II. ACCELÉRATEUR HYPERBOLOÏQUE. — La décharge est cette fois effectuée au voisinage d’une paroi isolante affectant la forme d’un hyperboïde de révolution à une nappe (voir fig. 4). Il est facile de voir que les forces de Lorentz tendent à faire passer le fluide MHD à travers le col de cet hyperboïde. Une application possible de ce dispositif consisterait à accroître ainsi l’impulsion spécifique d’un moteur à réaction. Dans cette perspective le col de l’hyperboïde serait également le col de la tuyère de mise en vitesse. Col à variation rapide de section, donnant une mise en vitesse et une détente brutes (accompagnées d’un figeage). Les forces de Lorentz assureraient un passage sans décollement du gaz à travers l’orifice.

III. ACCELÉRATEUR ELLIPTIQUE. — La surface isolante est alors, comme il est indiqué sur la figure 5, un ellipsoïde aplati. Le système des forces de Lorentz donne à ce montage l’aspect d’une pompe MHD, agissant sur le milieu ambiant.

Remarque. — Il est possible de combiner les configurations II et III en plaçant l’ellipsoïde dans le col de l’hyperboïde. Dans cette pompe MHD, le fluide se trouve alors chassé à travers un orifice ayant la forme d’une couronne (fig. 6). Bien sûr, comme dans toute machine MHD, ces accélérateurs peuvent être convertis en générateurs de courant. Il suffit, pour ce faire, de contraindre le fluide à suivre les surfaces décrites plus haut, les électrodes étant alors connectées à une résistance de charge.

IV. GÉNÉRATEUR MHD TORIQUE. — Ici le fluide de conversion est contraint de circuler suivant les génératrices d’un tore aplati (voir fig. 7). La tension de Hall apparaît alors aux bornes des deux électrodes représentées sur le schéma.
V. STABILITÉ DES CES DÉCHARGES MHD. — Comme dans toute décharge en milieu gazeux, des inhomogénéités (arcs) apparaîtront si la densité de courant dépasse une valeur seuil. On y remédiera en segmentant les électrodes, ce qui est une solution classique en conversion MHD.

Un deuxième type d'inhomogénéité peut se manifester si le fluide se trouve porté par la décharge en situation hors d'équilibre ($T_e > T_i$). Il est bien connu en effet (2) que les fluides MHD hors d'équilibre sont sujets à l'instabilité d'ionisation lorsque le paramètre de Hall dépasse une valeur seuil (celle-ci est voisine de deux lorsque le plasma est en régime coulombien).

VI. REMARQUE CONCERNANT L'ACCELÉRATEUR ELLIPTIQUE. — En règle générale, on sait que les forces de Lorentz peuvent engendrer des ondes de choc dans un gaz de forte intensité. Inversement on peut suggérer que ces mêmes forces de Lorentz puissent agir sur un écoulement choqué, en réaccélérant le fluide situé en aval de l'onde, au point d'atténuer celle-ci, voire de la faire disparaître. Ceci suggère l'expérience suivante :

Dans une soufflerie supersonique plaçons un modèle ellipsoidal, comme il est indiqué sur la figure 8. Si le modèle est passif, une onde de choc détachée se manifeste en amont du modèle. Dans un écoulement à pression atmosphérique à mach 2, avec un champ de 5 T en et une maquette de 5 cm de diamètre, l'injection pendant quelques millisecondes d'une puissance électrique de quelques mégawatts, à travers les électrodes polaires, devrait permettre d'observer une sensible interaction avec l'onde et peut-être éventuellement sa disparition.

Au cas où cette expérience serait positive, cette configuration ellipsoidale suggérerait un aérodyne MHD capable d'évoluer à vitesse supersonique sans gaspiller inutilement de l'énergie à créer une onde de choc, phénomène parasite purement dissipatif. Mais quel moteur pourrait fournir l'électricité nécessaire à la décharge ? Le générateur torique décrit à la section IV complète, semble-t-il, ce dispositif, en s'insérant parfaitement dans l'ellipsôide, le solénoïde produisant le champ pour l'ensemble accélérateur-générateur.

Notre technologie actuelle ne semble pas permettre d'envisager un tel appareil, dont l'énergie (assurant dans le générateur la circulation du fluide MHD) devrait provenir, sous forme thermique, d'une source de faibles poids et encombrement (générateur à fusion).

Un autre type de moteur MHD pourrait être envisagé, utilisant la configuration hyperbolique décrite au paragraphe I (voir fig. 3). Supposons que, dans ce compresseur, l'apparition du phénomène de fusion, au centre du dispositif, coïncide avec l'épuisement de
la charge du condensateur créant les forces de confinement. Le plasma va alors se détendre radialement, porteur de l'énergie dégagée par la fusion. Dans cette phase d'expansion il est facile de voir que le dispositif se comporte comme un générateur de courant électrique. Bien sûr, à chaque cycle de ce moteur alternatif, une partie de l'énergie électrique devra être stockée dans le condensateur, celui-ci étant l'analogue du volant d'un moteur deux temps à combustion interne.

(*) Séance du 21 juillet 1975.
(1) Chargé de recherche au C. N. R. S., observatoire de Marseille, 2, place Le Verrier, 13000 Marseille.

10, rue du Félibre Gout,
13100 Aix-en-Provence.

Dear Mr. Dionne:

Herewith appended you will find copy of correspondence.

We note that you were in contact with in May of last year.

In view of the clipping from the National Enquirer and letter of February 14, 1977, we wonder if there is anything further that we can tell correspondent. It would be appreciated if you could give an idea of what the reply should be to.

Many thanks.

Yours truly,

Mr. J. G. G. Dionne,
Director Technologies,
Department of National Defence,
101 Colonel By Drive,
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0K2
March 14, 1977

Dear Sir:

I have tried several times to contact you direct to no avail. I am sending you copies of correspondence and other documents to prove our good faith. With the help expected, we think that the dances will be working within 2 months. If it happens that you are not interested we would like to have the permission to approach a foreign power.

Yours truly,

3-84
is exempted in total
pursuant to Section 19(1)
December 22, 1975

Dr. A. G. McNamara,
Head,
Planetary Sciences Section,
National Research Council,
Montreal Road, Bldg. H50,
Ottawa, Ontario.

Dear Dr. McNamara:

Following a telephone conversation between my secretary and one of your staff, I am sending you a copy of a letter I received from [2] who claims to be receiving thought waves from beings from another planet who took him aboard a U.F.O.

Since this is completely out of my field, I would appreciate if you would investigate this case if it is of interest to your department, or refer it to the proper officials. I have written to [2] and advised him that I would relay any further information he receives on to you.

Yours sincerely,

Astonishing Breakthrough...

Team of Top Scientists Say They've Found the Secret of How UFOs Fly

By BERNARD D.A. SCOTT

In a sensational scientific breakthrough, a team of three top French scientists report they have solved the mysteries of how UFOs are powered, why they hover like helicopters — and how they can zip through the air faster than sound without creating a sonic boom.

And the researchers say their discoveries show it's possible for Earth scientists to build a flying saucer within 8 to 10 years — and maybe far sooner.

Ten members of the team already have built a working model of a UFO engine. They've also created a 1-inch model of a flying saucer which has achieved a simulated speed in a wind tunnel of three times the speed of sound — without producing a sonic boom.

The respected trio's discoveries are so breathtaking that even the austere French Academy of Sciences, so conservative it still doesn't officially admit UFOs exist, has published a scientific paper by one team member on flying saucer propulsion — complete with diagrams of a flying saucer.

And U.S. scientists are so impressed that they hosted two team members, physicist Dr. Jean-Pierre Petit and space scientist Dr. Claude Pohar, discussing their discoveries at symposiums in Chicago, San Francisco, Los Angeles and New York.

Dr. Petit and astronomer-engineer Dr. Maurice Vlamin built their UFO engines — with the aid of Dr. Pohar's mathematical and aerodynamics knowledge — at Vlamin's laboratory in the government-run Astronomical Observatory in Nantes.

"It took Vlamin and me nearly 7 years just to test out our theory of UFO propulsion in a laboratory model," Petit told Enquirer in an exclusive interview at his home in Aix-en-Provence, France.

"The basis of our experiments, we feel, is within 8 to 10 years of building a UFO on Earth. Then we'll be able to visit other solar systems just as UFOs now visit us. All the necessary elements are already available — it's simply a question of putting them in order."

Dr. Petit said his UFO engine is very complicated, utilizing both electromagnetic and nuclear energy. The working model is only about one cubic yard in size, but is capable of delivering enormous power, he said.

"Electrically it's a miniature H-bomb — but with one vital difference," he explained. "An H-bomb releases an enormous amount of uncontrolled energy in the form of heat and shock waves. Our engine captures and harnesses that energy to provide tremendous thrust.

"In a flying saucer the energy is used to heat gases, causing them to expand and rush out the top of the UFO. But, remarkably, a magnetic field pushes the gases downward along the craft's outer hull — providing lift to the craft."

Petit said the unique saucer shape of a UFO — as confirmed by hundreds of sightings — enables it to move swiftly through the air without producing a loud crashing noise.

"The loudest noise ever heard from a UFO has been a sound like two laces in a shoe," he noted. "That's exactly the sound produced by the Petit-Vlamin engine."

"The UFO's saucer shape is also the

reason it hovers and sways like a helicopter while landing. You can see this principle in action by tossing two dinner plates together and watching them in a bathtub filled with water. They'll sway like a helicopter as they sink to the bottom."

Dr. Pohar added: "The Petit-Vlamin theory that UFOs use an electromagnetic field also explains why UFOs interfere with our television and radio equipment as they pass."

And it explains the light associated with them.

"There's no question that the work done by Petit and Vlamin is brilliant — a sensational breakthrough."

The team of French scientists who cracked the mysteries surrounding UFOs are all highly respected researchers. They include:

* Prof. Claude Pohar, 47, director of the Astrophysics Division of the National Center for Space Studies, the French equivalent of NASA. One of the designers of the supersonic Concord jet, he is now working on the U.S. Skyserv project. Recently, he used computers to analyze 35,000 UFO sightings. Dr. Pohar's UFOs are actually cubes. (ENQUIRER, April 13, 1976.)

* Dr. Maurice Vlamin, 25, a leading French
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Cher monsieur,

La présente fait suite à vos lettres de novembre et décembre derniers adressées au Général J.A. Dextraze, Chef de l'État Major de la Défense. Dans ces lettres, vous demandiez une subvention du ministère de la défense pour construire un maquette d'une machine de votre invention.

A partir des descriptions et explications fournies, il ne semble pas possible de réaliser un appareil ou machine ayant les caractéristiques que vous avancez sans entrer en conflit avec les lois physiques. Par conséquent, dans un contexte budgétaire où les ressources du ministère sont allouées selon des critères de priorité très stricts, il n'est pas possible de recommander au ministère de la défense de donner suite à votre demande.

En vous félicitant pour l'intérêt que vous portez à la défense de notre pays, je demeure,

Votre tout dévoué,

J.G.G. Dionne
Directeur, Sciences électroniques
Recherche et développement
Dear Sir:

Thank you for your letter of 13 January and its enclosure of sightings by three of your students. In 1968 the National Research Council of Canada assumed the responsibility for examining and consolidating all reports on this subject. Thus, this department's involvement is currently limited to observing and reporting incidents of UFO sightings to that department.

I have therefore taken the liberty of forwarding your letter to the Planetary Sciences Section of the National Research Council.

Thanking you most sincerely for your interest and action in this field, I remain

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
C. J. PARSLOW LCOL

A. J. Bauer
Colonel
Director of Air Operations and Training

cc: Dr A. G. McNamara
Planetary Sciences Section
National Research Council of Canada
Hertzberg Institute of Astrophysics
Montreal Road
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0R6

389
Director,
National Defence Headquarters,
Parliament Bldgs.,
Ottawa, Ont.

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing the information concerning the experience three of my students had near their home on Dec. 30, 1976 and Jan. 10, 1977 respectively.

I hope it is of interest and please acknowledge and advise the correct address to advise the government of things of this nature.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

DAM/med
Encl.
Report of Unidentified Object(s)

December 30, 1976 17:55:

Location (NE) 1 1/2 miles north of Clarendon, Ont. Palmerston Twpshp., Frontenac County.

Outside of their home, the brothers saw an object about 300-400 yards from their home and about tree height.

Description:
It was circular and very bright and appeared to have prongs of about the same brightness emanating from the sides. Heard something in the yard and went outside to see what it was and upon seeing this object, yelled to his brother to come out and see it - saw it and it seemed to remain in the same place for 10 seconds and disappeared at once.

January 10, 1977 21:30

Location - same location as Dec. 30/76.

Had to go outside and looking up saw a big ball of light with a beam coming straight out of it. Yelled for him to come out and see it. Said "it was really glowing and down in behind the clouds approx. 1000' above the trees." It lasted about 45 minutes.

Reported: Jan. 11/77 to
UU AMCA10 UU

PP RCCMC
DE RCW1 D 2331513.
ZNR UUU
P 201510 AUG 76
FM NRM2 YELLOWKNIFE
TO RCCMC/NDHQ OTTAWA
INFO RCCMC/NDHQ OTTAWA
ET
UNCL
CCO COMM 536
NDHQ FOR DRT
SUBJECT: UFO REPORT - FOLLOW UP
REF: A. CFAO 71-1 PARA 6
E. NFMW TO COMM 652 112205Z AUG 76
A. 0625Z HRE 12 AUG 76
F. CLEAR NO WIND
C.

D. CSOS-TRANSMITTER SITE, FORT PROVIDENCE, NWT
E.

F. THE OBJECT, A BRIGHT WHITE TIGHT, SIMILAR TO THE FLAME OF AN
ACCYELINE TORCH, WAS FIRST NOTICED JUST ABOVE THE WESTERN HORIZON.
IT WAS TRAVELLING IN AN EASTERN DIRECTION. IT WAS ESTIMATED
TO HAVE BEEN 70 DEGREE ELEVATION FROM THE HORIZON AS IT PASSED BY FORT
PROVIDENCE. THERE WAS NO SOUND OR CHANGE IN ALTITUDE OR DIRECTION. THE OBSERVER IS UNABLE TO ESTIMATE ALTITUDE. ITS SPEED WAS ESTIMATED TO BE TWICE THE SPEED OF HIGH FLYING JET AIRLINER TRAFFIC.

G. 20 SECONDS

H. THE OBJECT APPEARED TO EXPLODE WITH LOTS OF SPARKS EAST OF FORT PROVIDENCE THEN THE LIGHT INTENSITY GRADUALLY FADED OUT.

1. PRIOR TO THE OBJECT IN PARA F, THE OBSERVERS NOTICED A SIMILAR OBJECT, LESS INTENSITY, REDUCTION THE SAME SPEED, DIRECTION NORTH TO SOUTH FOR A PERIOD OF 3 SECONDS. THIS OBJECT BURNED OUT OVERHEAD

2. THREE MINUTES AFTER THE OBJECT IN PARA F, THE OBSERVERS NOTICED A SMALL, FLASHING WHITE LIGHT TRAVELLING WEST TO EAST, UNABLE TO ESTIMATE ALTITUDE, NO NOISE. THIS FLASHING LIGHT CONTINUED TO THE WESTERN HORIZON

3. THE OBJECTS IN PARA F AND SUB PARA 1 LOOKED LIKE METEORITES TO [2] THE OBJECT IN SUB PARA 3 LOOKED LIKE A JET EXCEPT THERE WAS NO NOISE, AND NO OTHER LIGHTS.

Herzberg Institute of Astro Physics,
National Research Council,
Sussex Drive,
Ottawa.

Attention: Dr. A.G. McNamara, Planetary Sciences

Dear Dr. McNamara:

As explained to you by telephone this week, the attached message, concerning the sighting on 12 August of a meteorite or other flying object near Yellowknife, N.W.T., was received by the D.N.D. Communications Centre.

You may have received a similar notification of this observation already, but in case you did not, a copy of our message is enclosed. It does not indicate, as I think it should have, that a copy had been sent to you.

Yours truly,

(Dr. K.C. MacIver)
SP0(A)/DRB
THE OBJECT WAS TEARDROP SHAPED, A VERY BRIGHT, SLIGHTLY BLUEISH
GLOWING WHITE LIGHT. IT WAS ESTIMATED TO BE 20-30 MILES, AT AN
ELEVATION OF 25 DEGREES, WST OF YELLOWKNIFE, TRAVELLING IN AN EASTERLY
DIRECTION. THERE WAS NO SOUND. NO CHANGE IN ALTITUDE OR DIRECTION
DURING OBSERVATION. ITS SPEED WAS ESTIMATED TO BE THREE TO FOUR
TIMES FASTER THAN THAT OF LOCAL JET AIRLINER TRAFFIC.
G. 30 TO 40 SECONDS

H. THE OBJECT LIGHT INTENSITY DID NOT VARY DURING OBSERVATION.

A SPARK APPEARED AT THE TAIL END, THEN THE OBJECT INSTANTLY DISAPPEARED.

LOCAL AIRPORT OFFICIALS REPORT NO AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS AT THE TIME
OF THE OBSERVATION, HOWEVER THEY DID RECEIVE, BY PERS UNKNOWN,
ONE TELECON REPORTING A METEORITE AT THE SAME TIME PERIOD, BUT
THIS WAS NOT SEEN BY AIRPORT STAFF.  

FEEL QUITE

POSITIVE THAT THE OBJECT THEY OBSERVED WAS NOT A METEORITE BECAUSE

OF ITS FLIGHT PATH

BT

C-WE NOTTING WAS WE FLIGHT HORIZONTAL
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MEMORANDUM

MSO/MND

EXISTENCE OF GOVERNMENT OWNED "FLYING SAUCER"

Reference: A. Your 146-11 dated 10 Aug 76

1. The Department of National Defence is not responsible for UFOs although we may be required to assist in carrying out field type investigations. The National Research Council is the recognized governmental agency responsible for investigating UFOs.

2. It is suggested that the following reply be sent to 2: "I refer to your letter of 30 July, 1976, concerning the construction of an Unidentified Flying Object (flying saucer) by the Federal Government.

The Department of National Defence is not responsible for UFOs. The National Research Council is the recognized agency for investigating UFOs and I suggest you contact that agency.

I regret that I could not be of more assistance but I hope the foregoing will help you in your pursuit of information concerning the alleged vehicle."

Original Signed By
F.H. SASHAW
J.G. Mumford
BGen
DGDAAS
2-5223

F.H. Sashaw/NDHQ Inq/2-5223
MEMORANDUM

NOTE

NDHQ Inq

EXISTENCE OF GOVT OWNED "FLYING SAUCER"

1. The Minister's office has received the attached self-explanatory correspondence from 2 concerning the subject matter.

2. Would you kindly refer the matter to the appropriate authorities and have them provide this office with a suitable draft reply for the signature of the EA/MND.

ORIGINAL SIGNÉ PAR
R. K. Corley MAJ
R.K. Corley
Maj
MSO
2-4037
Second request - in reference to our landing pad, he informed us that the federal government had constructed a U.F.O. (flying saucer). What has happened to this craft? Where is it stored? It seems to us that the logical place for it might be.

Would you be good enough to look into this matter for me, also?

Thanking you in anticipation - conveying to you, my best wishes.

Yours truly,
M. Gen. M.G. Cloutier  
Executive Assistant  
Minister of National Defence  
101 Colonel By Drive  
OTTAWA  
K1A OK2

Dear Gus:

I recently received a rather unusual request from the  
I am enclosing the correspondence on the matter to explain the situation  
I have gotten the information that the federal government has in storage a "UFO". With machines testing for life on Mars at this very moment,
RE: "U.F.O."

Quite some time ago, I read in an article that a project of an eastern aircraft manufacturing plant, financed by the Federal Government, had experimented with a saucer-type aeronautical vehicle. Due to the expense, this project was abandoned, but it was stated that this vehicle may now be among the "surplus items" of the federal government.

I assume that the verification of this article could be had, possibly through the Department of National Defense or Industry and Commerce.

Sincerely,
2000-4 (DAOT)

National Defence Headquarters
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0K2

March 1976

Dear Sir:

Thank you for your letter of 29 January regarding UFO research. In 1968 the National Research Council of Canada assumed the responsibility for examining and consolidating all reports on this subject. Thus, this department's involvement is currently limited to observing and reporting incidents of UFO sightings to that department.

I have therefore taken the liberty of forwarding your letter to the Planetary Sciences Section of the National Research Council in the hope that they will be able to assist with your request.

Trusting this action is satisfactory, I remain,

A.J. Bauer
Colonel
Director of Air Operations and Training

cc: Dr. A.G. McNamara
Planetary Sciences Section
National Research Council of Canada
Hertzberg Institute of Astrophysics
Montreal Road
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0R6

G.S. PARSLLOW LCOL 2-5427/mf

\[\text{Signatures}\]
**REMARKS**

For reply, please suggest a copy of the letter to DND in their action, with reference to the nature of your action.

(Signed)  
2016

[403]
Dr. A.G. McNamara  
Planetary Sciences Section  
National Research Council of Canada  
Hertzberg Institute of Astrophysics  
Montreal Road  
Ottawa, Ontario  
K1A 0R6

Dear Sir:

The enclosed self explanatory letters are forwarded for whatever action you deem appropriate.

A.J. Bauer  
Colonel  
Director Air Operations and Training  
For Chief of the Defence Staff
Dear Sir,

I am writing to you in the hope that you will grant my request as so many of your Colleagues are doing throughout the world.

I am a film director who has for many years taken a deep interest in the problem of so-called U.F.O.'s and has been a member of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena at Washington. I have collected considerable material on flying saucers and would now like to carry out a filmed inquiry into the subject. In order to offer the public a report that is as serious and as complete as possible, I would be most grateful if you could let me have an official statement with regard to this problem. Whatever may be your statement, it will constitute for me a genuine and concrete documentation of incidents which have taken place in your Country. It goes without saying that I am not seeking information covered by an official military or State secrets act, but merely your own thoughts on the subject.

I would like to point out that my film intends to offer objective information to the public with no attempt at sensationalism, and its only aim is to say something concrete and extremely serious on this problem, even though it will be impossible to reach definite conclusions.

I really do hope that you will grant my request and forgive any inconvenience it may cause you.

Thanking you in advance for anything you may be able to do to assist me,

I remain, with kindest regards,

Yours truly,
Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 29 January regarding UFO research. In 1968 the National Research Council of Canada assumed the responsibility for examining and consolidating all reports on this subject. Thus, this department's involvement is currently limited to observing and reporting incidents of UFO sightings to that department.

I have therefore taken the liberty of forwarding your letter to the Planetary Sciences Section of the National Research Council in the hope that they will be able to better address your questions.

Trusting this action is satisfactory, I remain.

Original signed by
Original signé par
A.J. Bauer
Colonel
Director of Air Operations and Training
for Chief of the Defence Staff

cc: Dr. A.G. McNamara
Planetary Sciences Section
National Research Council of Canada
Hertzberg Institute of Astrophysics
Montreal Road
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0R6

G.S. PARSLOW LCOL 2-5427/jw
ACTION REQUEST - FICHE DE SERVICE

GOVERNMENT OF CANADA

FILE NO. - Dossier No.:
2000-4

DATE:
26 Feb 76

TO - A

NDRMS 3-2-3-2

FROM - DE

DAOT 5

☐ PLEASE CALL
Prière d'appeler

☐ WANTS TO SEE YOU
Désirez voir

☐ WILL CALL AGAIN
Doit rappeler

☐ ACTION
Donner suite

☐ COMMENTS
Commentaires

☐ MAKE
Faire

☐ NOTE & RETURN
Note et retourner

☐ APPROVAL
Approbation

☐ DRAFT REPLY
Projet de réponse

☐ NOTE AND FILE
Note et classe

☐ NOTE & FORWARD
Note et faire suivre

The noted letter from 2 (Not DND)
was forwarded to DR A.G. McNamera because
there was no further action required in DND
and a copy of the letter will suffice for
recording purposes.

G.S. FARSWELL, LOC

DAOT 5

TIME
407

CALL RECEIVED BY
MESSAGE REçu PAR

CGSB STANDARD FORM 12C 7540-31-085-0976
Dear Sirs,

By means of this letter, I like to ask for your co-operation in a scientific project.

Subject of the study is to make an analysis of the nowadays existing ufologic research and to give an objective answer to the questions: - is that kind of research really scientific and if the answer is yes, is it in general considered as a scientific line; if the answer is no, what is the reason.

- Are the results of that research (if there are already results) valuable for the science in general. In what way, or why not.

I would be very pleased if your department would answer following three questions:
- Is your department acquainted with the UFO phenomenon? (UFO stands for Unidentified Flying Objects)
- Is your department doing something to help solving the problem in your country. If the answer is yes: why and how, if the answer is no: why not.
- Is your department willing to co-operate with other departments, organizations or universities on an international level, to help solving the problem? If the answer is yes: why and how, if the answer is no: why not.

If your department is interested, it can receive, after finishing the study, the final report.

Please believe that your kind co-operation in this study, will be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,
**MESSAGE FORM**

**FOR COMMUNICATIONS USE**
A L'USAGE DU CENTRE DES COMMUNICATIONS/TRANSMISSIONS

---

**PRIORITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>NDGC</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>INFO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE AND GROUP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>GROUP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/04/72</td>
<td>FEB 76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MESSAGE INSTRUCTIONS**

**SA**

---

**INFO**

- AIR COM WINNIPEG
- 22 NR NORTH BAY
- CFS BEAVERLodge
- CFS FALCONBRIDGE

**SUBJECT:** DECLASSIFICATION OF MESSAGES RELATING TO UFO ACTIVITY

**REF:**

A. TELECOM CAPT. FINESTONE NDHQ/FLO/MAJ BARNES NDGC 20 JAN 76
B. FALCONBRIDGE CO 106 301930Z JAN (NOTAL)
C. BEAVERLodge CGEO 026 301935Z JAN
D. BEAVERLodge 061720Z NOV 75 REST (NOTAL)
E. BEAVERLodge 221720Z JAN 76 REST (NOTAL)
F. FALCONBRIDGE 111605Z NOV 75 SECRET (NOTAL)

---

**COPY – COPIE**

409