAC ction 40

TRACES

To:

Low Flying Booking Cell, LATCC, RAF West Drayton Facsimile: <mark>Section 40</mark>



I would be grateful if you could trace the following aircraft:

No	LFA	DATE	TIME (Z)	TYPE	
1	28	1604	2335	Rommy Fixed Winks Potracy Fixed Winks	NIL
2	2B 2B	216/04	2335	ROTARY FIXED WING	NIC
3					
4					
5					
с					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14	-				
15					
16					
17					
18					



Ì

OL

10.9 ATOT 08-104-5004 II:42 EKOW





Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a





Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

	Your Reference:
est Kilbride	Our Reference:
Ayrshire Section 40	
	D/DAS/64/2
	Date:
	7 June 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of your reported sightings, dated 3 June 2004.

I can assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous surveillance of the UK Air Policing Area by the Royal Air Force.

This is achieved by using a combination of civil and military radar installations, which provide a continuous real-time "picture" of the UK airspace. Any threat to the UK Air Policing Area would be handled in the light of the particular circumstances at the time (it might if deemed appropriate, involve the scrambling or diversion of air defence aircraft). From that perspective, reports provided to us of 'UFO' sightings are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm for the dates 2 April, 17th, 19th and 30 May, we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings. On the 25th May, there was one other sighting, in Devon. We are satisfied there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft on any occasion.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely



Ref D | DAS | 642

Section 40

West Kilbricke Aypestime Section 40 3 06/04

ministry of Defence Section Received letter 25" 05/04, 1 know you say to Jellow Spheres Reported are to you not a threat But he Been Taking Note It ones Duty to Do So ond Since may 10H. pactically every night, if Skies are clear to See they are thre ITs too cold to Stay and No more I ton IS minute We Token Times notes Sometimes one is dote as 12.30 Pully my car in Surage April 2 So lot may 2 splares, 15th 2 splares each By Passed each other afferred to Be a Foot afrant Comunecated with a Plash white light one went on, alter Stoffed few Seconds Right above my Head frew Bryther Staged Have for ages I was apaid to move, unless seen as de watnessed what these Spare can Do. Thy can Drop Hunched of llousand miles in one Tunp Down. 19 Sat there, and eventually went Westically up Slowly tall It's Lyll for Facular . Faculty into space. He only Thy Section 40 you wouldn't know It was a UFO Sheffed


I feel T watched? I would not say they one not a Threat, "Their 5 Oute à Shastique Area. cliete Fastone Hunterston Nacheur Power Station 17^H May Islare I only Slujer ou 5 minutes 19^H May very Lorge Bry 16+ Splere" Lower in your Au Space Sorry South very last. 11.20 PM. 25 H may 1 Sthere 30H may 5 stere, I Half an Hr earlier as I come out my con 4 turned up 2 one Between 12-15 PM - 12.23 PM. I come In because I das wont te dinger So they me Here are the Time Purposely Sound whenever would N/E. ~ N/S. ---A Port from to one That Staffed Ded Somethy Deffent. I took my Dougter out to see 10m from St Andrews & SHE was too fryllined to dook up. you'd Hove to ask feefer if the Behave in Berrys pom Elsewbre, Ithik, for Leofee to Hondle Ilene silere. Thy make me uneary because he Seen a craft 30-40 ft à lengt « 15 fr Heyl. Probably Byger Her hey They de he Libre Ofter Xmen trees. massive. I housed. Allo ? Sain Ilevences freen one Alfement to be Lloaty down dendug. Alwesome. I feet knoby calm after it. dele as if UFO. So ula?" I know by one not pour Here. I could See as ty hit up. Ity were Soled Galts Succeed. The one Definition "Do Bey Detected By Rador in your Lover Acr Shace. "That Juth

Hark Sod you State is my Last better from Section 40 you Do Haven open minid. Because I would dismiss 10m as NO theo, They are too Supercur mansive - 16 Slove do exceptionaly Infoschle feat, when the Decide to are close. Ho one 7 5 my son walted as I look of Dicyonally a Gremendous speed Electric Shork The around 5-6= as & look of Mit was to Fand) know ty were there after plancy to cours Bellow The Slove Trylland the devery Day Lyll ow of me my Son. I feel it Ralter Monacey. No dagar UFO. were more Angelic dookey as they hel up. by Hands were Pure white, built 16 Light yel I couldn't Tust Quete Tudye & Destince. But I Sort of Judge to Sujo from when) Slood. To like you hull I Das know by Nobody Else Reputer Hore two larger UFO. 200 Remember Tim all my Life you could nover pyet anyty so Profound & Aussome Jet Blautifiel Thre are a det about of feel to would is in Souch a men By brong clocies. I like the maybe a threat they me now theor for Notty. The Exist. Chybody on See the stores of the Stand when I Stond. They Seem to Salter around the a Lot, They is Shary IT lines, No Docy Acrobatic Starts. . I'm had too sure up the Seen 10n close up. The noting to dose or Sain or Power Ector. No need to Write Back,) Das the see the onyty News to Repair

Unless one is content away with 15m HA.) continuent them. Bu I Noticed, 16 vey dage ne with Ho Turrets contacted e from Belind with a Elash. A Blue white Ly 19, Mat all) Know. I feel they was There Presence Known. Thankyou for tokey time to Read my Repats. I Believe feafer may see 16n too, Bar Das Repart, or Jud Repart to Researches Pufer are more Afraid of the Unknown ? Hufe they are Benerter! your Sincrely

Section 40

Section 40 Directorate of Air Steff. 3A. monistry of Defence Room 6/73 metropole Building Northumberland Ave LONDON WC. 2N 3BP.





REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting.	1 June 2004
	(Duration of sighting.)	24.00L
2.	Description of object.	The object was a bright light and it was
	(No of objects, size, shape, colour,	flashing. Was making sort of engine noises.
	brightness, noise.)	
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location.	
	(Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	Naked eye.
	(Naked eye, binoculars, other	
1	optical device, camera or	
	camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was	Flew over a block of flats in Hamilton.
	first seen.	
	(A landmark may be more helpful	
	than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance	The chiest was (00-de anos
0.	Approximate distance.	The object was 600yds away.
7.	Movements and speed.	It shot away very quickly.
1.	(side to side, up or down,	It shot away very quickry.
	constant, moving fast, slow)	
2		
8.	Weather conditions during	Clear skies.
	observation.	
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported.	Glasgow Airport Air Traffic Control.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name address and taken have a	Castion 40 1
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	
	or mormant.	
		Hamilton
		Scotland
1		
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
12.	Remarks.	The object was there for only about 30
		seconds.
12	Dete en laire effective	2 1
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 June 2004 14.30L
		14.3VL



From: Section 40

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)



Section 40	Your Reference:
Hayes	Our Reference:
Middlesex	D/DAS/64/2
ection 40	Date:
	3 June 2004
•	5 Julie 2004

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 June 2004, the details of which you passed to me on the telephone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 2 June 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

	Section 40		
,			



the second destination which the second second

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	2 June 2004 01.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Object, looked like a comet at first, was quite bright and lit up even more when it got bigger.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Was flying over Hayes.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Going quite fast.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

1

9.	To whom reported.	Was transferred to Section phone, and I
	(Police, military, press etc)	took the report.
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	Hayes
		Middlesex
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
12.	Remarks.	Rang up Heathrow Airport, to enquire if
		there were any planes flying at that time of
		the morning.
.13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 June 2004
		18.00L



From: Section 40


1245m MASE

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 May 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40		

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	25 May 2004 17.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Long single, black cylinder type object about 200ft long.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Flying easterly over Paignton, Devon.
6.	Approximate distance.	Ten thousand feet up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Torquay
		Devon Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
12.	Remarks.	Was last seen drifting 20ft up in the air. Wondered if it could be an airship that went missing that day.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 June 2004 14.30L

Fred runging nobut there **REPORT of an UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT** Date/Time and Duration of Sighting(Local times to be quoted) 20.5.2004 1115 Hrs 3-4 MINS b. Description of Object (No. of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc) OBJECT, COLOUR-WHITE, "CHEWY MINT' SHAPED SOLIO CRAFT NO WINDOWS NO WINGS NO THL FIN, Exact Position Observed. (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving) c. RAILWAY STREET, NELSON, LANCS IN CAR - DRIVING UP STEEP HILL How Observed (Naked eye, Binoculars other optical device, still or movie camera) d NAKED EYE (PHOTOGRAPH MAKEN ON MOBILE PHONE) Direction in which Object was first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly e estimated bearing) TOWARDS THE EAST Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable) f 30° (ESTIMATION 10000') = Distance (By reference to a known Landmark if possible) g 3 NM Movements (Changes in E,F,G may be of more use) h Shoped movement was observed and then A dinappeared in to dond. Meteorological Conditions During Observations. (Moving clouds Mist etc.) i BLUE SKY WITH LIGHT CUTULUS CLOUD Nearby Objects (Telephone lines Spires Etc.) j NONE REPORTED k. To Whom Reported (Police, Military Organisations, the Press)

MANCLETTER ATC

Name and Address of Informant post. Postcode 1.

NELSON, LANCS.

m. Any Background on the Informant that may be Volunteered.

.

.

REEVANT NOTHING

n. Other Witnesses

NONE

o. Date and Time of Receipt

20-5-2004 1140

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATTC on Section 40

ection 40

MAN/FORM/005 C	Issue 3	Page 2 of 2 18/09/96
LON DON SW1A 2HB		
Whitehall		
RAF Main Building		
Room 8245		
Sec (AS) 2A		
Ministry of Defence		
The completed form is to be de	spatched to:	



From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

	(Direct dial) 0171 218 2140 (Switchboard) 0171 218 9000 (Fax) Section 40
Section 40	Your Reference:
Scarborough	Our Reference:
North Yorkshire	D/DAS/64/2
Section 40	Date:
	27 May 2004
•	
Dear Section 40	

I have just received the letter and photographs that you sent to RAF Fylingdales regarding 'UFOs', that you observed in Sri Lanka on 27 March 2004.

It may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Defence of Sri Lankan airspace is clearly a matter for the Sri Lankan Government and you may wish to pursue your enquiries with them.

I am sorry I can not be more helpful.



Yours sincerely

Section 40		







Royal Air Force Fylingdales Pickering, North Yorkshire YO18 7NT

PSTN: (01751) 477000 Ext Section 40 Fax: Section 40 Ext Section 40 e-mail: Section 40

VPN: Section 40



Directorate of Air Staff Operations & Policy 1 Rm 6/73 Metropole Building Northumberland Ave LONDON WC21 5BP FYD/1816/1/OPS

12 May 04

UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC OCCURRENCE

1. Please find enclosed a letter and a couple of photographs I recently received regarding an unusual atmospheric occurrence observed over Sri Lanka on 27 Mar 04. As RAF Fylingdales routinely forwards sightings of UFOs to your office I am also forwarding this to you. RAF Fylingdales recorded no satellite re-entries or unusual phenomena on the date in question.

2. I have sent a standard reply to Section 40 a copy of which is also enclosed.

Section 40

Section 40 Flt Lt For OC

Enclosures:

- 1. Letter from Section 40 dated 26 Apr 04 and accompanying photographs.
- 2. Letter from RAF Fylingdales dated 12 May 04.



Scarborough N'Yorkshire Section 40 26-04-04

Unusual Atmospheric Occurrence

The Officer Commanding, Royal Air Force Station, Fylingdales.

Dear Sir

For many years I was employed by the Ministry Of Defence at R A F Fylingdales as an employee of the A F D F S, my records will be held by the appropriate office. You will have seen by now the two photos enclosed, they are of an incident I observed whilst on holiday in SRI LANKA on the 27'th of MARCH this year, they may or may not be of any significance to your stations working, though may be of some significance to The M O D. You must admit they are unusual.

My notes on the incident are,

On Saturday the 27'th March 2004, whilst on holiday in Sri lanka, at a small place called HABARANA, I noticed a partial aura in the sky, a minuet or so later there was a clap of thunder, then a short while later a ring like a doughnut appeared the ring was orange in colour with a white/cream finger pushed through, the head of the column glowed an orange colour, behind the doughnut, was a second cloud of colour, and a further ring of orange. The Time This occurrence happened was 17-30 hrs approx SRI LANKAN time. The only way I can describe the sighting is that of an atomic or other type of nuclear explosion, the cloud from which did not rise in the sky, but headed from the high atmosphere towards the earth. Whatever the outcome of this letter, I shall remain convinced that it was an air Burst of some kind or other.

I am fully aware that you cannot reply to my letter due to the nature of your service restrictions, what I am not sure of, is what the outcome will be.

Yours truly, Section 40

To, B M E W S Station Commander Royal Air force Fylingdales Pickering North York's



Royal Air Force Fylingdales Pickering, North Yorkshire YO18 7NT

PSTN: (01751) 477000 Ext<mark>Section 40</mark> Fax: Section 40 ExSection 40 e-mail: Section 40





Section 40

SCARBOROUGH North Yorkshire Section 40

12 May 04

FYD/1816/1/OPS

UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC OCCURRENCE

1. Thank you for your recent letter and photographs reporting the sighting of an unusual atmospheric occurrence over Sri Lanka. Both this letter and the photographs have been forwarded to an office within the Ministry of Defence that deals with similar sightings and reports. The task of this office is to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance. It is also possible that there may be a natural explanation for this phenomenon.

2. I can assure you that your report has not been ignored and will be subject to further investigation by the Ministry of Defence. Once again, thank you for your letter and photographs and also for your interest.

Section 40

Section 40

Flt Lt For OC





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE



Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40		
Section 40					
Section 40	Your R	Reference:			
West Kilbride	Our Re	ference:			
Ayrshire Section 40	D/DAS/64/2				
	Date:				
	25 May	/ 2004			

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter of your reported sightings.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm for the dates 15th/16th April 2004, we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings. On the 10th May 2004, there was one other sighting, in Cumbria. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Your letter will be placed on our files.

Yours sincerely

Section 40		

Portencross Cashe and Haven



© Judges Postcards Ltd., Hastings, England


West Kilbrice Ref. D/DAS/64/2 AjRoline Dear Section 40 Jele Section 40 In August 2003 ? Reforbir yellow Sphere, and one Tumped Hundress Thusands of Miles Down in one So, without as much a Blink of 16 eye Some my Son) fred Anxiety. Later of 2 very herge UFO Clese one most Hove Been a Distance 7 Saw J 30-40 fl day i 15 fl High Snow white or office Libre takte total det up is a Stragt dene from te Front ond Reflection, Buck was hed gray will windless I cut out : 16 otte Libre after freen well slylt yellen lendis Saucer Strofe the Buss though a front of Passenger Alone, Hen Sove a Telt : Floale & Down Slowly to dana as wetnessed to Back of Torber Hill. So 7 Last Track of it

Well) Sand I would tele you if the yellow Splice, if is the Stars Two Towny to to lever Direction alongs fleaded North Early would Return. well they are

PN/972

Still three But not as many as in August Seft. But it Been Too cold - Too cloudy to keep watch They they quite test Soil of Shyll Lendeleim more Stur Size. But one knows How Tost they can come down But on Africe 15th 10 20 PM withered one 11 16th 10 40 10.55 withered two 10 11 may 1 Sthre 10.47 - 04 Beller weather a clear skies probably more it los weat to sky more then 15 monutes or So too much cloud. However) am also converses to Stay Longe, as 9 wrote the blue Libre of the one. Affeired betterd my Back ' Husbed me to Tum amound. 3 Times it Lit up a went out. It was Awesone to See. But I felt premendous calm, Lite a a VFO. So what? But was quite tires for a few Days I that it More popound then I Realize who I Have withersed "It breen Labre ofthe Succer was an postonishy entry wollder IGn det up. Very Angeler dooking, Ho way A floaled Down. The silvere are three for all to see I can found them and

To "feafle Like I but will my Son. Yore stores as Eje Ball' Looky ubn clise, Soon Bigger tole of Diggely as a tremendous speed _ O _ Toro are Spark dines ancund to one we saw before it look off. Fas I said Heyl is to Sky they actually flash each other of they end up on Sne Poff like a Tord Hash. while deget, I daw know if they ever went away, all] thow By to end of Soft who mors was clear tone were a had more Some time 3 luimere uf at once, Sme not as Hyl as others. The spheres are still three as Better weather (mes, Ill Be able to withen more. In Thirt I'm not too Huffy to duger ond not Sure of the agenda - ala mark marile It the unknown Il. 1 makes one uneasy Everyty à Trie Here Monerty Telley Jutt: Ily me Succlede craft. Jours Sonwrey Section 40







Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)



Section 40	Your Reference:
Skipton	
N. Yorks	Our Reference
Section 40	D/DAS/64/2
	Date:
	26 May 2004
	20 iviay 2004
DearSection 40	

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 20 May 2004, the details of which you reported to Manchester Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received two other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 20 May 2004, one in Lancashire and the other in Surrey. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft, on any of these occasions.

Section 40		

REPORT of an UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time and Duration of Sighting(Local times to be quoted)

20.5.2004 1330 30 secs.

b. Description of Object (No. of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc.) 'LIGHTISH TRANSPARENT RING'

DEFINITELY NOT AN AIRCRAFT'

- c. Exact Position Observed. (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving)
- d How Observed (Naked eye, Binoculars other optical device, still or movie camera) NAKEO EYE
- e Direction in which Object was first seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly TOUMROS THE EAST estimated bearing)

f Angle of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable)

'NOT HIGH' BUT "DIFFICULT TO JUDGE'

g Distance (By reference to a known Landmark if possible)

4.-5 nm

h Movements (Changes in E,F,G may be of more use)

NO MOVEMENT - JUST DISAPPEARED

i Meteorological Conditions During Observations. (Moving clouds Mist etc.)

BLUE LIGHER CUTULUS CLOCHD. SKY

j Nearby Objects (Telephone lines Spires Etc.)

OPEN (OUNTRY)

k. To Whom Reported (Police, Military Organisations, the Press)

MANCHEMER ATC

MAN/FORM/005 Forms Des_UFO/005



	Name and Address of Inform Section 40 Section 40 Section 40 Section 40 Any Background on the Informant that may be Volunteered.	
	None	
n.	Other Witnesses	
	NONE	
0.	Date and Time of Receipt	
	20-5-2004 1350	
	The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATTC on	Section 40
	Section 40	
	The completed form is to be despatched to:	
	Ministry of Defence	
	Sec (AS) 2A	
	Room 8245	
	RAF Main Building Whitehall	
	LON DON SW1A 2HB	
MA	AN/FORM/005 C Issue 3	Page 2 of 2 18/09/96



Dear Section 40

From; Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINIOTOX OF DEFENSE

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference:
Guiseley	Our Reference:
Leeds West Yorkshire	D/DAS/64/2
	Date:
Section 40	18 May 2004

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 May 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

ection 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	14 May 2004 03.10L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Was a very bright light. Saw strobe lights near the bright light.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Indoors, looking through lounge window.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

1

i g

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
⁻ .	(Police, military, press etc)	
	(),),)	
·		
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Guiseley
		Leeds
1 Sec. 1		West Yorkshire
· · · .		Section 40
	e en en la seconda de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction La construction de la construction d	n an an Andrig an Andria. An Andria an Andria. An Anns an Andria
11.	Other witnesses.	His girlfriend.
	other withesses.	
12.	Remarks.	Rang Heathrow, said something about it
		not being a 24 hour airport?!
		Could it be Terrorist activity?
13.	Date and time of receipt.	17 May 2004
		16.45L
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

	н. Н		Your Reference:
Storth Nr Milnthorpe ection 40		· · ·	 Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 14 May 2004

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 10 May 2004, the details of which you reported to the Cumbrian Police Contabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 10 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40	

Date and time of sighting. 1 10 HAY 2004 (Duration of sighting.) 2245-0045 2. Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, ERLEAT START brightness, noise.) . SPORE EFFECT NOVES AROUND LIKE AKITE DISAFFEARS OUER THE HORIZONI 3. Exact position of observer. FROM LOUNCE IN Geographical location. HOUSE AT HOME (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.) (ADDRESS 4 How object was observed. NARED ETE 9 (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or 16×50 Timoculars camcorder.) Direction in which object was 5. KIW - TOWATTS first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful LAMICIDALE PIKES than a roughly estimated bearing.) б. Approximate distance. AOME MANICIDATE PIKES 7. Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, UP-e Down Like constant, moving fast, slow) A KITE. 8 Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear) CLEAFT

Section 40

in'i

ection 40


1

2	7	(Police, military, press etc)	Section 40
			ASST TT CTO (CLET)
	10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
			STORTH MAR MILNITHORPE
	11.	Other witnesses	Section 40
	12.	Remarks.	
	13.	Date and time of receipt.	1430 11 MAM 2004

TOTAL P.03

2

•.



ection 40

please call about a UPO.

۰.



From Section 40

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat) 3 Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, LONDON WC2N 5BP

> Telephone: (Direct dial) (Switchboard) 020 7218 9000 (Fax) Section 40 CHOts address: DAS-Sec3 E-Mail: das-sec3@defence.mod.uk

FAX MESSAGE

11

Section 40 TO: SUBJECT: UFO Form DATE: 7 May 2004 NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER: 3 ection 40 Here is the UFO form as choicesed. Please feel free to keep a blank copy just in case you get any other UFO reports. You can fax these to me on the complaints fax number.

Section 40





Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40
Section 40	Your R	eference:	
Chederton Staffordshire	Our Re D/DAS Date: 12 May		

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	29 April 2004 22.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	She saw four bright lights, absolutely unbelievable. The outer circle of the round object, had eight lights going round in a clockwise direction and inner circle of lights, didn't say how many, going round in an anti-clockwise direction.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	Not given
	(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was	Flying just outside Derby, for 20 minutes.
	first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	A couple of miles up in the air.
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given
	(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	
-		
8.	Weather conditions during observation.	Not given
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Chederton
		Staffordshire
		Stationusinie
÷	an a	Section 40
11		NT /
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
12.	Remarks.	Was it a military plane?
12	Dete and time of marint	10 Mar 2004
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 May 2004 15.30L



From: Section 40



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference:
Ealing	Our Reference:
London	D/DAS/64/2
Section 40	Date:
	12 May 2004
•	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely
Section 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	25 April 2004 08.50L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	The object was a rectangle shape with a white light.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Moved from right to left, then dissapeared over Primrose Hill.
6.	Approximate distance.	About a mile away and 500ft up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

1

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Ealing London Section 40
		Section 40
		and a second
11.	Other witnesses.	His son.
12.	Remarks.	At first, Section 40 thought it was a
		helicopter.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 May 2004
		15.45L
· · · ·		



Dear

ection 40



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

phone	(Direct dial)
	(Switchboard)
	(Fax)

Tele



Section 40	Your Reference:
West Winch	Our Reference:
Kings Lynn	D/DAS/64/2
Section 40	Date:
	11 May 2004
•	- <u>j</u> _ · · · ·

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 5 May 2004, the details of which were passed to us from RAF Marham. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 5 May 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely
Section 40

DAS-INTL-1A

UNCLASSIFIED

Action Precedence:	
DTG:	
From:	
SIC:	

ROUTINE 052340ZMAY04 RAF MARHAM JDA; I3F

Subject:

INFO

To:

MODUK AIR

SUBJECT :

SUBJ: 052340Z APR 04 MRM 0001 MSG ID MODUK (AIR) FOR SEC(AS)DA. SUBJECT UFO REPORT SERIAL 1 - 052310L MAY 04, SERIAL 2 - BRIGHT-PULSING-SPIDERISH, SERIAL 3 - OUTDOORS, STATIONARY, SERIAL 4 - NAKED EYE, SERIAL 5 - NE, SERIAL 6 - NIL, SERIAL 7 - CANNOT SAY, SERIAL 8 - STATIONARY, SERIAL 9 - MINIUM CLOUD COVER, CLEAR NIGHT, SERIAL 10 -CONSTELLATION OF THE PLOUGH, SERIAL 11 - MILITARY (RAF MARHAM), SERIAL 12 Section 40 SERIAL 12 Section 40 SERIAL 13 - NIL, SERIAL 14 - NIL, SERIAL 15 - 052310L MAY 04

END OF X400 TEXT

END OF X.400 TEXT

Time Sent: ACP127 Identifier: MM Identifier: 060726ZMAY04 RBDBIW 8624 1270727 /DD.acp-plad=RAF MARHAM/DD.acp-ri=RBDBIW/CN=RAF MARHAM/OU2=OUA/OU1=MODMAIN/O=HQ-AMRAD/P=MODUK-AMRAD/A= /C=GB/@3215 040506073215Z

UNCLASSIFIED



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference:
	Our Reference:
Filey	D/DAS/64/2
North Yorkshire	Date:
Section 40	27 April 2004
•	r

Dear Section 40

Thank you for the reports of the sightings that you witnessed on the 19 April and the 22 April 2004. I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings on these dates from anywhere in the UK.

Your reports will be placed on our files.







 \sim

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported


Seen at	(Filey Brig)
On	(19 - 4 - 04)
Time	(10.10 PM)
Heading	(East out to the North sea)

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, there where two objects travelling to giver. And almost touched each other at one point, then an other object just appeared at the side of the first two objects. the object then climbed at an incredible speed, and headed south down the coast.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter

Yours

Section 40



To Whom It May Concern:

,

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported

Seen at	(Queensbury Bradford)		
On	(22 - 4 - 04)
Time	()
Heading	()

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, did you resev any other reports in this area, or in the UK.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter

Yours

Section 40



L

To Whom It May Concern:

.

.

I am writing to you regarding a report of a (unidentified object) reported

Seen at	•	le East Yorksh	
On	(22-4-	04
Time	(4.30pm)

Heading (stationary)

I am writing to you regarding a report of a UFO, the object was stationary above Drax power station. The object looked like a boomerang, an aircraft was circling the object.

I thank you in advance for your co-operation in this matter

Yours Section 40	
Section 40	



From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Honley West Yorkshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 20 April 2004

DearSection 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 14 April 2004, the details of which you reported to the West Yorkshire Police Constabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 14 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	14 April 2004 20.27L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Looked like a Jellyfish flying in the sky.
-		
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

	9.	To whom reported.	The object was reported to West Yorkshire
		(Police, military, press etc)	Police and then the message was left on
			the das answerphone, by the police.
	10		Castian 40
	10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		oi miormant.	Honley
			West Yorkshire
			West Torksine
	11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
-	10	D	
	12.	Remarks.	The informant reckons he might have seen
			two bright white lights on the side of the object.
			object.
ſ	13.	Date and time of receipt.	19 April 2004
		•	15.00L
	.		



From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE



Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section	40

Dimchurch Kent Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 15 April 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 April 2004 19.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Just said it was an object.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Object was flying over and going very fast and then dissapeared.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

1

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Dimchurch
		Kent
		Section 40
		and the second
11.	Other witnesses.	Father.
·		
12.	Remarks.	Was it a Military plane?
13	Date and time of receipt.	14 April 2004
		15.00L



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference:	
Seaforth Section 40	Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 14 April 2004	
Dear Section 40		


I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 April 2004, the details of which you reported to the Lancashire Constabulary. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 11 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		



REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)

11.04.04 20:43

b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc).

4 BRIGHT LIGHTS

*,

c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving).

RAF WOODVALE

d. How Observed (Waked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).

NAKED ETE

e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly cutimated bearing).

f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unceliable).

g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).

h. Movements (Changes in E. F. G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist arc.)

CLOUDS

j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.)

k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc).

SEE ATTACHED MX.

Manform 005

Section 40

Issue 3

ATSA 4 MACC. NATS LTD.

Page 1 of 2 Section 40

Section 40

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

a. Date/Time And Duration Of Sighting (Local times to be quoted)

11.04.04 20:43

b. Description Of Object (Number of objects, size, shape, colours, brightness, sound, smell etc).

4 BRIGHT LIGHTS

*

c. Exact Position Observed (Geographical location, indoors or outdoors, stationary or moving).

RAF WOODVALE

d. How Observed (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, still or movie camera).

NAKED EYE

e. Direction In Which Object Was First Seen (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing).

f. Angle Of Sight (Estimated heights are unreliable).

g. Distance (By reference to a known landmark wherever possible).

h. Movements (Changes in E, F, G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).

i. Meteorological Conditions During Observations (Moving clouds, haze, mist etc.)

CLOUDS

j. Nearby Objects (Telephone lines, Spires Etc.)

k. To Whom Reported (Police, military organisations, the press etc).

SEE ATTACHED FAX.

Manform 005

Issue 3

ATSA 4 MACC . NATS LTD.

Page 1 of 2 Da<mark>Section 40</mark>



1. Name And Address Of Informant

m. Any Background On The Informant That May Be Volunteered

n. Other Witnesses

o. Date And Time Of Receipt


Revo e MACC 22:30 / 11.04.04

The above details are to be faxed immediately to AIS (Military), LATCC on Section 40

The completed form is to be despatched to:

Ministry Of Defence Sec (AS) 2A Room 8245 RAF Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB r

2.



National Air Traffic Services Ltd, Control Tower Building, Manchester Airport, Wythenshawe, Manchester M90 2PL

.

Telephone: Section 40

NATS

WITH COMPLIMENTS



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE



Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40
Section 40	Your F	Reference:	
Greater Chesterford Essex	Our Re D/DAS Date:	eference: 5/64/2	

14 April 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 April 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 April 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

alimente de VIII de C

Section 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 April 2004 17.57L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	60 foot long Symetrical object.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outdoors.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	
T		
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	The object came from Duxford direction flying into the wind, flying in the direction of Stansted.
6.	Approximate distance.	Object was 3,000ft up in the sky.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
1.	(Police, military, press etc)	
	(Tonee, minuary, press etc)	
1		
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Greater Chesterford
		Essex
		Section 40
n a a		
· .		
· . · ·		
11.	Other witnesses.	Six people witnessed it.
		•
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 was one of the six.
-		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	13 April 2004
		17.00L



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



Leighton Buzzard Bedfordshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference; D/DAS/64/2 Date: 30 March 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 March 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40	

rocket. a slight
- -
on
peed was

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	-
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
10.	of informant.	
		Leighton Buzzard
		Section 40
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	None given.
	Other withesses.	
12.	Remarks.	No more to give.
	The second second second second	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	30 March 2004
		10.30L
1 .		



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

From: Section 40

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40



Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 19 March 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17 March 2004, the details of which you passed to Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 17 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely Section 40 ATC ADMIM

201

Report of Unidentified Flying Object

a)	Date, Time and Duration of Sighting. 17/3/04 2020 40 to 50 seconds
b)	Description of Object FAST MOVING BRIGHT LIGHT.
c)	Exact Position of DUNGAR
d)	How Observed NAKED EYE.
e)	Direction in which Object was First Seen EAST
f)	Angular Elevation of Object HIGH
g)	Distance of Object from Observer NOT KNOWN
h)	Movements of Object SOUTH TO NORTH
j)	Meteorological Conditions During Observations CLEAR.
k)	Nearby Objects NIL
1)	To Whom Reported Scottish AIR TRAFFIC CONTRE,
m)	SHERWOOD ROND, PRESTNICK, Name and Address of Information 40 EDIN BURGH
n)	Section 40 TEL Section 40 Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered
0)	NIL. Other witnesses HER BOYFRIEND.
p)	Date and Time of Receipt of Report
	17/3/04 2040 . 30 August 1996
SCO/F/120	Issue : 1 So August 1996



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue,

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

From: Section 40

London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) 0171 218 2140 (Switchboard) 0171 218 9000 (Fax) Section 40 Your Reference: Lowestoft Our Reference: Suffolk D/DAS/64/2 ection 40 Date: 9 March 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 1 March 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 March 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

> Yours sincerely ection 40

ø

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	1 March 2004 20.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Yellow light in circular formation. The flash shot across the sky and the lights went out.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Object going across Lowestoft, East Anglia.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Object/lights moving fast up into the sky and across the sky.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Lowestoft Suffolle Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Her children
12.	Remarks.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	2 March 2004 15.20L



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	12 January 2004 16.30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Round object with white lights all around it over the Huddersfield area.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	No details given.
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	No details given
5.	Direction in which object was	SW of Huddersfield
	first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Reported to the switchboard of Leeds/Bradford Airport who relayed the
	((· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	message to DAS answerphone.
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	No address given
		Tel:Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	
12.	Remarks.	
13.	Date and time of receipt.	12 January 2004 17.35L

Section 40 No answer on number. NFA .



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Rhyl North Wales Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 24 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 18 February 2004, the details of which you passed to the Police. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 18 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

ection 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	18 February 2004 16.02L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Large black object over Rhyl.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Not given
5.	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed.	Not given
	(Naked eye, binoculars, other	
	optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was	Not given
	first seen.	
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed.	Not given
1.	(side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported.	Policeman
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Rhyl
		North Wales
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	None mentioned.
o		
12.	Remarks.	NVW Police helicopter was up at the time and saw a civilian aircraft in the area.
		and saw a crynnan anorart in the area.
-		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Message left on our answerphone by Sargeant Section 40



From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

ection 40	Your Reference:
lolbeach	Our Reference:
incs	D/DAS/64/2
ection 40	Date:
· · ·	19 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 11 February 2004, the details of which you passed to RAF Wittering. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 11 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Castion 10	
Section 40	

D UK/270/01/15 DATED 20 NOV 03

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

٠

1

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	11 FEB 04 APPROX 2105 \$
		DURATION OF APPROX 3 SECS
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	2 OBJECTS, DESCRIBED AS A FAST PAIR SPEEDING LIGHTS. NO SIZE OR SHAPE. VERY FAST BRIGHT LIGHT, NO NOISE. POSS CONTRAIL (BLURRED LIGHT)
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	OUTSIDE, STANDING IN GARDEN. STATIONARY Section 40 HOLBEACH LINCS Section 40
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	NAKEO EYE
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	STARTING FROM SSE HEADING NW - FROM DIRECTION OF RADIO MAST/ CONIFER TREE
6.	Approximate distance.	UNSURE
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	EXTREMELY FAST LEVEL 2ND OBJECT TURNED 180° BACK ON ITSELF INSTANTAMEDUSLY
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	CLEAR SKY LITTLE NO AMBIENT LIGHT
9.	Details of any other agencies that have been informed. (such as police, press etc)	RAF WITTERING GUARD ROOM (C/T Section 40, INFORMED RAF NEATISHEAD SURVEILLANCE DIRECTOR

10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		HOLBEACH LINCS Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	NIL
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 WAS SHAKEN BUT COMPOSED. A POLICEMAN OF 30 YEARS, CLAIMS HE NEVER BELIEVE IN UFO'S BUT DOES NOW!
13.	Date and time of receipt.	22107 11 FEB 04
14.	Name and telephone no of person submitting report form to MOD.	FIT LT Section 40 SURVEILLANCE DIRECTOR CRC NEATISHEAD WROXHAM, NORWICH NORFOLK Section 40

Completed Unexplained Aerial Sighting Reports should then be either:

E-mailed on CHOtS or RAF CCIS to DAS-Sec3;

Faxed to DAS Sec 3 on Section 40 or Section 40

Posted to DAS Sec 3 **Ministry of Defence** Room 6/73 **Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue** London WC2N 5BP;

Or a message left on answerphone: 0207 218 2140 or Section 40



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE



Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40 Ely

Cambridgeshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 19 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 8 February 2004, the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 8 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely

Section 40		

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Sunday 8 February 2004 21.45-23.00L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	4 Lights, I brighter than others, sometimes fading, other lights not as bright.
		n an
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	With a camera.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
10.	of informant.	
		Ely
		Cambridgeshire
		Section 40
		n de Anna a companya de la companya
11	0/1	
11.	Other witnesses.	None mentioned
	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}$	
10		
12.	Remarks.	She checked with the Police who did not have any helicopters in the area.
		She said it was not a plane, stars or
		helicopters
		Also took some photographs.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Message left on Sunday 8 February 2004 at 23.21 Local.
		Doom.
	-	
L		



From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference:
West Kilbride Ayrshire Section 40	Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 16 February 2004

Dear Section 40

Thank you for your letter dated 1 February concerning 'unidentified flying objects'.

You will be aware from our previous correspondence that the MOD examines UFO reports, sent to us, solely to establish whether they present any evidence of a breach of UK airspace by unauthorised aircraft. We do not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters to the question or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which we re main totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.

I therefore have nothing further to add to our previous correspondence. Your letter has, however, been placed on our files.

Yours sincerely,



1 of Jelo 4 West Kelbride Ayro Live Section 40

Section 40

Minishy of Defence Section 40

Rej D/DAS/64/2.

When I dast wrote te you sometime in Seft Last. about my Views The wave, an Stateted Letter 26 August03 Then also The one my Son () watched A/Gr we Heard Cours Bellowing a negle. Some us some Anxioty The second one Thit det up, Hore is something) Left out about Mot one I was alhacted By a Llost a my Back As I lumere Round, coulded See a they as I Stated. Bar to Strange Mary was, When it Ded Right up. Fibre Ofter white, it let up in a dine from Lont-Shary 15 accross to It Buck, before & Readed to Back I could See it Shuclane from Reflection of 15 Front. 15 was Definately Shuchured 5 Cloar Grey metice dooking with cut out - windows Solid grey Like a l'ence le ch as I said 3 lines & Demaferreal his up, It Had Sood Deft of Key 18 Tod it want as Slow as to Jebre Ofter Horeson Sreen with Skyll Jebre ofter Jellow is Centre. Well the Aeroflene That Bant though in Ocly Black Darty Cloud. Wobbled & Ton det up. Jos Hought I Ded not Majin & Eiter. It

Loas for Real. The work of the yellow Spleres some there is a Deal Shay I dive too for miles a feccully Hey North to South What wonces me Section 40 1 Reparties a worke of UFO. ' conhead you to come a withen Them - you would for deach of French ET. This Remarchs the of my Sleffalter, telly the the dark more coole Ham Radio. Picked up from other Ham Racalco Morse code that to Jup were Heady to America - He send I over to to Americans who gnover it because they Duly Hick it was feasable. So Hence heart Harbour Someday Somebody is Jocy to lete monishy of Deferee Some try leally Serious a not Socry to Be acknowledged. The because Thy Itah ty one so Supercor is knowledge that Notooly was love Level Has none. This is not acceptable now Bright people Don't lake very dance to volice dack of Knowledge in our Suferiors The world is clancery everyboachy is Externey a new information Age. and Beginning te accestion. Authority. I we wont a Juliive its time you look Head of Responsible peoples Views' « Not freat lim as pools. Or Be No Leture. We Have to dave with liese Usens' with No Suffart. It all Seens Wasted Space. The a Hyll 19 & Could Hove Been a member of Mense of I could Have done matter by Daug the Edenburgt Uneverity is a mallemalicican Physic halls Prise healts So were not a Slafed Family we need Refer of our Views Section 40

Section 40 Operation · Policy IA. MINISTRY OF DEFENCE. ROOM 6/73 Metro pole Building Northumberland Ave LONDON WC2N S.B.P.

22 Dec 03

Sec3

To: Subject:

D News RAF Press Enquiries on UFOs

Section 40

I have received two enquiries on UFO matters direct from the press. One left a message on our answerphone and one called the public low flying complaints line. I do not normally deal direct with the press so would be grateful if someone in the press office could contact the following. I attach a few lines which can be given to both of them.





Thame News Jordan's Courtyard 8 Upper High Street Thame Oxfordshire OX9 3ER Telt<mark>Section 40</mark> e-mail: editor@thamenews.net

Note The Press Office left a newsage on Section 40 annuerphone. There have been no further Calls. 12/2/2004

She left a message on the DAS UFO answerphone concerning a loud noise, like an air balloon heard in the area in the early hours of 2 December.

Note: Press Office called Section 40 Revolued over the phone wring lines to take provided. NFA. 12/2/2004. ction 40 Flame TV Company Section 40

Telephoned our Low Flying complaints line and said his company are making a programme about UFOs. No further details given. Please inform him that if he needs any further information, we would be happy to answer his questions if he would like to send them by fax or e-mail via yourselves.

Thanks for your help.



1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	2 December 2003 Between 0130-0200L for 5-10 minutes.
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Loud noise like a hot air balloon heard, but nothing seen.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Information passed by the local newspaper.
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	No details given.
4.	How object was observed.	Nothing seen, only heard.
4 .	(Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	rouning seen, only neard.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Nothing seen.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Nothing seen.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T
9.	To whom reported.	Local newspaper.
	(Police, military, press etc)	Thame News and then to DAS
		answerphone.
:		
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Thame News
		Jordan's Courtyard
		8 Upper High Street
		Thame
	and the second	Oxfordshire
		OX9 3ER
		Tel:Section 40
		e-mail: editor@thamenews.net
11.	Other witnesses.	Members of the public have reported this to
		the newspaper. The newspaper has not
		provided us with their details.
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said that a similar noise was
		heard in the area 10-11 months ago. A local
		person with an interest in these things has
		told her it may be a Flying Triangle or the
		military could be testing "an anti-gravity
		method of propulsion". She wondered if
		we could identify what this was.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Tuesday 2 December 2003
1.5.		
	6	
1	F	



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Billingsley Kidderminster Shropshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 12 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 January 2004 the details of which you passed to RAF Shawbury. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

MON, 09-FEB-04 14:58 CRO RAF SHAWBURY	01939 250351
~Unit Ref: 2033/17/ CRo	
MILITARY AIRCRAFT A PUBLIC COMPLAINT	the outpot
SECTION 1: ACTION AUTHORITIES	
Action Info Action Info Unit Image: Constraint of the section of the secti	Action Info Comd Sec HQ Land RAF HQ PTC D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3 Other RAF HQ STC Specify
FOR MOD USE ONLY.	
OS Grid Ref: SO7184 Serial No: 04	2/68 File Ref: 69/4/47E57
LFA: Previous Complain	No. of Complaints to date:
NS: Serial No:	File Ref:
SECTION 2: DETAILS OF COMPLAINANT	SECTION 4: DETAILS OF INCIDENT
Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other: Section 40	Date: WED 28 JUN 04
Surname: Section 40	Time: 1815-2000
Forename(s): Section 40	How many aircraft involved:
Address: Section 40	Type of aircraft
BALLINGSLEY	Other (Specify)
	LIGHTS
Town/City: KIDDERMINSTER County: SHEOPSHIRE	Markings
Postcode: Section 40	Grey Camouflaged Red/White Black/Yellow
Telephone No. Section 40	Other (Specify)
SECTION 3: LOCATION OF INCIDENT	
Address at Section 2,	
	Height
or:	Low Medium High
Address:	Estimation in Feet:
	Direction: N E
	Inside MATZ?
Town/City:	Yes VIIO
County:	If Yes which MATZ?
Postcode:	

NOW TURN OVER

۰ş.

,

SECTION 5: PARTICULARS OF COMPLAINT (Continue of	n a separate sheet if necessary).
QUE UNIDENTIFIED LIGHT	TS IN NIGHT SKY ABOUT
TWO MILES AWAY TO	NE APPEARED TO RE
"FLYING" IN FORMATION	Section 40 RANG
	3 TO SE ASK WITETHER
WE HAD DISCOVERED ANY	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SECTION 6: CLAIMS (DO NOT PROMPT)	SECTION 7: UNITS RESPONSE
Has the incident given rise to any injury to persons and/or	
livestock or damage to property which will result in a claim for compensation being submitted to the Ministry of Defence?	Return Telephone call
Yes No	Full written response sent (attach copy)
If Yes, give details and copy form to D/C+L (F+S) Claims 3.	
	Low flying leaflet sent
	Written acknowledgement only (attach copy)
	Visit arranged
	Specify
	Requires attention of HQ P&SS
	Other (Specify)
SECTION 8: DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL RECEIVING COMPLAINT	
Time: (Local) 15)3	
Date Complaint received: 30 JAN 04	
Signature: Section 40	
Cha	
Name: Section 40	IMPORTANT REMINDER
	ALL ACTION TAKEN MUST BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAI J5002 OF 1999.
	THE COMPLETED FORM IS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE
Unit: KAF SHAW BURY Tel. No: Section 40	APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES AS LISTED AT SECTION 1 WITHIN 5 DAYS.
181. NO: 00001011 40	

:

ł

wat ^{li}

•	ROYAL AIR FORCE Shawbury, Shrewsbury SY4 4DZ	
HE 91	Please reply to the Community Relations O	fficer
	Telephone: Section 40	(+ answerphone)
	Fax:Section 40	
	e-mail: cro@direct.shawburyigs.raf.mod.uk	or shy-admin-cro
Section 40		Your reference:
		Our reference:
		SHAW/2033/17/CRO
Billingsley		Date:
Section 40		9 February 2004



Sighting of Lights

I am writing to follow up your call to RAF Shawbury, taken by <u>Section 40</u> at 3.15 pm on Friday 30 January, to report the sighting of unidentified lights in the sky in your area on Wednesday 28 January between 6.15 pm and 8 pm. I do apologise for taking so long to write to you and for giving you cause to telephone again last Friday.

RAF Shawbury airfield was closed on the evening of 28 January as no night flying had been programmed during the week. In any event the helicopters from RAF Shawbury would not be operating at night in the Billingsley area, which is well outside our designated training area. It is also most unlikely that the lights would have been associated with anything operating from RAF Cosford as their airfield would also have been closed.

As I am unable to shed any light on the phenomenon I have forwarded details to the appropriate department in the Ministry of Defence in London for their attention.

Once again, please excuse my delay in replying.

With best wishes

yours	sincerely
U	Section 40

CC:



www.shawbury.raf.mod.uk



From:<mark>Section 40</mark> Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Grosmont N Yorkshire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 11 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 4 February 2004 the details of which you left with the Operater at RAF Fylingdales. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 4 February 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

UNCLASSIFIED

Action Precedence: ROUTINE DTG: 041000ZFEB04 From: RAF FYLINGDALES BMEWS/OPS OPERATOR// SIC: Z6F

Subject: ACTION

To:

MODUK AIR

SUBJECT :

SUBJ: MSG ID/FYD/0005/041000ZFEB04 SUBJECT: AERIAL PHENOMENON 1. FOLLOWING SIGHTING OF A POSSIBLE UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT IS **REPORTED:** A. 040615 LOCAL FEB 04 B. OBJECT APPEARED TO BE A SOUNDLESS PAIR OF LIGHTS, ONE YELLOW, THE OTHER WHITE. NO DISCERNABLE SHAPE. C. ON THE N YORK MOORS IN THE VILLAGE OF GROSMONT WITH RAF FYLINGDALES ON THE LEFT. D. NAKED EYE E. OBJECT SEEN JUST SOUTH EAST OF OBSERVER, FLEW OVER FYLINGDALES AND ON TOWARDS PICKERING. F. 25 DEGREES. G. OBJECT VIEWED AT A RANGE OF APPROX. 10 MILES. H. OBJECT MOVED ERRATICALLY (LEFT, RIGHT, UP AND DOWN). IT CAME TO A SUDDEN STOP AND MOVED A GREAT DISTANCE IN A SPLIT SECOND. APPROX. DISTANCE TRAVELLED 60 MILES (ACCORDING TO OBSERVER). J. CLEAR, MORNING SKY. STARS OBSERVED RED IN THE SKY. K. OBJECT INITIALLY VIEWED ABOVE TREE-LINE, BUT FOR THE MAJORITY OF SIGHTING WAS IN CLEAR VIEW. L. RAF FYLINGDALES THROUGH MOD OPERATOR M.Section 40 GROSMONT, N YORKSHIRE. N. UNEMPLOYED OIL RIG DRILLER. FAMILIAR WITH HELIOPS AND THE LIKE DUE TO PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT P. NONE Q. 040915 LOCAL FEB 04 R. NONE S. INFORMANT OFTEN SEES HELICOPTERS AND COMMERCIAL AIRLINERS WHEN IN THE GENERAL AREA, BUT DUE TO NATURE OF MOVEMENT OF OBJECT BUT THOUGHT IT PRUDENT TO REPORT THE INCIDENT. 2. POC CPLSection SCREW OPS - RAFTN/UNITER EXTN SectOR SGT Section 4 - SPACE INFO OFFICER - EXT Section 40 END OF X.400 TEXT

Time Sent: 041030ZFEB04

ACP127 Identifier: RBDBIA 0220 0351018 MM Identifier: /DD.acp-plad=RAF FYLJ

/DD.acp-plad=RAF FYLINGDALES BMEWS\$/OPS OPERATOR\$/\$//DD.acp-ri=RBDBIA/CN=RBDWC/OU2=OUA/OU1 =MODMAIN/O=HQ-AMRAD/P=MODUK-AMRAD/A= /C=GB/@3227 040204103227Z





From:<mark>Section 40</mark> Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

	Your Reference:	
Thaxted Essex Section 40	Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 2 February 2004	

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, the Strange light, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 January 2004 10.30-1200L
2.	Description of object.	Strange light
4.	(No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not given
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
	(Fonce, mintary, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Thaxted Essex
		Section 40
	na standar an	Tel: Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	None mentioned
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 said the time was just after
		1200 and she had been watching this strange light for about 1 ¹ / ₂ hours and it was
		still there at the time of the call.
		The 8 th was a Thursday and the message
		was recorded on Friday at 12.15 am, I
		therefore believe that she should have said
		it was the 9 th January.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	9 January 2004 12.15L
L		



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)



|--|

Hampton Peterborough Cambridgeshire Section 40 Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 28 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, of the flashing green lights, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 28 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		
		•

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	28 January 2004 18,30L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Saw flashing green lights. 1000-5000ft. Lights stayed on for about 2 seconds.
3.	Exact position of observer.	Outdoors but in car
	Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors,	
	stationary/moving.)	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other	Not given
	optical device, camera or camcorder.)	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen.	They were driving in a North-North West direction along Blackwell Road.
	(A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	South – N/NW
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down,	Flew off very fast and low.
-	constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions during observation.	Not given
	(cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	Das answerphone
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Hampton Peterborough
		Cambridgeshire
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Daughter
12.	Remarks.	Not a normal aircraft . No sound.
-		
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Tuesday 28 January 20.39L



Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

From: Section 40



Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40 Bretton Peterborough Section 40

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 27 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, the four dull red lights, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 27 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40		
Section 40		

and the second state of the se

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Tuesday 27 Jan 2004 21.00L	
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	4 dull red lights above his house, north of Peterborough	
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not given	
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not given	
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given	
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given	
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Travelling fast and low	
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Cloudy	

9.	To whom reported.	Das answerphone
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
	of mormant.	Bretton
		Peterborough
		Section 40
		Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	Not given
12.	Remarks.	Changed Competing and discover 11, 11, 1
12.	Kemarks.	Changed formation and disappeared behind clouds.
		Did not recognise as normal aircraft they
		see in the area.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Tuesday 27 Jan 2004 21.30L
1.5.	surv and time of receipt.	1 40544 y 27 Juli 2007 21.JUL



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a

TUMBER

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 Section 40

Section 40

Ayr

Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 2 January 2004 the details of which were passed to us by Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 2 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40	

Report of Unidentified Flying Object

Date, Time and Duration of Sighting. a) 2-1-2004, 0430, 2-2 mins **Description of Object b**) Square red object. Pintrish at Font Exact Position of OBSERVER c) Indoors, at address noted at (m) - Sweet Protwich with the How Observed d) Through house window, Natred eye, Direction in which Object was First Seen <u>e</u>) Retrocter town 2 miles out to west of house towards Aran Angular Elevation of Object f) 10w angle **Distance of Object from Observer** g) estimated at 1/2 milas - 2 miles **Movements of Object** h) West to hast appeared to be descending, as fast as a fighter " **Meteorological Conditions During Observations** j) Clear, wind colu. Nearby Objects k) None observed To Whom Reported 1) E' watch supervisor Scottish Airways, Dosmetic. ection 40 Name and Address of Informant m) ection 40 AYR Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered n) Neng Other witnesses 0) Na Date and Time of Receipt of Report p) 2-1-2004 1500 30 August 1996 Issue : 1 SCO/F/120

→ NEO WOD

SCOTTISH CENTRE

ection 40

02/01 2004 16:32 FAX



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



.

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

Telephone (D (Si

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)



Section 40

Market Harborough Leicestershire Your Reference:

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 2 February 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 9 January 2004 the details of which you left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 9 January 2004 from anywhere in the UK. We can confirm also, that there were no F117's, or B2's over the UK on that same date. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	9 January 2004 1400-1800L
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	One large black triangular aircraft with three bright lights in a triangle formation. Rumbling sound.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Outdoors in his garden.
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	SE -N
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Flying directly overhead.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given

: 1

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone.
Ì		
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40
		Market Harborough Leicestershire
- 5		Tel: Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	
12.	Remarks.	Section 40 as in his back garden when a
		commercial airliner went over and then directly overhead he saw a large black
		triangular aircraft with lights on each
		corner, "jumping about the sky". He wondered if it may have been a military
		F117 or B2.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	10 January 2004 18.44L

DAS-VF confirmed there were no F117's or B2 over the UK on the 9th Jan. Section 40 14/1/04 on Tuesday 20th January 2004. Repeated the mersage he left on the 14th January. Section 40 Section 40 26/1/04

2



From: Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3a



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London WC2N 3BP

> Telephone (Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)

0171 218 2140 0171 218 9000 ction 40

ction 40

Barnton Park Edinburgh

Your Reference

Our Reference: D/DAS/64/2 Date: 27 January 2004

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 29 December 2003 the details of which were passed to us by Scottish Air Traffic Control. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 29 December 2003 from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40		

29/12/2003 12:12 FAX Section 40

j + 10°. *

٦,

ATC ADMIM

112 \checkmark

Report of Unidentified Flying Object

a)	Date, Time and Duration of Sighting.
	29/12/03 APPROX 0150Z AND ON PREVIOND EVENINS.
b)	Description of Object STEADY BRISHT LISHT RESEMBLINS FRONT OF HELICOPTER
c)	EAST SOUTHEAST OF BARNION PARK, EDINBURSH
d)	How Observed BY EXE NAKED EYE,
e)	Direction in which Object was First Seen
	DIRECTION OF CORSTORPHINE HILL
f)	Angular Elevation of Object SIMILAR TO THAT AT WHICH OBSERVER WATED EXPECT TO SEE AIRCRAFT ON APPROACH OR "STACKING" FOR ESPH.
g)	Distance of Object from Observer
6/	NOT KNOWN.
h)	Movements of Object STEADY
j)	Meteorological Conditions During Observations
	SEE ATTACH MENT.
k)	Nearby Objects NONE
1)	To Whom ReportedSection 40Section 40
m)	Name and Address of Informant Section 40, BARNTON PARK, EDINBURSH
n)	Any Background Information on the Information that may be Volunteered
ц	SONDED LIKE AN OLDER LADY
0)	Other witnesses NoNE
p)	Date and Time of Receipt of Report
-	23/12/03 01532

4

put through to Section 40 She had proved, I believe, 29/12/2003 12:12 FAX Section 40 Edinburgh Actpart-most likely BAA. Checked with Att and shay had not been contracted by her. Have tried to locate where Section 40 is, but The phone quires unobhainable tone when it is dillad. at end of conversation, having ection 40 been told that we knew I nothing there, conceled that it was possibly a planet or sotellite.

Référence D/DAS/64/2. DAS 102No. - 9 JAN 2004 Section 40 Shupshire Section 40 02 Decur Sur Madam, ection 40 thankyou for your reply which reached me on the 25 Dec 2003 on 25 Nov 2003 at 25 past 2 in the afternoon 1 saw a object in the sky like I had never seen before. I opend my trench windows, and abant a nile North of my windows there was this glorious strong bright light comency dann to earth at a steady speed, the light was so so bright Thave never seen such a strong glow in all my life it was in berienable it had a trickle of smoke howening behind it there was no sound from the object at all perfectly traight it came down, perfectly straight. We will take our family dogs and look around in the awen and see it we can find any clues-but its decieving when your looming from a destrance but It trying to find where it landed. The oming light

tion where Isous it was huge. Iwas so amazed thats why I had to phone should very and tell theor. if my husband would of been at home at the trive it happend I would of gone to have a look. but because I was on My and I was cilettle meany,

because these days its Just not safe to walked avourd fields an your own. if we de Cenne accrose anything Univseval une will late You now as Som as possible. Many thanks for your reply. ps. There was Sanething Else Ishard mention, Chave three children and when My Uyear old daughter Section 4 have I told her the story and she said that a girl aged the same age II, said to a friend guess what We seen balls of fire in the sky!! So she must have seen the same object has Me. My daughter said she was shouting it out load, thay go to Section 40 School in Section 40



From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40

Section 40	Your Reference
Woodbridge Suffolk Section 40	Our Reference D/DAS/64/2 Date 15 January 2003

Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your letter of 21 December 2003 to my colleague, <u>Section 40</u> concerning your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 1 December 2003. Your letter has been passed to me as this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

As you will be aware from our previous correspondence, the Ministry of Defence examines reports of UFOs solely to establish whether they present any evidence that UK airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting.


With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 1 December from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that UK airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

As for your suggestion that the MOD should develop something to combat alien spacecraft, I should inform you that while the MOD remains open-minded as to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, to date we know of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena.



Section 40 WOODBNINGS 21/12/03 Section 40 Your REF D/DAS/03/12/36 Dear Section 40 Thende yeen for your Setter of the 15 mint, I have noted your commento aterin Nothing in cendliar accorde is anything silve what I saw, this plan toid was huge an rough estitute of 2 weather Side by side, it made no hours and could fun or live for and change thepe, my great to it culled be little an provents denie hever besteil in which care it should have been in eithe isolated anias

Or over the sea, karing studed all another for the part 40 years I have seen seen anything as Good as the markine', my second theony is it could be more Sense, from a deader ane we dont know los to about Win again of it belongs to that domension what an cull Upos, why the size, I have your reply could be of ho defener significence or is it we dont have a not developed any Thing & combat it yet I have the belief that if There things wint and I am nor 1000% continued they could frem taken us yours ago If they do exist they have some beautiful aircreft Section 40






From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN) 020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40




Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 17th December 2003, the details of which you gave to our Low Flying Complaints and Enquiry unit. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that, to date, we have received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 17 December from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Section 40



Section 40

He and wife returning home in their car along peacock lare. Saw an object - 60 pt across of 40 ft hìgh Thing remained Still for approx 2-3 mins then dripted Stowly of towards Reading Section 40 4 his wife Stayed at location for 20 mins The Object was Black /Dark with lights along the side and a wierd Stobe light - wike when electrical Stobe light - Object Silent. 17th Dec.

7.15 pm



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3




MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an unusual noise heard on 2 December, the details of which were left on our answerphone. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'Unidentified Flying Objects.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to the unusual noise heard in the vicinity of your home on 2 December, we are satisfied that that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.



REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Tuesday 2 December 2003 01.20 for 1 minute
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Nothing seen. Loud noise like a balloon letting out air.
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Not Given
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Not seen, only heard.
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not seen.
6.	Approximate distance.	Not given.
7.	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Not seen.
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Not given.

1

9.	To whom reported.	DAS answerphone.
	(Police, military, press etc)	
10.	Name, address and telephone no	Section 40
	of informant.	
		Aylesbury
		Bucks
		Section 40
		Tel:Section 40
11.	Other witnesses.	None given, but said the local newspaper
		knew of the event.
12.	Remarks.	Lady said they heard the same thing in the
12.	Nemai KS.	area about a year ago.
10		11.26
13.	Date and time of receipt.	11.36am Tuesday 2 December 2003.



From Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3




MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTŃ)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 tion 40



Section 40 Dear

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 25 November 2003, the details of which you gave to the Community Relations Officer at RAF Shawbury. Your report has been forwarded to this department, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 25 November from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.




cc: CRO RAF Shawbury

TUE, 02-DEC-03 10	1:13 CRO RAF SHAWBU	JRY	01939 250351		P.01
	(NB - To be faxed to the	ne CRO as	MPLAINT sap on Ext <mark>Section 4</mark> eli orking day)	bury MATZ	۲ ۱
	Origin		Particulars	of Complaint	
(Please complete AL Name (Mt/Mrs)etc) Initials Address Sec	SDO/OO D Oi/c Fg s of Complainant L boxes unless repeat complain ection 40	t)	AMAZING, BR RED LIGHT DI OF ATE TOWN.S SEEN ANYATING HOR LIFE BER ALSO SEEN BY OLD PUPIC AT	DRE, IT WAS AN ((-YEAR- - Section 40	4
	ction 40 ction 40		IN WERN (SHE	SMD).	
Tel No Se	ction 40				
	ined before? (Y/N)				
Det	ails of Incident				
Date	25 NON 0.3				
Time (Local)	1425		If adjacent to airfield/RLG, runway/landing direction in		
Type (FW/RW)	BRIGHT LIGHT		Please specify any injury/	damage (or N/A):	-1
No of ac					
Other info (Colour/markings)	DRANGE (RED				
Direction	DESCENDING				
Est Ht	?				
Loca	tion of Incident				
OS Grid?	525129		Is caller sending formal le		
(or address as above)			-	onse/Action O/D OIC Fg)	
			Date and local time of call	25 NOV 1435	
			Taken by (name/rank)	Section 40	
			Sect & tel ext	Section 40	

CRO Follow-up Action (see over)

Section 40

Signature



Dear Section 40

SIGHTING OF STRANGE LIGHT

I am writing to acknowledge your telephone call on Tuesday afternoon, 25 November, to report a strange bright orange/red light which appeared in the sky to the north of Wem at 2.25 pm and would like to thank you for bringing the phenomenon to my attention.

As RAF Shawbury is not really equipped to conduct research into sightings of this sort I am forwarding your comments to the appropriate department at the Ministry of Defence in London.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further concerns.

Yours sincerely

Section 40



Squadron Leader for Officer Commanding



www.shawbury.raf.mod.uk



Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) Reports

ection 40 24 (11 **| 0**3

NFA. Report already received & processed.

Report of Unidentified Flyin	ig Object
Date	17 NOVEMBER 2003
Time	0225
Sighting Duration	UNKNONN
Description of Object	20-30 FLASHING RED + WHITE LIGHTS MOVING QUICKLEY RESIDENT VIDEOED THE INCIDENT.
Exact Position of Observer	BROMLEY KENT
How Object was Observed	NAKED EYE
Direction in which Object was First Seen	UNKNOWN
Angular Elevation of Object	UNKNOWN
Distance of Object from Observer	UNKNONN
Movement of Object	ZIE ZAGGINE
Meteorological Conditions During Observations	UNKNONN
Nearby Objects	UNKNOWN
To Whom Reported	NEW SCUTLAND WARD SET Section 40 REF Section 40 CAD625
Name of Informant	2 ADULTS + 1 CHILD. UNKNONN
Address of Informant	
	UNKNOWN
Background Information on Informant that may be Volunteered	UNKNOWN
Other Witnesses	A POLICEMAN SENT TO INNESTIGATE COMFIRMED THE SIGHTING OBJECTS WERE MOVING FASIDE THAN ANY MAN MADE AIRCRAFT.
Date of Receipt of Report	0330 17 NOVEHBOR 2003
Time of Receipt of Report	0330

D UK/270/1/13

18 Nov 03

DAS Sec 3



Reference:

A. D/Sec(AS)/64/2 dated 17 Nov 03.

At Reference, you asked whether the report submitted by Sgt Section 4 from New Scotland Yard, through Plt Off Section 4 fr C Neatishead, regarding "20-30 red flashing lights in the sky accompanied by a whirring noise" at 0225hrs on 17 Nov 03 at Bromley in Kent, represented anything of air defence interest. CRC Neatishead have reviewed the radar tapes for the time period specified and other than routine air traffic in the area, nothing additional was detected in the area despite good radar coverage. Therefore, I can now confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.

Signed on CHOtS Section 40 Wg Cdr DUK SO1 Air Ops 2 MT466 Section 4

Action: Sgt Section 40 at New Scotland Yardin not on chuty. Message of outcome passed to control room. No details of the people reporting this sighting. so unable to reply direct. Police given my cellphone number in-case Sgt Section 40 wonted to contact me or poss us the address of those reporting the sighting 19/11/03.



LOOSE MINUTE

D/Sec(AS)/64/2

17 November 2003

D UK-SO1 AIR

σΞ. 000

CREDIBLE WITNESS REPORT DATED 3 NOVEMBER 1999.

1. In line with our current policy, please find below a 'UFO' report which you may wish to be aware of. Although the report was originally from a member of the public, these lights were also seen by Police Officers and a Police helicopter crew. Swanwick and RAF Neatishead have also become involved. I would be grateful if you could let me know if the report represents anything of air defence interest.



Section 40

DAS-Sec3 (CHOTS: DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1) MT6/73 Section 40 Follow-up UFO Report – 170612z Nov 03

UFO report relayed to STCDO from the Duty Surveillance Officer Fg Off Section 40 at RAF Neatishead who had received the report from F/Sg(Section 50 anick Mil extSection 40 f Section 40 and to CAOC 9 –Fit Lt Section 40

F/Sg(Sectionad Generation Action Acti

contact Tel No<mark>Section 40</mark>

'At 2.25am 17 Nov 03 2 adults and 1 child in Bromley, Kent saw 20-30 red flashing lights in the sky accompanied by a whirring noise and they recorded the occurrence on video tape. The family called the police who confirmed the sighting. The policeman using a lamppost as a marker concluded the lights were zigzagging / turning across the sky at a speeds faster than any man-made aircraft.

A crew of a police helicopter airborne at the time also confirmed the lights but they thought they might be lights of aircraft on the approach in to LHR. However Swanick Mil declared that there were no aircraft in the approach pattern at that time all aircraft on their radar were at high level.'

S/L FO<mark>Section 40</mark> STCDO-C Section 40

Section 40

Mersage left on DAS answerghone at 05.34 Monday 17th Nov by Pilot Officer Section 40 of RAF Neutrichead. UFO sighting details as above reported to Neatischead at 04.00 by Swanwick ATC. The righting was confirmed by the Police and Scotland Yard reported it to Swanich Wit. Police helicopter in the asea thought they were aircraft approaching Heathrow but Swanwich Bay there were no such aircraft in the area.

Pilot Officer Section 40 is on Neatishead Crew Room Section 40 modesile number Section 40



From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Secretariat)3




MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Telephone

(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax) (GTN)

020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40



Dear Section 40

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object', seen on 5th November 2003, the details of which were left on our answerphone. As you may be aware, this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting reports and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 5 November from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

D UK/270/1/13

17 Nov 03

DAS Sec 3

CREDIBLE WITNESS 'UFO' REPORT - 5 NOV 03

Reference:

A. D/Sec(AS)/64/2 dated 12 Nov 03.

At Reference, you asked whether the report submitted by Section 40 regarding "2 bright lights that hung in the air for several minutes before departing very fast", whilst he was Southbound on the A11 on 5 Jul 03, represented anything of air defence interest. I can now confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.

Signed on CHOtS Section 40 Wg Cdr DUK SO1 Air Ops 2 MT466<mark>Section 40</mark> LOOSE MINUTE

D/Sec(AS)/64/2

12 November 2003

D UK-SO1 AIR OPS 2

CREDIBLE WITNESS REPORT DATED 5 NOVEMBER 2003.

1. In line with our current policy, please find below a 'UFO' report from the Assistant Secretary of the RAF Dependants Fund. Although Section 40 did not say in his message that he was either Military or ex-Military, his position with the RAF Dependants Fund suggests that he may be. I would be grateful if you could let me know if this report represents anything of air defence interest.

Section 40

DAS-Sec3 (CHOts DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1) MT6/73 Section 40

1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Wednesday 5 th November 2003 16.30
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	2 Bright Lights
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	In car on A11
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

5 .	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	Not given
5.	Approximate distance.	Very high in the sky
' .	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	Hanging in the air for several minutes, ther departed very fast.
	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	Clear sky
	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS answerphone
0.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Assistant Secretary, RAF Dependants Fun Section 40
		London Section 40
		Section 40 GPTN <mark>Section 40</mark>
1.	Other witnesses.	Section 40 wife and a friend
2.	Remarks.	Section 40 and party were returning from RAF Honington to London and saw these lights while on the A11
3.	Date and time of receipt.	Message left on answerphone at 09.20am Thursday 6 th November 2003.

AP3392 Vol 2 Leaflet 2405

REPLICATED VERSION 1 OCT 03

RAF Publications Library Contact: AP3392 Vol 2 Administrator Last Update: 20 May 2003

Review Date: 14 May 2004

Home | Publications Index | Forms Index | User Guide

AP3392 Vol 2 Leaflet 2405

THE RAF DEPENDANTS FUND

General

1. The RAF Dependants Fund was established on 1 Jul 67 with an initial grant of £50,000 from the RAF Central Fund.

2. The purpose of the RAF Dependants Fund is to provide a single tax-free payment for the **immediate** need of widows, widowers, dependant children, or other nominated dependants of subscribing members of the Fund who die while serving on a regular RAF engagement or on specially designated Full Time Reserve Service.

3. The RAF Dependants Fund is a registered charity. The governing Trust Deed, Constitution and Rules have been registered in accordance with the Charities Act.

Scope of the Fund

4. All officers, airmen and airwomen, including members of the PMRAFNS, who are serving on regular engagements may apply for membership of the Fund. Specially designated Full Time Reserve Service (FTRS) personnel may also apply for membership. Eligibility will cease on the last day of paid service regardless of age.

Grant Payment

5. Because of the charitable status of the RAF Dependents Fund, the basic grant payable on death will be at the discretion of the Managing Trustees and will be related to the current financial state of the Fund. The amount to be paid will be reviewed by the Managing Trustees annually, or as dictated by exceptional circumstances, and promulgated to the RAF.

Subscription

6. The subscription is 25p per month per member. Six months advance warning will be given to members in the event of any increase. Subscriptions will be collected monthly in arrears by AFPAA(Inns) and paid to the Fund.

Income Tax

7.

Subscriptions to the Fund do not qualify for income tax relief.

Closure During Emergency

8. The Managing Trustees may close the Fund to new subscribers when war has been declared or when, in their sole opinion, war is imminent. In such a case, the dependants of those who were subscribers at the date of closure may be considered for assistance from the Fund for such period and in such circumstances as the Managing Trustees in their sole opinion shall decide. Should the Fund be so closed, the Managing Trustees will determine the day on which it shall re-open and will notify all serving personnel of the date of such re-opening.

ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

Application for Membership

http://main.chots.mod.uk/rafpubs/ap3392v2/l2405.htm

9. Eligible personnel may join the Fund at any time. Application for membership is to be made on RAF Form 7230 (RAF Dependants Fund – Application/Voluntary Withdrawal), a composite 3-part form. The purpose of the various parts is as follows:

a. *Flysheet.* The Flysheet contains basic information on membership of the Fund. It is to be detached and retained by the applicant on submission of the Form 7230.

b. *Original.* The original is used as the authority for the deduction of subscriptions from pay.

c. *Duplicate.* The duplicate is a card on which the subscriber agrees to be bound by the rules of the Fund. It becomes the Fund's permanent record of membership and beneficiary.

Effective Date of Membership

10. Membership of the Fund will commence from the date on which the applicant signs the Form 7230 provided that the applicant is eligible for membership in accordance with para 4 and provided there is no undue delay in the Form 7230 reaching the Secretary of the Fund. The Managing Trustees' decision on the effective date of commencement will be final.

Action in Personnel Services Flight and Disposal of Form 7230

11. Form 7230 is to be signed by OC PSF certifying the eligibility of the applicant. Should an applicant's dependent be under 18 years of age, the applicant is to nominate on Form 7230 a Responsible Adult through whom any monies can be paid.

12. After certification by OC PSF, both the original and duplicate copies of Form 7230 are to be forwarded to the RAF Dependants Fund at the address shown in para 25. All applications from FTRS personnel **must** be accompanied by Form 7455 duly completed and authorised by OC PSF.

Action by the Secretary of the Fund

13. The Secretary of the Fund is responsible for:

a. Ensuring the computer input coding is correct on the original copy of the Form 7230 and forwarding the form to AFPAA(Inns) as the authority to commence the deduction of subscriptions.

b. Retaining the duplicate copy of the Form 7230 as a permanent record of Fund membership and details of the beneficiary.

c. Amending details of beneficiaries from RAF Forms 7233 received from units.

Withdrawal of Membership

14. **Withdrawal of Membership.** Members of the Fund who do not subscribe to the RAF Dependants (Income) Trust can withdraw from the Fund by completing Form 7230 and inserting Code '**2**' as shown in para 4 on Form 7230. The original and duplicate copies of the Form 7230 are to be forwarded to the Fund for action and onward transmission to AFPAA(Inns). Personnel who withdraw from the Fund will not be eligible for any refund of subscriptions already paid.

(**NB** - Fund membership is a **pre-requisite** to joining the RAF Dependants (Income) Trust and, therefore, those personnel who subscribe to **both** the Fund and Trust cannot withdraw from the Fund without also ceasing membership of the Trust using Form 7329 – *see* AP3392, Vol 2, <u>Leaflet 2406</u>.

Change of Nominated Dependant or Change of Name of Subscriber

15. **Change of Nominated Dependant.** RAF Form 7233 (RAF Dependants Fund and RAF Dependants (Income) Trust – Notice of Amendment), raised in duplicate, is to be used to notify the Fund of a change of nominated dependant. After signature, the original copy of Form 7233 is to be

filed in the individual's Form 445A and the duplicate sent to the Fund. To avoid ambiguity, it is essential that subscribers to both the Fund and RAF Dependants (Income) Trust complete **both** sections on the Form 7233 relating to the Fund and Trust.

16. **Change of Nominated Dependant on Marriage.** When a subscriber marries, the Trustees will automatically assume that the spouse is to become the nominated beneficiary. Where the individual wishes a person other than their spouse to be the beneficiary, details are to be sent to the Secretary of the Fund on Form 7233. In the event of separation, divorce or re-marriage, it is **imperative** that subscribers notify the Secretary of the Fund of any change of beneficiary, if appropriate. If in doubt, subscribers are invited to contact the Secretary of the Fund to discuss beneficiary options.

17. **Change of Name of Subscriber**. Form 7233 is to be used to notify the Secretary of the Fund of the change of surname of any subscriber. If this also involves a change in the name of the nominated beneficiary, the two occurrences may be included on the same form.

Continuity of Membership during periods of Non-Effectiveness for Pay

18. Fund members who become non-effective for pay due to secondment to other forces must make private arrangements with the Secretary of the Fund for payment of monthly subscriptions for the period of secondment. Subscribers going on the **unpaid** portion of Maternity/Paternity Leave must make similar arrangements with the Secretary of the Fund. As no loss can be borne by public funds in respect of subscriptions to the Fund collected through RAF Pay, failure to pay subscriptions privately during the period of non-effectiveness for pay will result in the termination of the subscriber's membership.

19. **Illegal Absence**. Any subscriber to the Fund who is declared illegally absent will automatically cease to be a member of the Fund. Such individuals may re-apply to join the Fund on becoming effective for pay again.

20. **Detention**. When a subscriber to the Fund is awarded detention, membership of the Fund will be allowed to continue uninterrupted with subscriptions being deducted from the individual's RAF pay in the normal manner.

21. AFPAA (Inns) will inform the Fund and the unit in all cases when subscriptions cease to be recovered under paras 19 and 20.

Method of Payment on Death of a Subscriber

22. **Notification of Casualties.** In the event of the death of a subscriber, the CO's representative is to ascertain membership by reference to the individual's personal documents [*see also Leaflet 2406* (The RAF Dependants (Income) Trust)]. The Secretary of the Fund is to be informed of the casualty without delay by fax, using the fax number quoted in para 25, giving the following particulars:

- a. Number, rank and name of the subscriber.
- b. Date and cause of death, if known.
- c. Name and address of the spouse or nominated beneficiary.

Speed is the essential factor and the submission is not to be delayed because of lack of information on any specific point. If necessary, the fax is to be amplified by telephone, letter or further fax. **Units and Visiting Officers are not to advise individuals that they are beneficiaries until confirmation has been given by the Secretary of the Fund.**

23. **Payment to Beneficiaries.** On receipt of the Casualty fax, the Managing Trustees will take immediate action regarding payment of a grant. The final decision regarding the beneficiary, the method of payment and the amount will be at the sole discretion of the Trustees.

Fund Organisation

24.

The Fund and its assets are controlled by a committee of Managing Trustees as follows:

President: - AMP Members - Contro

bers - Controller, RAF Benevolent Fun. AOA HQPTC Cmd Sec HQPTC Gp Capt SF&CS HQPTC

Communications

25.

All communications should be addressed to:

The Secretary Royal Air Force Dependants Fund, 67 Portland Place, London W1B 1AR.

Telephone:	020-7580-8343	(Switchboard)
	Section 40	(Direct Line)
		(Fax)
		(GPTN)

Publicity

26. The continued ability of the RAF Dependants Fund to assist the beneficiaries of subscribers who die depends on the support it receives from serving personnel and COs should give the scheme the widest publicity. Every facility is to be afforded to personnel who wish to be subscribers to the Fund and advice offered to all eligible personnel to ensure that they are aware of the value of the scheme.

(AL187, May 03)

Page 1 of 1

DACA OpsPoi1

ب السرية الم الي ال

From:DAS-LA OpsPol1Sent:06 November 2003 15:22To:Section 40Subject:UFOSigned By:das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk

Security Label: Signed

DearSection 40

Thank you for your e-mail of 26 October concerning your sighting of unusual aerial activity at North Wirral.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the UK from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe that it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked at our sighting reports and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 26 October from anywhere in the UK. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the UK's airspace was breached by unauthorised aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40

das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk



From:



Section 40

Sent: 26 October 2003 23:00

To: das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk

Subject: U.F.O.

Sensitivity: Confidential

UNCLASSIFIED

Report of sighting on Sunday 26/10/03.Time:Approx 21.06 Hrs.Location:North Wirral,Merseyside.

As I left a friends house on the evening above, I happened to look into the night sky.Conditions were extremely clear and as I commented to my friend on how grand the night sky looked,I noticed two objects traveling side by side directly overhead,they were a dull red colour and appeared to be moving about as fast as a satellite against the back ground stars.what fascinated both me and my friend as they passed overhead(East-west)the two objects suddenly started to zig zag in and out of each others orbits,Like all satellites I have observed in the past there was no sound of any jet engines, just silence, but unlike the satellites I have seen before,there was two objects and unlike satellites they began to zig zag, crossing each others paths as they moved what appeared to be high speed against the background stars. It was quite amazing to see this as I have been a keen amateur astronomer for over 20 years and I have never seen anything like this before in the night sky.

No 26/10/03.



From: Sent: To: Subject: Section 40

26 October 2003 23:03 das-laopspol1@defence.mod.uk Emailing: U F O



Your files are attached and ready to send with this message.



From Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

	Telephone E-Mail	(Direct dial) 020 7218 2140 (Switchboard) 020 7218 9000 (Fax) Section 40
	E-Maii	das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk
Section 40		Your Reference
Bridlington East Yorkshire		Our Reference D/DAS/64/2
Section 40	2	Date 23 ^{October 2003}
Dear Section 40		


Thank you for your letter of 5 October 2003 in which you report a sighting of an 'unidentified flying object' over Bridlington on 22 July 2003. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs.'

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your question concerning whether there were any unusual radar signals that day, you may wish to be aware that this department does not hold radar data. It is generally the case that air defence radar data is recorded and held for 30 days before disposal. In any case, such data is held in electronic form, requiring appropriate software to translate it and necessary expertise to interpret it. Furthermore, any such information would be classified as it reflects the capabilities of the respective systems and it is unlikely it would be released.

Finally, I should wish to assure you that the integrity of the UK's airspace in peacetime is maintained through continuous policing of the UK Air Defence Region by the Royal Air Force and we remain vigilant for any potential military threat. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on 22 July the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

is sinearel



To whom it may concern

On the 22nd July of this year, I filmed on video what I believe are genuine UFOs over Bridlington.

The object was silver white in colour and appeared at a high altitude then proceeded to fly in much lower until it looked as though it were at roof top level. Although it was higher this is how it appeared looking up from the ground.

Since it was totally silent I ruled out that it could be a helicopter or a small plane and it was most diffidently not a balloon as it came in from a great height and was pulsing as it traveled. After about 10 minuets it reappeared at an unbelievable speed only this time it was going in the opposite direction.

I also filmed what I believe was the same object stationary in the sky the next day. It stayed stationary a few minuets then proceeded to move right across the sky in front of me. Calendar TV showed this film 3 days in a row and it caused a great deal of interest. (It is totally genuine)

What I would like to no is if there was any unusual radar signals that day or during July that could account for these objects

Yours



3+1. MA

REPORT OF AN UNEXPLAINED AERIAL SIGHTING

1		T 1 14th 0 1 1 2000 0 00 TO
1.	Date and time of sighting. (Duration of sighting.)	Tuesday 14 th October 2003 @ 06:56
2.	Description of object. (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise.)	Very large object, sun reflected off, lights were flashing on it, before being covered by cloud.
		The second se
3.	Exact position of observer. Geographical location. (Indoors/outdoors, stationary/moving.)	Indoors
4.	How object was observed. (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder.)	Naked eye
5.	Direction in which object was first seen. (A landmark may be more helpful than a roughly estimated bearing.)	
6.	Approximate distance.	
7	Movements and speed. (side to side, up or down, constant, moving fast, slow)	
8.	Weather conditions during observation. (cloudy, haze, mist, clear)	

9.	To whom reported. (Police, military, press etc)	DAS (LA) Ops & Pol 1 Answerphone
10.	Name, address and telephone no of informant.	Section 40 Whitney Oxfordshire
		Tel (Home): <mark>Section 40</mark> Tel (Mobile)
11.	Other witnesses.	
12.	Remarks.	Often sees US and RAF aircraft where he lives.
13.	Date and time of receipt.	Wednesday 15 th September 2003 @18:00

Return the completed form by fax or post to:

Directorate of Airstaff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1 Room 6/73 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue WC2N 5BP

Tel: 020 7218 2140 Fax: Section 40





From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

	Telephone	(Direct dial) (Switchboard) (Fax)	020 7218 2140 020 7218 9000 Section 40
	E-Mail	das-laopspol1a@	defence.mod.uk
Section 40		Your Reference	
Lincoln		Our Reference D/DAS/64/2	-
		Date 13October 2003	3
Deat Section 40		999	**************************************

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen on 21 August 2003. The report was passed to this office by RAF Waddington, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 21 August from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Section 40

Classification	UIC	
Caveat		
Covering	U/C	

Facsimilie Transmission Cover Sheet

Tran	Ismission Details	Document Details	;
Serial Number:	Date & Time of Transmission:	Reference:	
	12 10302 569 03	WA0/2538/075 €	21
From: RAF Weddington Station Operations	Fax Number: Section 40	Subject: UFO REPORT	
To: UFO Reflect CELL	Fax Number: Section 40	Total No. of pages including this cover sheet.	Two

Transmit Operator		
Rank/Grade:	Name: Section 40	Tel No. Section 40

Message / Romarks:	
Classification U/C	

UL

Caveat

.

.

.....

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

•

A .	Date, Time and duration of sighting.	21 AUGO 3 1400(L) SMING
B .	Description of object (No of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness, noise).	CIGAR SHAPED 18-20' CYLINDERION WITH DOMED ENDS SILONT, GREY, SLOW
C.	Exact position of observer (indcors/outdoors, stationary/moving).	OUTDOORS IN GAEDEN
D.	How observed (nakad eye, binoculars, other optical device, camera or camcorder)	THROUGH SPECTACLES
E.	Direction in which object first seen (landmark may be more useful than an estimated beering)	WEST
F.	Angle of sight (estimated heights are unreliable).	
G.	Distance (by reference to a known tendmark).	
H.	Movements (changes in E, F & G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed).	THROUGH CLOUDS AT IOMPH
J	Met conditions during observation (moving clouds, haze, mist etc).	SUNNY, BLUE SKY WITH FLUFFY WHITE CLOUDS
ĸ	Nearby objects (telephone lines, high voltage lines reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, rivers, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, TV/radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with floodlights).	CAME OUT OF CLOUDS
L.	To whom reported (Police, military, press etc).	
M.	Name and address of observer.	Section 40 LINCORN
N	Background of observer that may be volunteered.	BIYRS OLD & HASN'T LOST HER MARBLES.
0.	Other witnesses.	NIL
Ρ.	Date and time of receipt.	12 SEPT 63 1100(L)
a.	Any unusual met conditions.	NIL
R.	Rømarks.	NIL

. .

. ...





From:Section 40

Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

	Telephone	(Direct dial) 020 7218 2140 (Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
	E-Mail	(Fax) Section 40 das-laopspol1a@defence.mod.uk
Section 40		Your Reference
Sleaford Lincolnshire		Our Reference D/DAS/64/2
Section 40		Date 13 October 2003
Dear Section 40		

I am writing with reference to your report of an 'unidentified flying object' seen near Digby on 19 September 2003. The report was passed to this office by RAF Waddington, as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to 'UFOs'.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of 'UFO' sightings for 19 September from anywhere in the United Kingdom. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sinceredu Section 40


-

TD Section 40

P.01/01 EMC 30

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT REPORT

	: 	
1	Date and time of receipt.	19 SEPT 03 0940 7
2	Date and time of sighting of UFO.	19 SEDT 03 2230 Z
3	How long did you observe the UFO for?	3/4 SECONDS.
4	What were the weather conditions during the sighting?	CLEAR SLITES
5	Where were you when you observed the UFO?	ASHBIJ DELA LAUNDE, NR DEGBIJ, LENES,
6	Did you observe the UFO with the naked eye or any optical device?	NALLEO-EVE.
7	In what direction in relation to you did you observe the UFO? Landmarks would be useful.	THE OBJECT WAS SPEALING WHENST STATEONARY, THEN HEADED NORTH-GREAT TOWAFON MAPPINGTON.
8		SPENNENS GRAFEDMARY - THEN "SHOT -OFF" ACTOR
8A	IF NO What direction was the UFO moving in? Again landmarks would be useful.	HEAD NORTH-WEST FROM ASHEY DR. LA LANNOE TO RAFE MADDINGTON (TOUDON
9	Were there any significant objects nearby, for example, Power Lines.	NO (OPEN SPACE)
10	Were they any other witnesses? (Name and address on back of form)	NO
11	Have you informed the civil authorities or the press? IF YES WHOM.	CEUER POLICE - INFOR LINGLIKERE RADIO - INFOR
12	Name and address of informer.	Section 40
		Section 40 JLearoad , Section 40
13	Any other details we have not	THE SPIRINING OUTERT WAS
	covered?	ABOUR A HOUSE . IT "JHOT OPF"
		CREATING A THUE + WHITTE LIGHT
		Some 30 FEET LONT.
	<u></u>	







From: Section 40 Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) Operations & Policy 1a MINISTRY OF DEFENCE Room 6/73, Metropole Building, Northumberland Avenue, London, WC2N 5BP

Tolonhone

	E-Mail	(Direct dial) 020 721 (Switchboard) 020 721 (Fax) Section das-laopspol1a@defence.mod-	8 9000 n 40
	·		
Section 40 Ministry of Defence		Your Reference	
SATIC Section 40		Our Reference D/DAS/64/2	
Leeds Section 40		Date 9 October 2003	
Dear Section 40			

Thank you for your letter dated 16 September, in which you provided details of a sighting of an 'unidentifed flying object' on 13 September over Heckmondale, West Yorkshire by the Section 40 at the Royal Armouries Museum.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'unidentified flying objects' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, MOD does not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

The details of the sighting were passed to the appropriate Air Defence experts in the MOD, who were satisfied that the report did not represent anything of air defence interest. We are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that on the 13 September the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised air activity.

Yours sincerel Section 40

LOOSE MINUTE

D/DAS/64/2 *****

25 September 2003

DAO-AIRC2-SO1

CREDIBLE WITNESS 'UFO' SIGHTING REPORT -13 SEPTEMBER 2003

1. Please see the attached enquiry regarding an 'unidentified flying object' seen by the Section 40 Section 40 at the Royal Armouries Museum on 13 September 2003 at approx 21:40.

2. I would be grateful if you could let me know if the report represents anything of air defence interest.

Section 40

DAS(LA)Ops+Pol1a MT 6/73 Section 40 CHOTS: DAS-LA-Ops + Pol1a

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE SATIC

ARM(LEED	DURIES DRIVE S
Tel:	0113 220 Section 40
	0113 220
Email	Section 40

tion 40

> **Ref D/PR RAS** Date 16 September 2003

Directorate of Air Staff (Lower Airspace) **Operations & Policy 1** Rm 6/73 Metropole Building Northumberland Avenue London WC2N 5BP

Dear Colleague

UFO SIGHTING

Please see attached report complied by Section 40 who is Section 40 at the Royal Armouries Museum (we are currently housed in the Royal Armouries as a MoD unit).

I would consider Section 40 account to be reliable and worthy of investigation.

Thanking you for your assistance.

Yours sincerely,

Section 40	
C2	
Ministry of Defence	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Email Section 40

Sighting of UFOs

Date: 13th September 2003. Time: Approximately 9.40 p.m. Weather: Warm clear night with very thin, high, transparent wisps of clouds. Location: Heckmondwike, West Yorkshire.

On the night of the 13th of September, my son, his wife, my wife and I were returning from dinning out in Leeds. As normal we parked the car at the rear of the house and were making our way down a path at the side. My son and his wife were helping my wife locate a small step in the path, her night vision being limited. I was behind the others, looking upwards and admiring the clarity of the night and the remarkable number of stars visible.

Two circular objects, about half the apparent size of the moon, came into view from over the roof of my house. They were travelling in straight lines, on parallel courses, towards the Southwest. As they moved away from me, they appearance changed from circular to elliptical suggesting that they were disc-shaped rather than spherical. As soon as I had grasped what was happening, I shouted to my son who looked up and saw the same phenomena as myself. After having covered about half of the distance between my house and the roof of the houses on the other side of the drive, the two objects moved together, almost touched and then separated to resume their original courses and spacing before disappearing over the neighbour's rooftop. During this manoeuvre their speeds, that were not excessive, remained unchanged. The changes of direction of both objects being smooth and controlled.

The appearance of both objects was the same, of a very dull yellow, not bright but definitely self-luminous. The outer edges of both objects were not well defined, giving the impression of being either jagged or fuzzy. There were darker areas inside the shape but not easily defined. With no point of reference it was impossible to be definite about height or size, but the impression was that they were not very high, maybe a few hundreds of feet. The whole sighting lasted no more than about five seconds.



DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1a

From: Sent: To: Subject: D UK-SO1 AIR OPS 2 01 October 2003 15:11 DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1; DAS-LA-Ops+Pol1a Credible Witness UFO Sighting Report 13 Sep 03

Section 40

I have reviewed in concert with 2 Gp Staffs, the credible witness report dated 13 Sep (D/DAS/64/2 letter dated 25 Sep 03) and can confirm that the incident does not represent anything of air defence interest.



Wg Cdr DUK, SO1 Air Ops 2 MT466 Section 40