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Would you close Part 17 and open Part 18 of this file and return both parts to DAFI (Attention IR).

(Signed)

7 Aug 72
UNUSUAL SIGHTING REPORT PD MR H REITER C/- QANTAS DARWIN REPORTED SIGHTING A BRIGHT LIGHT AT EYE LEVEL EAST FROM POINT STUART (12-14S 131-55E APPROX) AT 0330 HOURS IN TIME 25JUL72 PD

SIGHTING LASTED OVER 90 MINUTES DURING WHICH TIME LIGHT BECAME BRIGHTER AND ROSE TO POINT NEARLY OVERHEAD PD LIGHT APPEARED TO MOVE AROUND BUT DID NOT CONFORM TO ANY PATTERN PD AT LEAST SIX MEMBERS OF PARTY WITH MR REITER WITNESSED OBJECT PD FURTHER DETAILS BEING REQUESTED PD

BT
DEPARTMENT OF AIR (DAFI)

INVESTIGATION OF UN-IDENTIFIED OBJECT

1. An alleged sighting of an unusual aerial object at Northmead NSW was reported to the Orderly Officer 2SD at 1905 hours, 10th July 1972.

2. As some doubt existed as to the authenticity of this sighting (see report attached), Flt Lt R.K. Brown of 2 Stores Depot sought the assistance of Parramatta Police to check out the address of the observer, and enquiries carried out by Police Sgt Reid revealed the following:
   a. there is no street called Chamer in Northmead or in the Sydney area;
   b. Mr Kevenzikie is not listed on the Electoral Roll;
   c. no person named Kevenzikie has ever worked with the Prospect County Council.

3. As information revealed in the report has been found to be misleading, it would appear that the report was submitted as a hoax.

Enclosure: 1. Report by A.N. Kevenzikie of 10 Chamer St Northmead, NSW

ACTION OFFICER

17. Was there any sound: Buzzing sound
18. Height, or angle of elevation: 1500 feet (observer definite)
19. Speed, or angular velocity: 2000 mph (observer definite)
20. Was the object stationary? Yes, for 30 seconds or more.
21. What was the direction of flight with reference to landmarks or points of the compass:
   From East to West, coming over Parramatta
REPORT OF ABDAL OBJECT OBSERVED

Name of Observer: Mr. Kevensikis A.N.  Age: 42

Address of Observer: 10 Chamer Street, Northmead NSW

Occupation of Observer: Labourer

Prospect County Council

Date and Time of Observation (Time to be given in local time)
1845 hours 10th July 1972

Duration of Observation(s): 10 minutes

Observer Location at Time of Sighting (Give details of your position by map reference if possible, or by known landmarks)

10 Chamer Street Northmead Facing the East

Weather Conditions at time(s) of observation(s)
Clear night with little cloud

Aids to Observation(s) (Describe any equipment used in the observation)

Where was object first observed, eg, overhead, coming from behind a hill, over the horizon, etc.

From the horizon in an Easterly direction from Chamer Street

What first attracted observer's attention, eg light or noise

Bright lights floating which at first looked like a plane then turned out to be a UFO

Did object appear as a light or as a definite object

At first appeared as a light in the East

If there was more than one object, how many were there, and what was their formation

One object

What was the colour of the light or object: Blue, orange and silver

Above - Blue and Green

What was its apparent shape: Cylinder shape, approx. 100 ft long 40 ft diameter

Was any detail of structure observable: Unable to ascertain

Was any method of propulsion obvious: None from end of object

Was there any sound: Buzzing sound

Height, or angle of elevation: 1500 feet (observer definite)

Speed, or angular velocity: 20000MPH (observer definite)

Was the object stationary: Yes, for 30 seconds or more

What was the direction of flight with reference to landmarks or points of the compass

From East to West, coming over Parramatta
23. Was any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen. Smoke also the lights were flashing blue and green. over the horizon.
24. Where did object disappear, eg in mid-air, behind a hill, over the westerly horizon.
25. Existence of any physical evidence such as fragments, photographs, or other supporting evidence. NIL.
26. Have you observed any unusual phenomena previously. Y/N.
27. If so, give details of incident(s). Y/A.
28. State any experience which enables observer to be reasonably certain about the answers given to 17 and 18.
Observer maintained he had never seen anything like this in his life previously.
29. Are you a member of any organisation interested in aerial phenomena? N/C.
30. Name and Address of organisation. Y/A.
31. Any additional information. None.

Signature of Observer.

32. Location of any air traffic in the vicinity at the time of sighting.

33. Location of any meteorological stations in the general area.

34. Comments.

Signature of Interrogator.

QUESTIONS 32, 33 and 34 to be answered by interrogator.

Note: Since it is normally impossible to estimate the height and speed of a strange object, it will usually be better to endeavour to determine the angle of elevation of the object, the angle through which it moved, and the time taken to do this.
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object remains has not been determined at this time.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 1960 and 26 May 1971, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.
O.H.M.S.

COMMONWEALTH

If not delivered within 7 days, return to DEPARTMENT OF A.I.F.

Ethel May and Ethel Marshall,
Mittelburg Head Station

NSW

2575

[Handwritten notes and signatures]
Subject: REPLIES TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC RE UFO REPORTS

The enclosed proformas which are replies to UFO sighting reports at folios 43A, 43B, 44 and 45 have been prepared for your signature and release.

26 Jul 72

Note

24/7/72
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was not down at this time.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA

Send To:
Mr. D. A. HORLEY
13 JAMES ST
DEVONPORT
TASMANIA 7310
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object has not been determined at this time.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1969 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA

Send To: Mrs M. PUDDY
13 ELSAH AVE
RYE
VIC
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was probably the breakup of a cloud under... further investigation...

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,541 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.
REPORT OF UNUSUAL AERIAL OBJECT

1. Attached is a report received from Mrs M. Puddy of Rye, giving her impressions of an alleged object sighted in the Moorooduc (VIC) area on 3rd July 1972.

2. This Headquarters has no further comments to add to the information supplied at Part 2 of the questionnaire.

Enclosure: 1. Report by M. Puddy

10. What first attracted observer's attention? Irresplendent

   Blue Light

11. If there was more than one light/object:
   a. how many were there?
   b. in what formation were they?

12. What was the colour of the light or object? Light Blue
Noise sound like moving lift
Not loud

Static blue light
No smoke
No flashing lights
No portoles or windows

16 | 20+ HCF
PART I - REPORT BY OBSERVER

4. Date and time of start of observation: 3rd July, 1972, 9 p.m.
   a. How certain is the date? **Positive**.
   b. How accurate is the time of start? 10 mins either side.
5. Duration of observation: 15 to 20 minutes.
   a. How accurate is your estimate? **Good**.
6. Observer's location at time of sighting: Maoraduce level, crossing (train).
   a. How familiar is observer with this locality? **Excellent**.
7. Weather conditions at time of observation: Very, **Good**.
8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: **None**.
9. Where was object first observed?
   a. Relative to your surroundings: **Above the car**.
10. What first attracted observer's attention: **In a descent**.
11. If there was more than one light/object: **No**.
   a. How many were there? **No**.
   b. In what formation were they? **No**.
12. What was the colour of the light or object? **Light Blue**.
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg ordinary street light at 100 yards). Exceptionally bright

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach?
   a. relative to Venus or Moon... Size... Width... Length... Road
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes).

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? No

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity. High sound similar to elevator

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)? Approx... lift... att... be... ground

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances. Hovering...

20. Give duration of any stationary phases... 2 minutes

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres... Followed... Car

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen... None...

23. Where was object last observed?
   a. relative to your surroundings... Junction Moorhead & Newham Highway
   b. angle of elevation...
   c. compass angle bearing...

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, searching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc)... None

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity... None

27. How many witness to the sighting... None.
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? None.

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? Nothing.

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation? Nothing. Known like it or seen like it.

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Approx 20 ft.
First indication was blue light across road. Thought it was Helicopter landing. I sped to pass out of light. Kept up with me. Then slowed to approximately 20 mph. Slowed down to 10 mph, sped up again. Followed me. Then pulled to side of road thinking Helicopter was in trouble. Got out and there it was. Approx 2 light poles high off the ground, and the only noise was the light humming sound like a lift.

I FROZE for about 2 minutes then got into car and took off at high speed to escape. It followed me. Approx 8 miles according to the Police at Rosebud to whom I reported at approx 9:15 pm Monday 3rd July 1972.

Indeed is drawing I did on arriving home while it was still very clear in my mind. No portholes or windows only very bright light.
Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA*</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA*</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude: 38° 13'... Longitude: 145° 04'.

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only. N/A.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from Laverton at 2300 Z. Colour:.... Weight:.... gm.

Radio-sonde**: radar**: Cylindrical**/battery** (**delete as required)

General wind profile: N 6000 - W 1000 - WSW 10,000, *

Maximum height tracked: 10,000, 2000 ft at 2300 +.... Z.

Was it known to have burst?

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of N/A.. at...

... ft, measured at... Z hrs from...

...................................................

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting. N/A.

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace.
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating. N/A.

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably was/may have been (delete as required)

No military helicopters operating. Checked with DCA & local helicopter operators. Only traceable IFR helicopter based at Sale.

When Mrs. Puddy made initial sighting report by phone, she expressed a wish not to be interviewed.

H.O.C. (Unit) R. O. Gibbs (Name)

11 July 72 (Date) Flt. Off. (Rank)
REPORT OF UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Hereewith is a report received through the Department of Civil Aviation (Tasmania Region) concerning a silver-grey object observed by Mr D.A. Horley of Devonport Tasmania.

(F.W. Kentz)
Wing Commander
For Air Officer Commanding

Enclosure: 1. pro-forma + photograph

11. If there was more than one light/object:
   a. how many were there?
   b. in what formation were they?

12. What was the colour of the light or object? Silver - gray
**REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING**

**Part 1 - Report by Observer**

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

1. Name of observer: **DAVID ALAN HORLEY**  Age: 33

2. Address of observer: **13 JAMES ST. DEVONPORT TASMANIA 7310**

3. Occupation of observer: **CHEF**

4. Date and time of start of observation: **12th April 1972 1130 AM**
   - How certain is the date? **VERY POSITIVE**
   - How accurate is the time of start? **ACURATE WITHIN 5 MINS**
   - Duration of observation: **20 MINUTS**

5. Observer's location at time of sighting: **13 JAMES STREET DEVONPORT TASMANIA 7310**
   - How familiar is observer with this locality? **VERY FAMILIAR**

6. Weather conditions at time of observation: **VERY CLEAR SUNNY CLOUDLESS AND LIGHT BREEZE**

7. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: **N/I**

8. Where was object first observed? :
   a. Relative to your surroundings: **DUE NORTH**
   b. Angle of elevation: **5°**
   c. Compass angle bearing: **DUE NORTH**
   d. How accurate are these estimates? **FAIRLY ACCURATE**

9. What first attracted observer's attention? **SUN REFLECTING OFF AN OBJECT**

10. If there was more than one light/object: **ONE ONLY**
   a. How many were there?
   b. In what formation were they?

11. What was the colour of the light or object? **SILVER-GRAY**
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g. ordinary street light at 100 yards) 

**LIKE THE SUN REFLECTING OFF A MIRROR**

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach? 
   a. relative to Venus or Moon... **A HALF THE SIZE OF A FULL MOON.**
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes) **1/2 INCH ACROSS**

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed? **HOVERING DUE NORTH AT 5° ABOVE HORIZON ASSIGNED BY TALKING TO A GOVERNMENT SURVEYOR.**

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? **NO.**

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity **NIL.**

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)? **5° MIN. 5 1/2° MAX.**

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances. **HOOVERING AND TOO HARD TO JUDGE SPEED WHEN IT MOVED E.F.P.**

20. Give duration of any stationary phases. **MOST OF THE TIME OF OBS.**

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres. **CLIMBING UPWARD N.N.W.**

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen. **NO**

23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings... **HOODING, N.N.W. AND CLIMBING**
   b. angle of elevation... **APPROX 45°**
   c. compass angle bearing... **N.N.W.**

24. What was the manner of its disappearance? **OUT OF SIGHT.**

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc) **TAKEN PHOTOS.**

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity. **HABIT OF FLYING, EXPER. AND ALSO WORKED WITH SURVEYOR**

27. How many witness to the sighting? **FIVE**
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?......NO......

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?......NOTHING NATURAL......

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?......

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

AS ON BACK OF FORM.
LAST SEEN AS A THIN GRAY LINE
THEN RETURNED
THEN DISAPPEARED
AS THE FIRST TIME

FIRST SEEN AS A BRIGHT LIGHT
HOVERING
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA

34. Observer's location: Latitude: \( \text{\textdegree} 11' 10' \text{S} \) Longitude: \( \text{\textdegree} 16' 2' \text{E} \)

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from Z Colour: Weight: gm

Radio-sondes **/ radar **: Candle **/ battery** (** delete as required)

General wind profile

Maximum height tracked: 000 ft at Z

Was it known to have burst?

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of \(0^\circ\) at

\(\text{ft}\), measured at \(Z\) hrs from \(\text{station}\)

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting.

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were
operating .................................................................

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting can/cannot be determined.
41. The object reported probably was/may have been (delete as required)

A small coastal ship was observed leaving the port
approx 30 minutes prior to the sighting. At the time,
it was thought it may have fired some type of
flare but subsequent inquiries with the local Marine
Board were unable to confirm or deny this.

The ship was not observed from the airport.

D.C.A. disrespect (Unit)  t. k. h. [Signature] (Name)

[Date] (Date) [Signature] (Office) (Rank)
If you left the house, you did not meet the neighbors.

They just went into their children's rooms and did nothing.

Somehow, it wasispensable to go to the children's rooms and do nothing.

I visited the neighbors.

But they were not talking about anything.

They just went into their children's rooms and did nothing.

In the end, I decided to visit the neighbors.

But they were not talking about anything.

They just went into their children's rooms and did nothing.

I tried to talk to the neighbors.

But they were not talking about anything.

They just went into their children's rooms and did nothing.
REPORTS ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTINGS

1. Herewith are reports received at this Headquarters, as follows:

   a. Sighting at Westbury Tasmania on 7 Jun 72 by Mr W. Alexander. Assessed as "type of flare" by Duty Controller Launceston Airport. Report received from DCA.

   b. At Mt Macedon Victoria on 16 Jun 72 - Observer Mr F.W. Rohl, farmer. Assessed by the CFI Whittlesea Flying School as break-up of cirrus cloud. See Part 2 of pro-forma.

2. Forwarded for information.

   Enclosure: 2 pro-formae

(W.G. KERLITZ)
Wing Commander
For Air Officer Commanding
**REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING**

**Part 1 - Report by Observer**

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of observer</th>
<th>BILL ALEXANDER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Address of observer</td>
<td>13 BARKLEY STREET, HADSPEN, TAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Occupation of observer</td>
<td>STOREMAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Date and time of start of observation</td>
<td>7th June 8.30 a.m. 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Duration of observation</td>
<td>10 - 15 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Observer's location at time of sighting</td>
<td>Westbury (Driving from Hadsen to Deloraine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Weather conditions at time of observation</td>
<td>Fine with fog patches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Where was object first observed?</td>
<td>(Northern) Right Hand side of road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Relative to your surroundings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Angle of elevation</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Compass angle bearing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. How accurate are these estimates?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. What first attracted observer's attention?</td>
<td>JUST CIRCLED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. If there was more than one light/object</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. How many were there?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. In what formation were they?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. What was the colour of the light or object?</td>
<td>Green with white tail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESTRICTED**
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g. ordinary street light at 100 yards)

Luminous similar to star

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach?
   a. relative to Venus or Moon. Width = 1.8 inches; height = 5 - 6 feet long
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes)

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? No

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances

20. Give duration of any stationary phases

21. Describe any deviations or maneuvers

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen

23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings...[southern]left, back, side of road
   b. angle of elevation...Nil
   c. compass angle bearing...

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?...Behind trees

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc)

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity

27. How many witnesses to the sighting?...Possibly the only driver of the vehicle who braked sharply when object appeared
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?...No........

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?...Possibly a light..............................

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?...Unusual

...for lack of proof...not like proof...possibly natural...unusual...unusual...

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings.

Use back of form, if needed.

Signature.................................. Date.....................................
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. Observer’s location: Latitude 40°32'S, Longitude 146°50'E

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only. N/A

36. A meteorological balloon was released from ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z Colour</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Radio-sonde</th>
<th>Radar</th>
<th>General wind profile</th>
<th>Maximum height tracked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>/rader</strong></td>
<td><strong>battery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(** delete as required)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of ...°C at ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ft, measured at ...Z hrs from ...station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting...

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting *may/cannot* be determined.

41. The object reported probably *may/may have been* (delete as required) some type of flare.
# REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

**Part I - Report by Observer**

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of observer</td>
<td>FREDERICK WILLIAM ROWE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Address of observer</td>
<td>BAXTER, I.M., LONE, MERRID, IN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Occupation of observer</td>
<td>FARMER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Date and time of start of observation</td>
<td>8/6/72, 7:30-7:35AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How certain is the date?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How accurate is the time of start?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Duration of observation</td>
<td>APPROX. 5 MIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How accurate is your estimate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Observer's location at time of sighting</td>
<td>2 MILES WEST OF MERRID, P.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How familiar is observer with this locality?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Weather conditions at time of observation</td>
<td>CLOUDY, Y. WINDY, FROSTY, CLEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Where was object first observed?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Relative to your surroundings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Angle of elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Compass angle bearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. How accurate are these estimates?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. What first attracted observer's attention?</td>
<td>UNUSUAL, VAPOUR TRAILS, (PERPENDICULAR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. If there was more than one light/object</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. How many were there?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. In what formation were they?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. What was the colour of the light or object?</td>
<td>WHITE TRAILS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg ordinary street light at 100 yards)...

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach?
   a. relative to Venus or Moon...
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes)...

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity...

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?...

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances...

20. Give duration of any stationary phases...

21. Describe any deviations or (examination)

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen...

23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings...
   b. angle of elevation...
   c. compass angle bearing...

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorches, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc)...

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity...

27. How many witnesses to the sighting?

RESTRICTED
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? [Neighbour: H. Goodman]

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? [Plain vapour trails]

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

[Diagram of sighting locations and objects]

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 17/6/72
Report of unusual sightings high above the horizon to the rear of the Dublin Mountains observed from windmills and white Sunday 16/6/72. Time about 7.30 A.M. - 7.35 A.M.

Scanning the horizon in a NE direction I noticed what appeared to be a very narrow vapour trail, similar to those of jet planes, it was perfectly straight at an angle of about 60° N. I first thought it to be right, the place I thought was responsible, but there was some trouble as I was viewing the area of the vapour trail, I noticed some objects to the right, what I thought was the faint glint of a star, as shown V. These objects remained in this position for about 30 seconds, suddenly they began to move their bodies in a perpendicular direction very slowly at first, then gathering speed before it joined the bottom of the star angle, as illustrated V.

I was somewhat amazed as I did not consider it possible for a plane to move downward in a perfectly perpendicular direction.

Maximum height tracked..................000 ft at..................2

Was it known to have burst?

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of ....N/A...........° at 5,000 ft, measured at........151100...........2 hrs from...Laverton.

36. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting....N/A

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace.
The first significant temperature inversion was of …°C at …,000 ft, measured at 1511 Z hrs from Laverton station.

Was it known to have burst?

37. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting.

38. When an aircraft is possible identification, include radar trace.
About 8.15 AM the same day, 16/17/12, from looking through the kitchen window at the 7th Lightship, when I looked up, another Vapour Trail commenced. This took in a southerly direction on a slightly downward plane—then clipped to an almost vertical plane behind the Plenty Ranges.

My wife observed the latter part of the sighting, whilst looking this at 4th Vapour Trail started about 10 miles (in my calculations) north of the 3rd Lighting, had continued in a slightly downward horizontal plane to the point of the beginning of the 3rd Light, continuing on this plane for about 20 miles (100,000 ft) before dipping more perpendicularly wall disappearing further south behind the Plenty Ranges.

In my estimation all these lightings would be judged above the horizon 20-30 miles from our residence.

F.W. Rob (F.W. Rob)

37. General wind profile .................................................................

38. Maximum height tracked ...................................................... 600 ft at ........................................

39. Was it known to have burst?

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of N.A. 0°C at

5,000 ft, measured at 15/12 at 3 hrs from Laverton

station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc., relevant to the sighting N.A.

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace
The first significant temperature inversion was of...
Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude: 37° 38' N, Longitude: 145° 06' E

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only. Not visible before 08:30.


37. The first significant temperature inversion was of N/A °C at 5,000 ft, measured at 15:00 2 hours from Laverton station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting. N/A.

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace.
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating .......................

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting can* / cannot* be determined.

41. The object reported probably was / may have been* (delete as required)
   High level cirrus under freak wind conditions.

Following telephone conversation with Mr. Koch at time of sighting, I rang WHITTLESEA Flying
School & spoke with Mr. Cripps the CFI.

The School was not operating aircraft at that
time & Mr. Cripps checked the phenomena.

He reported long thin high level cirrus
to the N.E. about 040° from WHITTLESEA airfield.
In his opinion it was more likely to be
cirrus than contrail. The formation broke
into about three parts while he watched, this
view was partially obstructed by hills.

In his opinion phenomena was cirrus
breaking under freak wind disturbance.

H8SC .................................... (Unit)  L. O. Cripps ........................ (Name)

4 July 72 .................................. (Date)  Flt. Off .............................. (Rank)
R E S T R I C T E D A 1 1 0 / 8 2 W FOR C 1 0 C M M D A F I P D U F O R E P O R T P D
C I C A R S H A P E D W I T H S P L I T T A I L C M M S I G H T E D I N T H E E A S T A T 0 5 0 6 4 5 K J U L
I N T H E N O R T H E R N S K Y A T
2 6 6 8 0 5 K J U L 7 2
RECEIVED A137/82W FOR CIO CMM DAFF PD THREE UFO REPORTS RECEIVED 5 JUL 72 FOLLOW PD MR L ANDERSON 50 GREGORY ST ACACIA RIDGE REPORTED BRIGHT OBJECT WITH MISTY HALO AT REAR OBSERVED AT 0700K APPROX 18 MILES SOUTH OF BRISBANE RIVER MOUTH PD MR N BOWLES AND THREE WITNESSES REPORTED STATIONARY CIGAR SHAPED OBJECT EST ABOVE MT GRAVATT AT 0640K PD MRS FRASER 69 RAINWORTH RD RAINWORTH REPORTED SILVER OBJECT CMM PROB ROUND WITH FLARED TAIL CMM AT DISTANCE TO THE EAST CMM SIGHTED AT 0645K PD CAUSES NOT YET KNOWN
For Information:

Headquarters Operational Command

COUNTER-INTELLIGENCE - UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Enclosed is a copy of an article concerning UFOs, which appeared in the 22nd June issue of the "Pix/People".

2. Forwarded without comment.

(B.C. KING)
Flight Lieutenant
For Officer Commanding

Enclosure: 1 magazine article

A YOUNG boy wakes in the early hours and walks outside. The night is clear and he pauses to look up at the stars, wondering with not a little awe at the mysteries of space. Then from the corner of his eye, he sees an object on the ground. He turns for a better look.

A farmer feels a strange compulsion to leave the shed where he is working. In the bright morning sunlight he gazes upwards; suddenly his whole body seems to shiver... The wife of another farmer sees a creature in a tight-fitting suit step from a spacecraft carrying a camera-like box. Terrified, she hears him shuffle on tiptoe.

Incidents like these have become almost commonplace in the mid-north of Australia, an area where local residents believe is being used as a base for flying saucers. In a climate of worldwide interest there are many theories of how "down from the stars" events have come to be explained in the dark past, a latter-day researcher in Sou.

low altitudes and mystery landings in the paddocks. And where a woman’s tale of being chased by a light in the sky is just one in a list of reports which began in the 1960s. Intrigued, Pix/People sent a team to investigate...
South Australian claim

Why should this country area, otherwise undistinguished, have become the ‘UFO capital’ of the nation? Where the old tales are of giant gods and the new tales are of space phenomena, saucers at low altitudes and mystery landings in the paddocks. And where a woman’s tale of being chased by a light in the sky is just one in a list of reports which began in the 1990s. Intrigued, Pix/People sent a team to investigate...

A YOUNG boy wakes in the early hours and walks outside. The night is clear and he pauses to look up at the stars, wondering with not a little awe at the mysteries of space. Then from the corner of his eye, he sees an object on the ground. He turns for a better look...

A farmer feels a strange compulsion to leave the shed where he is working. In the bright morning sunlight he gazes upwards; suddenly his whole body seems to stiffen...

The wife of another farmer sees a creature in a tight-fitting suit step from a spacecraft carrying a camera-like box. Terrified, she hears him shuffle on to the veranda of her home...

Incidents like these have become almost commonplace in the mid-north of South Australia, an area which many local residents have come to believe is being used as a base for flying saucers. For the past 12 years strange lights and objects have dominated skies where few aircraft ever fly.

In a climate of renewed world-wide interest — and belief — in unidentified flying objects, our ears ringing with theories of how “gods” came down from space in earth’s dark past, a letter from a UFO researcher in South Australia was enough to prompt photographer Barry Newberry and myself to spend a few days in a UFO area. We didn’t see any UFOs ourselves, but we met plenty of people who had.

In the sleepy little town of Burra, 120 miles north of Adelaide, lives a man known to local residents as “The Spaceman.” He claims to have made contact with alien visitors and to have been taken on a trip around the moon.

Few of the townspeople give much credence to what The Spaceman says but many have themselves seen unidentified flying objects.
One couple reputedly sold their farming property a few years ago because of continued spacecraft landings in their paddocks. A shearer told how another farm family heard an explosion and a "swishing" noise one night about 10 years ago and found next day a ring of scorched stones not far from their homestead. The ring was about 40ft in diameter and the rocks had turned a "gunmetal" colour. The shearer has kept one of the stones to prove it.

The town of Clare, in a grape-growing district 25 miles from Burra, has become as well known for its UFOs as for the wines it produces. Residents regularly see moving, pulsating red lights; three years ago two children saw, about dusk, a large glowing object land in a lucerne field near their home. Driving home one evening last year a farmer's wife was chased by a large yellow object which seemed to hover only a few feet above the car. She arrived home "badly shaken."

Now many local folk are asking themselves: Is there something about the area which makes it ideal for UFO flight and perhaps refuelling? Will the saucers one day land openly and give up their secrets? Will the "gods" return to earth?

On December 1 last year, Miss Joslyn Ashton was wakened in her bedroom on a farm at Sevenhill, a few miles south of Clare, by a strong yellow light shining through the window. "It wasn't moonlight," she told us. "You know how moonlight will illuminate the whole room? Well this was a definite beam and, anyway, it was the wrong colour for moonlight."

She went to the window and saw through a group of trees in the garden a round whistling yellow object which appeared to be about the size of a full moon but was moving from east to west. She raced outside but the object had disappeared. Miss Ashton, now an area representative for the amateur research organisation, UFO Search and Investigation, has seen other unidentified objects, including groups of saucers, "flying in formation."

On May 20 last year, from a hill near her home she saw three saucer-shaped objects, one large and the other two about half the size of the first, hovering over a line of hills and illuminated by the setting sun. "They were a light pink," she said, "and an even lighter colour around the edges. They disappeared."

The next day the local postman, while delivering mail, saw the same objects over Clare. Later that same evening, Miss Ashton and a group of 10 others saw 14 saucer-shaped objects flashing across the sky in definite formation. They were visible long enough to be counted. On several other occasions she has seen pulsating red objects moving through the night sky. Many of her sightings have been confirmed by independent witnesses.

Miss Ashton took us to a group of long-abandoned copper mines four miles west of Clare where a local family regularly complains of hearing nocturnal noises, "the sound of underground machinery."

None of the mines show any sign of recent activity and at least one was inaccessible. The entrance was a vertical shaft about 15ft in diameter and of uncertain depth.

We also spoke to Mr Avian Pink, another UFO Research and Investigation representative who collates reports of sightings from the area around his farm near Hillspoint, 10 miles north of Clare. Mr Pink himself has seen red lights moving across the hills east of his property but more spectacular sightings have been made by his wife and his son, Gary.

On March 23, 1970, Mrs Pink was driving home from Clare about 10 pm when she saw a bright object circling the car. "It seemed long and rectangular," she said, "it was bright when close by, but faded to a faint yellow glow in..."
The ‘ghost lights’ of the last century began to reappear in the 1950s. Now, visual phenomena have been joined by strange noises, also being closely probed by researchers.

The distance.” Continuing its circling pattern the object followed the car almost to the Pink home. “At times it seemed only a few feet above the car,” Mrs Pink said, “but there was no reflection in the windscreen. I arrived home feeling badly shaken. I certainly wouldn’t like to go through it again.”

Gary Pink, 12, is one of the few people in the area who claim to have seen a UFO on the ground. On October 29, 1970, he left the house in the early hours of the morning and made his way to an outside lavatory. On his way back he noticed from the corner of his eye a strange object on the ground near a barn about 150 yards away. Turning for a closer look, he saw that the object was “egg-shaped, about 18 ft long and 4 ft high.” The object was standing on four legs and appeared to be partly transparent. “I could see through the middle of it but not the sides,” Gary said. “The middle was white but the sides were yellowish white.” He watched the object for several minutes, then, afraid to wake his parents at that hour, returned to bed. He is quite definite about what he saw. Sightings in this area, says Mr Pink, are most concentrated in May and June, but he can’t offer any explanation why. He weighs each report carefully before passing it on to the group’s headquarters in Adelaide.

There are a lot of red herrings,” he says, “and the more involved you become in UFO study, the more sceptical you have to become. But there have been so many objects reported, often seen by large numbers of people over a wide area, that there is no doubt there is something moving about the skies. They certainly aren’t aircraft. The only planes you see around here is an occasional crop duster, and they only by during the day.”

The only report of a daylight UFO sighting comes from Mr Stuart Thomas, who has a farm a few miles from Mr Pink’s. About 9.30 one morning in late May, 1969, Mr Thomas was working in his wool shed when “for some unknown reason” he put down his tools and walked outside. He looked up at the sky and saw what he describes as an egg-shaped object with no wings, tail or propeller, travelling north at about 150 mph but making no sound.

Mr Thomas said he “seemed to stiffen all over” as he watched the object. It appeared to be flying at about 20000 ft and looked the size of a four-seater helicopter. The lower part of the “egg” gave off a bright light while the upper part was dull. Mr Thomas watched the object for several minutes until it disappeared into the northern sky. The light emitted by the object was, he said, about three times as bright as reflected sunlight. He could not tell whether there were any windows or openings on the object because the glare was too great.

In November of that year, Deborah and Phillip Garrard, then aged 10 and 8, were playing in the road outside their farmhouse eight miles north-east of Clare. Dusk was approaching and the children were taking it in turns to ride a bicycle up and down the road, when Deborah looked towards the north-west and saw a “very bright, strange light” coming towards them. Three and a half years later, the brother and sister are still adamant about what they saw. The light was football-shaped and seemed about 2ft long and 1ft deep. It did not appear solid, just a very bright light. It moved towards them and passed within 50 yards of where they were standing before landing in a paddock 300 yards from the house. After landing, the light seemed to vanish. Phillip was in favour of running to the spot for a closer look. But Deborah was “just a wee bit” scared. Instead they went home to tell their mother what they had seen. Next day the children guided a small group of people, led by a local police constable, to the place where they had seen the light disappear. The paddock was heavily overgrown with the weed Salvation Jane, but in the spot the children indicated was a circular depression, about 5ft in diameter. It seemed something heavy had rested there and flattened the weeds, which were “tangled in a corkscrew fashion as if a giant top had been spinning on the spot.” Other local residents report having seen a
In about five minutes, Mr. Norris said, he was pervaded by a sense of awe as he gazed at the UFO rising "like a golden object from the creek bed at The Camel's Hump, an ancient rock formation near his house."

He was also impressed by the sound it made as it rose: "It was not like a truck, but a soft buzzing sound, almost like a bee in flight," he later told investigators. "I believe it was an object coming from a creek bed at The Camel's Hump." He was later questioned by investigators from Adelaide's UFO Research and Investigation Society, but they were unable to determine the cause of the sighting.

Mr. Norris's sighting was one of many that occurred in the region in the late 1950s and early 1960s. Other reports included the sighting of a golden ball of light by a farmer in the Clare area in 1955, and a series of sightings reported by locals near the Burra mine site in the early 1960s. These sightings were reported to have been accompanied by strange sounds and other unusual phenomena.

The area continued to attract interest from UFO enthusiasts and researchers, with many reporting unusual sightings and experiences. However, the lack of clear evidence and the difficulty in attributing such phenomena to a specific cause has made it difficult to establish a clear picture of the events that occurred in this region during this period.
Have aliens already infiltrated our society? Some researchers say 'yes' and cite an Adelaide mystery to support the theory

heard no noise, but there must have been a force field coming from the thing which caused his leg to flop around in bed. He couldn't control it and he yelled out to me, asking what was going on. Then the cat rushed out of the laundry with its hair sticking out on end. I might tell you that Mr. Norris claims to have been contacted by several people he believes were either aliens or at least in touch with life from outer space.

"In 1963 I met this person who gave certain instructions to me," he said. "He asked me if I could realise there were UFOs coming towards our planet, and that they had beings on board. He also wanted to know whether I'd had any experience with UFOs. This man was quite unusual. He gave me instructions not to talk about UFOs in the sense of sightings and so forth but to try and get the people on earth to have, as he put it, 'better manners.' He said there was a federation out in space and that when earth stopped its wars and the people behaved more kindly to one another and started using the planet a little more logically, when we learned to live all three, then we could join this space federation."

In 1958, Mr. Norris met a mysterious benefactor, who, he believes, was sending coded messages into space with the help of a box-shaped device. This man was really quite an interesting personality, he said. "For instance, he didn't have any eyebrows. He was very concerned about my interest in UFOs and, I believe, still is to this day. He has helped me in many ways and I believe he will be giving me further help and instructions.

"I don't want to elaborate on what has happened because there are things that cannot be divulged at this time. He left Australia under the most mysterious circumstances. He literally disappeared."

Mr. Norris claims this person, who he refers to as "our friend," helped him obtain equipment for his UFO research. "He helped me acquire a powerful car which I need for my country trips. He also helped me get a new wardrobe of clothing and an answering service on my telephone. These were also necessary for my UFO work. He also had a tape recorder which he subsequently arranged for me to have. On this machine he used to transmit a taped message, coded in numbers. Also he had these four antennae on the house he was renting and he had some most elaborate instruments and gadgets. He was reputed to have been able to send messages a million miles away. I also believe he could contact a very important department in America quite easily."

Mr. Norris believes the aliens regard terrestrial as primitive beings and this explains their reluctance to make open contact. "I believe they think we are not worth bothering about," he said. "We don't give too much credit to other peoples' intelligence and they, in a polite way, would do the same. We can't talk their language at this time of the year, anyway, we're not nice people to know really. I think these people wouldn't want to know us."

Ufologists speculate that aliens are already living on Earth and have infiltrated terrestrial society, some reaching high positions in scientific organisations and government. This has led many South Australian sky watchers to recall the mystery of an unidentified body found on Somerton Beach, Adelaide, in December, 1948.

The man's identity has never been established, nor has the cause of his death. The body was unmarked and had not been in the water. But doctors ruled out death by natural causes.

The man was found lying on his back against a sea wall. The legs were crossed and he appeared to have died while he was sleeping. A thorough search revealed no clues to his name. The body was all thin in height and well-built. The eyes were hazel. Fingertips showed that he had never been in the hands of the police. No only clue found on the body was a scrap of paper torn from a book. It bore the words: "Rubaiyat of Omar Khayyam." Later police handed the book from which the paper had been torn. A copy of the "Rubaiyat of Omar Khayyam," the book had been thrown on to the book seat of a car parked at Glenelg, another beach suburb. The words on the scrap of paper matched the words torn from the book. Translated, they mean "the end of the line." The mystery deepened when the book was found to contain the coded message which has defied all efforts by experts to decipher it. The code reads:

```
BGCADAB DBTMBIPANETMPMLIAOAIAC TTMNTSAMPSTGAB
```

Naval cipher experts in Melbourne worked on the code for weeks without success. Its meaning is still unknown.

Was the man a Russian spy? What is the meaning of the indecipherable code? What "unnatural" cause could have brought about the man's death without leaving a mark on the body or a trace of poison in his system? Or was he an alien, left to die by his fellow beings or abandoned when already dead? These questions, all asked 24 years ago, are now being raised again. Many Ufologists are inclined to favour the spaceman solution. The body lies in an Adelaide cemetery. The headstone bears the words "Unknown Man."

The answer to this puzzle, like that of the UFOs, is still a mystery. A commentator pointed out recently, "up in the air."
Subject: REPLY TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC RE UFO REPORTS

The enclosed preform, which is a reply to the UFO sighting report at folio 38, has been prepared for your signature and release.

P.D. Lucas
(F.D. LUCAS)
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GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was...

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another solar system. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
SIGHTING OF UNUSUAL AERIAL OBJECT

1. An unusual aerial object, reported by phone to Department of Civil Aviation (Tasmania Region) by Mr J.T. Brown of Illawarra Tasmania, has been referred to this Headquarters.

2. The report has been examined and Part 2 of the pro-forma processed by a Controller of DCA.

3. Forwarded for information.

(J.R. NEWSON)
Squadron Leader
For Air Officer Commanding

Enclosure: 1. Report by J.T. Brown, Marine Engineer

11. If there was more than one light/object: One only
   a. how many were there?
   b. in what formation were they?

12. What was the colour of the light or object? rusty red
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part 1 - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)

1. Name of observer: J. T. BROWN
   Address of observer: 20 BENTLEY STREET
   ILLERAVILLE 7251
   Phone: 824077

2. Occupation of observer: MARINE ENGINEER

3. Date and time of start of observation: 28th. July 0025 r. 3. P.M.
   How certain is the date?: Certain.
   How accurate is the time of start?: Approx.
   Duration of observation: 8 - 2 seconds initial then 2. mins.
   How accurate is your estimate?: Fair.

   How familiar is observer with this locality?: Very familiar.

5. Weather conditions at time of observation: Clear - some broken cloud.

6. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: Nil.

7. Where was object first observed? :
   a. Relative to your surroundings: S.E. of location.
   b. Angle of elevation: Approx 40 deg.
   c. Compass angle bearing: Nil.
   d. How accurate are these estimates?: Approx.


9. If there was more than one light/object: One only.
   a. How many were there? ............................
   b. In what formation were they? ..................

10. What was the colour of the light or object? Red - later fading to "rusty red".

RESTRICTED
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g. ordinary street light at 100 yards)
   Very bright "Cherry Red"

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach?
   a. relative to Venus or Moon
      Venus x 20
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes)
      8 - 9 inches

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity
   Nil heard

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances
   Slow in comparison to usual aircraft

20. Give duration of any stationary phases
   Second, first appeared, ... minutes

21. Describe any deviations or maneuvres
   Nil

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen
   See item 16

23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings
   Still S.E.
   b. angle of elevation
   c. compass angle bearing

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc)
   Nil

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity
   30 yrs at sea, as engineer

27. How many witnesses to the sighting?
   Three
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? No.

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? Red flare.

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Witnesses: Mr. H. Hill  Tugsaster "Tybia"
Mr. G. Filgate  "West Tamar"

Received by phone
Signature: A. PRESS Date: 2/6/72

RESTRICTED
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bristol 170</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>4500 ft</td>
<td>125 approx</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. Observer's location: Latitude: __________________________ Longitude: __________________________

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from ________ Airport at ________Colour White Weight ________ gm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phantom **/ radar **: Candle <strong>/ ** (</strong> delete as required)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General wind profile: WW - NW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum height tracked: ________ ft at ________ Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was it known to have burst? N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of ________°C at ________ ft, measured at ________Z hrs from ________ Airport ________station

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting: ________

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating. Normal nav and anti-collision lights.

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably was (delete as required)

(The Bristol Freighter. VH-SKU en route Launceston to Essendon)

The time of sighting (approx) is consistent with normal progress of the flight. Details of sighting description are consistent with aircraft anti-collision or port nav light as aircraft passed through or over patches of cloud.

..............................(Unit)..............................(Name)

..............................(Date)..............................(Rank)
Department of Air (Attention: D/DAFI IB)
For Information:
Headquarters Operational Command

COUNTER INTELLIGENCE - UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

Reference: A. Our 6/32/Air(10) of 24th May 1972

1. Further to paragraph 6 of Reference A., enclosed are copies of five colour slides depicting peculiar ground markings discovered on a farming property at Tooligie Hill, Eyre Peninsula, in late December 1971.

2. The matter first came to the notice of this Headquarters through the "Day by Day" column of "The Advertiser" on 27th January 1972. A copy of the relevant clipping is attached at "A". This Headquarters contacted Mr Jim Robbins, the author of the column, and explained the RAAF's responsibility to investigate unusual incidents of this nature. Mr Robbins gave an assurance to in future pass first-hand to this Headquarters information on UFO sightings. This Headquarters' OIC UFOs also contacted the Peter Powell referred to in the clipping and again received assurances of co-operation. Mr Powell stated that considerable interest in the Tooligie Hill "phenomenon" was being evinced by local UFO groups and added that a meeting of several of the groups, including the Australian Flying Saucer Research Society (of Colin Norris notoriety), was to be held that Sunday (30th January 1972). He promised to make known the RAAF position at the meeting. At this juncture it became apparent that a belated RAAF investigation of the "phenomenon" would attract unwanted publicity, and would in any case probably be paralleled by simultaneous investigations by civilian groups. The question of "co-operation" between the RAAF and local UFO groups would then be a matter for speculation and individual interpretation by the media. This Headquarters therefore deemed it prudent not to initiate an on-site investigation into the incident at the time.

3. On 28th February 1972 a letter was received from a Mr J.L. Miles, Secretary of the Murringa Agricultural Bureau (Murringa is about 10 miles north of Tooligie). A copy of this letter, which concerns the Tooligie Hill incident, and this Headquarters' reply, are appended as Attachments "E" and "F". Attachment "D" is a later letter from Mr Miles, also bearing on the topic.

4. Attachments "E" and "F" are two newspaper clippings from this Headquarters' files, dealing with a projected "safari" to Eyre Peninsula to investigate the "phenomenon". The airman referred to in para 3 of Attachment "D" was also mentioned in our A002 DTG 090352/May72 to your Department.
On his return from leave he was again interviewed by OIC UFOs. The "safari" had taken soil samples and photographed the markings, and also interviewed a number of people in the district, but after a week on the site had not made any findings. Present on the Habner property at the same time were two members of the Australian Flying Saucer Research Society, who also fossicked without discovering the origin of the markings.

5. The enclosed slides were sent to this Headquarters by Mrs. Habner as the outcome of several telephone calls between her and OIC UFOs of this Headquarters. They were accompanied by a letter which reads, verbatim:

"I am sending you, as promised, some slides and information on the mark we found in our paddock on December 28th 1971. This mark was made in the middle of a wheat crop, with no tracks or marks leading in or out. The diameter of the rim-shape which is spun into the clay soil is approximately 7 feet. 2 feet from the outer edge of the mark the crop was laid flat in an anti-clockwise spinning motion, and in the centre, which measures 45 inches across, the crop was cut to a height of 9 inches.

The crop was also laid flat (anti-clockwise again) in a small crescent which joins onto one side of the mark. About 12 feet away from the main mark is the same shaped marking spun into the wheat straw, but not with as much force as the main mark. This mark was just on the top of the straw and not cut into the ground. We can only think that whatever tried to land here was put off because of a small mallee stump, and, wanting a smoother place to land, rose up and hovered over to finally land on the main "site".

One family in our district say they saw a strange light which would have been in that position. They saw this on Christmas Eve. We were away from home all that evening.

We have had approximately 200 visitors from surrounding districts to see it and they all wonder what could have made this mark.

The cut out circle is still there and will be until we plough it up for seeding. There are still markings of the spun down straw etc too, although they are not as clearly defined as they were when fresh.

I must close now to catch the mail. Trusting you will return these slides, as you promised, when you have taken duplicates if you think they are necessary."

6. At Attachment "G" is a letter from this Headquarters to the Commissioner of Police, dealing with the incident, and at Attachment "H" is the Commissioner's reply and a copy of a report by Inspector Adolf Schlein of Port Lincoln Police.

7. For your information.
When a saucer leaves its mark... What?

If a flying saucer makes an unauthorised landing on your lawn, do you report it to the Department of Civil Aviation or to the police?

Neither, until you're sure.

On a Flanders Park homestead in North Geelong, a family discovered a small circular burn in their lawn, which was claimed by a flying saucer. The family reported the burn to the Department of Civil Aviation, but the investigator, Peter Powell, suggested they report it to the police instead.

The burn was later found to be a result of a small explosion caused by a device left by the flying saucer. The saucer was later seen flying over the area, but no further action was taken by the authorities.

Mention UFO and phones run hot

The column item in which I mentioned Peter Powell and flying saucers set Peter's telephone ringing.

Saucer reporters were on to him before he knew his name was in the paper.

I told how Peter investigated a circular burn on a suburban lawn. Was it made by a flying saucer? Peter says it was slime mould.

He hasn't made up his mind about another burn, sighted by a farmer in another part of Geelong.

The trouble with using items such as this is that people start ringing me about UFOs...
Dear Sirs,

The people of this district, and many people from a wide area of Eyre Peninsula, are still puzzling over the "landing of something" on the property of RV and RBA Haemnor in Section 109, Hundred of Tooligie, at the end of last year.

Because of this interest our Branch of the A.B.E. Bureau has planned an open night when both parents and children can come to hear an authority speak on this live topic. We would like to plan this meeting on the night school breaks up for the May holiday, i.e. Friday, May 5th.

We would be most grateful if you could either help us by arranging an authority on the subject to come over to our meeting or suggesting to whom we could turn for this help.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Address]

[Stamp]
Mr J.I. Miles  
Agricultural Bureau of South Australia  
Nundina Branch  
TOOLEEES HILL, SA 5620

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your kind invitation to address an "open night" meeting on May 5th on the subject of UFO's.

As the authority in South Australia responsible for the investigation of UFO sightings, this Headquarters collects information from observers who report such sightings; after normal on-site investigation, the information is then passed to higher authority for scientific evaluation. This Headquarters has no authority to release information on individual UFO sightings to the general public; however, an individual may obtain some post-event "feedback", by writing to the Director of Public Relations at Department of Air in Canberra. A copy of the General Statement forwarded to the individual by Department of Air is attached for your information. Also attached is a summary of UFO sightings reported to Department of Air which you may find of interest.

A reference in paragraph one of your letter to a "landing" on the property of R.V. and F.B.A. Habner is noted. This incident was not reported, as it should have been, to a RAAF authority, hence this Headquarters has no official knowledge of it; nor, at this late stage, is an investigation feasible.

It is therefore with regret that we advise that it will not be possible to send an officer to address the meeting.

Yours faithfully,

(Flight Lieutenant  
For Officer Commanding)

Enclosures:  
A. General Statement in Relation to UFO's.  
B. Summary of UFO sightings reported to Department of Air since 1960.
Dear Sir,

Thank you for your letter of 10th March, and for the interesting enclosure.

Members of our Bureau were very sorry it was not possible for an official to accept our invitation to address our meeting on "U.F.O."

As appeared the next best thing to do was to invite Peter Powell of the "U.F.O. Research and Investigation" with a title as impressive as that, we had hoped we would have a speaker who was a real authority on the subject; but the general feeling of our members was one of disappointment. After considerable publicity we had a well attended meeting on May 9th. Inward folk travelled long distances to be there. For a 17 year old, Peter did quite a good job; but we had hoped that an elder person, backed by a substantial organization, could have come over.

We are now wondering whether we should have invited the Australian Flying Saucer Research Society to send over a speaker.

It appears our problem began because you had not been informed of the "landing". I am wondering whether it is generally known that the R.A.F. should be notified?

The marks is still remarkably clear, and interested folk are still going to look at it. We presume it is one of those 2-3 of cases which cannot be explained.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Sec., Murdinga Ag. Bureau.
Who's interested in joining a flying-saucer safari? It will go to Eyre Peninsula in May. A lively local led will head it.

Peter Powell (telephone 70 2808) is the man, a chap who believes that something is up but doesn't yet know what it is.

Unidentified Flying Objects give people quite a shock. Mrs. G. G. Unwin of Port Lincoln, who saw a bright object in the sky, says she felt time and needles.

And Mr. R. Maguire of Texas Hill, Eyre Peninsula, believes a strange mark on his property shows where a flying saucer landed.

He says the mark looks like one made by a giant tractor-tyre. dropped from the sky, the tyre spinning so that it gouged a four-inch deep circle into the soil.

Peter's associate, Keith Basterfield, have some people believe there's a flying saucer base in the mid-north, around Clare.

And in the UFO group's magazine, several people put their names to SA sightings. Mrs. H. T. Bell, of Unley, Peter Williams and Mark Hegyes, of Norwood, Miss J. Abkin, of Sevenhill, Mr. A. Younger, of Light Bay, Miss Marion Wabon, of Glendowie, and Mr. and Mrs. C. Watson, of Grange.

So Peter shouldn't have much trouble making up a UFO search party. It could turn out to be more exciting than fishing.

12 Apr 72

Saucered

Yesterday's column item about flying saucers aroused interest. It prompted several readers to report their own sightings of UFOs.

I told them to ring Peter Powell, at 70 2808 and the RAFF at Edinburgh. The RAFF says it likes to hear about them. The reports are sent to Canberra for assessment and investigation.

This reminds me of the other day reader Norman Walkhank brought in a UFO picture.

He said his son John and a friend, Peter Hogan, had told him a most impressive tale of how a flying saucer had been photographed over an Adelaide suburb.

So impressive, in fact, that he said he'd pass it on to the newspapers.

At which they chuckled out, and admitted that it was a hoax picture. No need to report this one to Peter Powell.
TEAM TO CHECK 'SAUCER LANDING'

A research team is investigating reports that a "flying saucer" landed on a farming property 10 miles north of Port Lincoln.

The team, Mr. Ken Lanson and Mr. Tressor Mackeralth, made an all-night dash from Adelaide to the site.

The investigation is centered on a mysterious circular marking on the ground.

Flying Saucer Research Society public relations officer, Mr. Colin Norris, today described the markings as "about the size and shape of a large tractor tire."

Mr. Norris said the team would carry out a variety of tests on the site, check variations of the magnetic field, and take measurements, photographs, and sketches.

He appealed to people in the district who had reported sightings or who had recently been made-to give all co-operation possible in the investigation.

The team is expected to return to Adelaide later this week with soil and vegetation samples which would be put to further scientific test.

1. Ref above clipping.

2. This morning I received a phone call from Mrs. Habner of Tooligie Hill. She said that Messrs. Lanson and Mackeralth had arrived and were investigating the "phenomenon" on the Habner property. As might be expected, Mr. Norris had arranged the usual publicity and the ABC, 5KA, and the Advertiser, according to Mrs. Habner, were on the scene or on tap. She said that she had not expected so much publicity and in any case it was Peter Powell who was supposed to be doing the investigating. His "safari" (see item 1, fol. 1) is due to arrive on Saturday and she had tried to contact him without success to tell him that he had been preempted. I informed Mrs. Habner that there was nothing the RAAP could do about the situation and offered my condolences. Mrs. Habner seemed surprised that this HQ had not been informed officially of the "phenomenon" in the first instance as she had reported it to the police in the area.

3. ORWO this morning noticed a leave application submitted by Cpl Armstrong CLASSIFY of Catering Section. The address given on the application for a week's leave was c/o the Habner property. I interviewed Cpl Armstrong who said that he had answered an advertisement inserted in the local newspapers by Peter Powell for people to accompany him to Eyre Peninsula to investigate the finding. I briefed him on the "no-publicity" requirement and asked him to keep me informed of events.

4/5 May 72
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Dear Commissioner,

1. I refer to this Headquarters' 6/32/AR(27) of 26th October 1970 and your 15/3/197224 of 4th November 1970. The result of this correspondence was a decision by you that any reports of UFO sightings received by the police would be relayed to the Officer-in-Charge of UFOs at this Base.

2. My attention has recently been drawn to newspaper reports concerning an incident which took place at Tooligie Hill, Eyre Peninsula, in December of last year. The first report ("The Advertiser", 27th January 1972, "Day by Day" column) referred to some burn marks found on the property of H.V. and M.B.A. Habner at Tooligie Hill, and later news items reported that Adelaide UFO "research" groups would investigate the marks. In the past two weeks two such groups have visited the Habner property and carried out their investigations.

3. A telephone conversation between my Officer-in-Charge of UFOs and Mrs Habner reveals that police authorities in the area were informed of the incident and indeed visited the Habner property prior to the "phenomenon" becoming public knowledge. Mrs Habner states that the police officer to whom she reported the burn marks was Inspector Adolf Sallein (she is unsure of the spelling) from the Police Station at Lock. She expressed surprise at the RAAF not having been notified of the event.

4. I am sure you will agree that, as this Headquarters was not informed of the incident in the first instance, any post-event official RAAF investigation of the incident, with attendant publicity, would prove not only unfeasible but also embarrassing to some extent.

5. In view of the above, I would appreciate your once again bringing to the notice of your staff the necessity of referring all UFO reports to this Headquarters with the minimum of delay.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

(R.T. PICKERD)
Air Commodore
Officer Commanding
Air Commodore E.T. Pickerd, OBE, DFC,
Officer-in-Charge,
RAAF Base,
EDINBURGH 5111.

Dear Air Commodore Pickerd,

Further to our letter of 17 May in reply to
your of 12 May, 1972, I enclose copy of a report furnished
by Inspector R.A. Schlein of Port Lincoln.

It appears that there was no actual sighting of
a U.F.O. at Tooligie Hills in December last, and although
the Inspector was aware of strange markings in a field, he
did not consider there was sufficient evidence at that time
to connect them with a U.F.O. Moreover, as there was
already growth from the dislodged wheat heads, it seemed that
some time must have elapsed since the disturbance.

The remainder of the Inspector's report speaks for
itself and we are sorry that you have been hindered or
embarrassed by the lack of an earlier report.

Although members generally are already aware of the
necessity to report such matters for your information, a
further instruction will be issued by a notice in the Police
Gazette.

Yours sincerely,

(J.G. McKinna)
Commissioner of Police.
Late in December, 1971, I received a telephone call from either Mr. or Mrs. Habner of Tooligie Hills reporting that they had found a strange 'marking' in one of their fields of wheat, which they could not explain. There was definitely NO reporting of any sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object or any other phenomena in the sky.

Mr. Habner's property is some 60 to 70 miles from Port Lincoln. Owing to the expense, I did not think a visit was warranted.

Several days later, Mr. Habner spoke to me in Port Lincoln and suggested the possibility of the markings in his field of wheat being made by a 'Flying Saucer'. My own personal views on such matters are that they should always be treated with respect but at the same time most cautiously. However, because of Mr. Habner's insistence on the 'strangeness' of the markings, I informed the local press and a photographer travelled to Tooligie Hills on 1st January, 1972, and photographed the markings. A picture and story were included in the Port Lincoln Times on 13/1/72.

I recall Mr. Habner stating that a strange feature of the incident was that none of the straw or stubble showed any signs of charring or burn marks. A further feature was that the wheat 'knocked' from the heads on to the ground had sprouted and commenced growing again, which indicated that the area had been disturbed at least three to four weeks prior to the discovery.

At this time Mr. Habner had notified the U.F.O. Research and Investigation Group of whom Mr. P.M. Powell is Director. Mr. Powell contacted the Port Lincoln Times on 6th January, 1972, requesting copies of photos taken, and I understand he has been in communication with the Port Lincoln Times and the Habners ever since.

At no time have I been aware that any 'Flying Object' was seen or heard. I did not treat this matter in the true light of an Unidentified Flying Object. I was aware that a Research Group were in possession of photos of markings on the ground that were not easily explained and that these people intended to visit the scene.

I am also conversant with the people in this area, the local press were in possession of the 'story' and they are correspondents for the Adelaide daily papers. News of the strange markings has been wide spread as Mr. Habner has been pestered with phone calls from all over Australia and also from the United Kingdom.

I feel this incident did not come within the scope of the usual sightings. For these reasons I took no further action in the matter.
SAUCER 'SEARCH AT WARRAGAMBA

Two Sydney men went to the Warragamba Dam area last night where they believe an unidentified flying object landed recently.

They were sent to a property opposite the Warragamba Lion Park to examine marks of sand and vegetation from a flattened circular area of about 33 feet in diameter.

The two men are the unpaid vice-president of our organisation, Barry Taylor, and one of our investigators, Paul Brennan.

They will take some photographs and soil samples.

The property owner rang me today, and from his description of the ground it would appear that the UFO landed there quite recently.

Within the circular area of flattened ground are concentric circles.

"There are absolutely no marks leading into the area from either side of the big cycle."

SAUCER 'NEST' NEAR SYDNEY

Investigators who examined strange marks on the ground at Warragamba last night are convinced it is an unidentified flying object landing site.

Professional golfer Mr Ron Sarina, 59, discovered the marks on his 56-acre property yesterday.

He is developing the property opposite the Warragamba Lion Park for a golf practice course.

Mr Sarina said today he was intrigued by the marks which did not seem to have any explanation.

He called his wife to have a look at them and later two investigators from Unidentified Flying Objects Research Projects of Australasia went out to inspect them.

Director of the projects, Mr Frank Wilks, said today, "It is a landing site for sure."

FLATTENED

He said a circular area of grass 33ft in diameter had been flattened and there was another circle three feet inside this.

There were also indentations in the ground which could be from some type of landing gear.

Mr Wilks said the marks were more than 48 hours old and could not have been caused by a car or helicopter.

"We assume it is probably one of the freshest UFO saucers discovered up to date," he said.

Two investigators who visited the site yesterday took soil samples to be analysed for radioactive activity and deterioration of grass roots for sonic damage.
Subject: REPLIES TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC RE UFO REPORTS

The enclosed proformas which are replies to UFO sighting reports at folios 35 and 34 have been prepared for your signature and release.

22 Jun 72

(Signed)

Flt Off
For DAFI
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object has not been determined at this time.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:

a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;

b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and

c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was a meteorite.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
REPORT ON AERIAL OBJECT OBSERVED

1. Attached is a report of an aerial object observed by the undermentioned:

H.W. Herbert
83 Honour Avenue
CHELNER
QUEENSLAND

[Signature]
Squadron Leader
for Air Officer Commanding

(a) how many were there? Only one object
(b) in what formation were they? N/A

13. What was the colour of the light or object? Blue
14. What was its apparent shape? N/A
15. Was any detail of structure observable? N/A

RESTRICTED
INTELLIGENCE - REPORT OF AERIAL
OBJECT OBSERVED
(Operational Command ASI 3/4/5)

PART 1 - REPORT BY OBSERVER

1. Name of observer: **H W HERBERT**
2. Address of observer: **83 HONOUR AVE CHELMER QLD PHONE 792574**
3. Occupation of observer: **Economist**
4. Date and time of observation: **Between 061500H May 12 & 061600H May 12**
5. Duration of observation: **Approx 30 minutes**
6. Observer's location at time of sighting: **In boat, off MANLY, Brisbane**
7. Weather conditions at time of observation: **Cloudy**
8. Describe any aids or equipment used in the observation: **N/A**
9. Where was object first observed? (eg, overhead, coming from behind a hill, over the horizon).
   **First sightings of object - stationary in the sky over Mount PETRIE**
10. What first attracted observer's attention? (eg, light or noise).
    **Light**
11. Did object appear as a light or as a definite object? **As a... flashing light.**
12. If there was more than one object:-
   (a) how many were there? **Only one object**
   (b) in what formation were they? **N/A**
13. What was the colour of the light or object? **Blue**
14. What was its apparent shape? **N/A**
15. Was any detail of structure observable? **N/A**
16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?  
   No

17. Was there any sound?  
   No

18. What was its height? (or angle of elevation)  
   5,000 feet

19. What was its speed? (or angular velocity)  
   N/A

20. Was the object stationary?  
   Yes

21. What was the direction of flight with reference to landmarks or points of the compass?  
   N/A

22. Did the object remain on a straight path, deviate, or manoeuvre at all?  
   N/A

23. Was any trail of exhaust, vapour, or light seen?  
   No

24. Where did object disappear? (eg, in mid-air, behind a hill, over the horizon)  
   Disappeared in cloud

25. Do you know of any physical evidence? (such as fragments, photographs, or other supporting evidence)  
   No

26. Have you observed any unusual phenomena previously?  
   No

27. If so, give brief details of incident(s)  

28. State any experience which enables observer to be reasonably certain about the answers given to 18 and 19.  
   Association with DCA claimed

29. Are you a member of any organization interested in aerial phenomena?  
   No

30. Name and address of organisation  

31. Any additional information which relates to the sighting.
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aircraft type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aircraft type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. Calculations show that the following planets or major stars were in that portion of the sky at the time:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. A meteorological balloon was released from Eagle, N.A., at 0500 and could have been in the reported UFO position at the time.

36. The State Observatory in the nearest capital city affirms that the following phenomena in that portion of the sky at the time were N/A: (comet, meteorite shower, etc).

37. Any other relevant remarks (eg about satellites, rockets, research balloons),

38. There is conclusive evidence that the object reported was...

39. The object reported could have been...

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

82 Wing (Unit)  
30 May 72 (Date)  
P. Kennedy (Name)  
LG. Off (Rank)
IN REPLY QUOTE

5/15/1/Air(29)

15th June 1972

Secretary
Department of Air
Russell Offices
CANBERRA ACT 2600

UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Reference: A. HQTVL message AI 67 21 Apr 72

1. Enclosed is a report on an unusual aerial sighting by the undermentioned:

LILY MAY AND ETHEL MARSHALL
Mittagong Head Station
Queensland.

2. The information contained in Part 1 is incomplete because the report of the sighting was not made directly by either of the witnesses but by another party who was unable to supply any information other than that given to him. Efforts to contact the witnesses have been unsuccessful to date.

3. Notwithstanding the lack of information, it has been possible to determine that the sighting was probably a meteorite which was seen in the general area by a reliable witness.

4. Should any further information be required, additional efforts to contact the witnesses to the sighting can be made.

REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part 1 - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)

1. Name of observer

2. Address of observer

3. Occupation of observer

4. Date and time of start of observation

5. Duration of observation

6. Observer's location at time of sighting

7. Weather conditions at time of observation

8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation

9. Where was object first observed?
   (a) Relative to your surroundings
   (b) Angle of elevation
   (c) Compass angle bearing
   (d) How accurate are these estimates?

10. What first attracted observer's attention?

A/L 28
1 Apr 70
11. If there was more than one light/object:
   (a) how many were there? ...........................................
   (b) in what formation were they? ................................

12. What was the colour of the light or object? ........................

13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg, ordinary street light at 100 yards)

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
   (a) relative to Venus or Moon ....................................
   (b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes) ..............................................

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed? ............................................................................................................................... 

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? ................................

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity 
   NONE. ANGRY BIRD. NAISE. ...........................................

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)? .................................................................

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with movement of familiar objects at stated distances

20. Give duration of any stationary phases ................................

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres ..............................

22. Describe any trail or exhaust, vapour or light seen. ................

23. Where was object last observed?
   (a) relative to your surroundings SAME AS FIRST SIGHTING
   (b) angle of elevation .................................................

A/L 28
ASI 3/A/5
Annex A
1 Apr 70
(c) compass angle bearing

24. What was the manner of its disappearance? 

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, searching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)?

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity

27. How many witnesses to the sighting?

28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Signature .................................. Date ....................................

A/L 28
ABI 3/A/5
Annex A
1 Apr 70
Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude: Longitude:

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planes and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from at . Colour Weight gm

Radio-sonde*/*radar**: Candle*/*battery** (* delete as required)

General wind profile Maximum height tracked 000ft at Z

Was it known to have burst?
37. The first significant temperature inversion was of

..........................°C at ....................ft, measured at ............Z hrs from ......................................station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting....

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating

40. The cause (or likely cause of the sighting can"/cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably was"/may have been" (delete as required)

..........................
Subject: REPLIES TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC RE UFO REPORTS

DVR

The enclosed proformas which are replies to UFO sighting reports at folios 29, 30 and 31 have been prepared for your signature and release.

(J.F. McCarthy)

Jun 72
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object has not been determined at this time.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air

CANBERRA
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was a, motion.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:

a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;

b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and

c. three percent were unidentified.

US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was a meteor.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analyzed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air

CANBERRA
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was probably a large commercial jet aircraft, passing over Sydney.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.
SIGHTING OF UNUSUAL AERIAL OBJECT

1. Enclosed is a report by Master David Emmett of an unusual aerial sighting at Moonee Ponds VIC at 0530 hours on 2nd April 1972. Comments of the C Intel OC are contained in Part 2 of the report.

2. The late submission of this report is due to a delay in returning the completed form to this Headquarters.

(W.O. Keritz)
Wing Commander
For Air Officer Commanding

Enclosure: 1. UAO report

10. What first attracted observer’s attention? High... Strange...

Buzzing sound...

11. If there was more than one light/...:
   a. how many were there? There were 1 light... Nothing... 11
   b. In what formation were they? 11

12. What was the colour of the light or object? Green... white light...
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part I - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

Name of observer: David Francis Emmett
Age: 13

Address of observer: 67 Promenade St. Moonee Ponds
Phone: 371.57.4

Occupation of observer: Part-time Paper Delivery Worker

Date and time of start of observation: 2 June 1977, 5:30 a.m.

How certain is the date? Very certain
How accurate is the time of start? Very accurate

Duration of observation: 30 sec

Observer's location at time of sighting: At 111100 St.

How familiar is observer with this locality? Very familiar

Weather conditions at time of observation: Very clear

Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: Eyes (No equipment)

Where was object first observed? Above two houses in

a. Relative to your surroundings:

b. Angle of elevation: 60°

c. Compass angle bearing: No compass

d. How accurate are these estimates? Very accurate

What first attracted observer's attention? Buzzing sound

If there was more than one light/object:

a. How many were there? 1 only

b. In what formation were they? Above

What was the colour of the light or object? Bright white light

Name of observer: David Francis Emmett
Age: 13

Address of observer: 67 Promenade St. Moonee Ponds
Phone: 371.57.4
13. What was the brightness? (Compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg ordinary street light at 100 yards). 200 Times STREET LIGHT.

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach?
   a. relative to Venus or Moon.
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes).

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity.

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)? 600 FT.

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances.

20. Give duration of any stationary phases.

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres.

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen.

23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings.
   b. angle of elevation.
   c. compass angle bearing.

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc.)?

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

27. How many witnesses to the sighting? Man, woman, boy, ...
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? No.

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? No.

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation? I thought it important because... had never seen anything like it.

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

[Sketch of a house with a yellow and white object nearby]
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C Type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C Type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude: Longitude:

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from N/A at Z. Colour: gm. Weight: sm. Radio-sonde **/radar **/Candle **/battery ** (**delete as required)

General wind profile: calm

Maximum height tracked: 000 ft at Z hrs from...

Was it known to have burst?

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of N/A°C at ft, measured at Z hrs from station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting: none

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace...
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.
41. The object reported probably was/may have been (delete as required)

I have talked with David Emmett who is a witness that he saw the object as described. In the first instance this report was made to the Dept of Civil Aviation Security Officer at Fllamarine, who advised the lad to contact the Intell Staff at Hasl. Although not mentioned in the report, a friend of Emmett's who gave the same address also reported the same phenomenon some time later, which suggests to me that there is the possibility of a hoax. Because of the lack of reports from other sources and the fact that Emmett did not mention the second observer (who claimed to have seen the object with Emmett) I am of the opinion that this report was "cooked up" between the two youths to brighten up their paper round.

HoSC (Unit) R.R. Ross (Name)
1 Jan 72 (Date) Sep 1972 (Rank)
ROYAL AUSTRALIAN AIR FORCE

TELEPHONE: PENRITH 2331
TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS: "AIRCOMMAND SYDNEY"

IN REPLY QUOTE 5/15/1/Air(25)

Secretary
Department of Air
Russell Offices
CANBERRA ACT 2600

29th May 1972

UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTINGS

1. Enclosed are completed questionnaires by the undermentioned:

Mr L.J. HAYNES
13 Rhoda Street
GOULBURN NSW

Mr N. WARSUTON
5 Haraden Street
GOULBURN NSW

Enclosures: Completed questionnaires

Squadron Leader
for Air Officer Commanding
# REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

**Part I - Report by Observer**

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.</strong> Name of observer</td>
<td>Mr J. HAYNES. Age 43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **2.** Address of observer | 13, Rhoa St. Goulburn, N.S.W.  
|   | Phone: 214352 |
| **3.** Occupation of observer | CARPENTER |
| **4.** Date and time of start of observation | 18 APR 42 1942 |
| **5.** How certain is the date? | DEFINATE |
| **6.** How accurate is the time of start? | ± 5 MINUTES |
| **7.** Duration of observation | 2-3 SECONDS |
| **8.** How accurate is your estimate? | VERY ACCURATE |
| **9.** Observer's location at time of sighting | BACK YARD  
|   | 13, Rhoa St. Goulburn, N.S.W. |
| **10.** How familiar is observer with this locality? | VERY FAMILIAR |
| **11.** Weather conditions at time of observation | FINE  
|   | CLEAR |
| **12.** Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation | N.F. |
| **13.** Where was object first observed? |  
| (a) Relative to your surroundings | HAYFE, TREES  
|   | IN BACK YARD |
| (b) Angle of elevation | 30° |
| (c) Compass angle bearing | EAST |
| (d) How accurate are those estimates? | GRR |
| **14.** What first attracted observer's attention? | BRIGHTNESS, MOVEMENT OF LIGHT SOURCE |
1. On 18th May 72 at approx. 7:42pm in my lock yard (facing east) I happened to look up to the sky and saw a bright light travelling at a very fast speed. It was going north to south in a straight line.

2. It disappeared into the darkness of the sky before reaching the horizon, being in sight for approx. 2-3 seconds.

3. It was a dark clear night with no visible cloud. The sky was bright and clear with a streak 11/2 to 2' long and 1/4" wide.

5. I have seen satellite reflections but this light seemed to be lower in the sky. No noise accompanied the sighting.

L. J. Haynes
If there was more than one light/object:
(a) how many were there? N/A
(b) in what formation were they?

12. What was the colour of the light or object? White
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg. ordinary street light at 100 yards) N/A
14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
   (a) relative to Venus or Moon N/A
   (b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes) 2.0 x 1.4
15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?
   *East, 20° elevation Estimated*
16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? No
17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity N/A
18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?
   30° MAX, 20° MIN
19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances
   N/A
20. Give duration of any stationary phases N/A
21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres N/A
22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen N/A
23. Where was object last observed?:
   (a) relative to your surroundings South East
   (b) angle of elevation 20°
24. What was the manner of its disappearance? Vanished...

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorings, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)?

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

27. How many witnesses to the sighting?

28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation? Brightness, x., Horizontal Movement...

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Signature: M. Allison Fred. Date: 15/1/72
Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

- A/C type: N/A
- Heading: T
- Height: 000ft
- Speed: K
- ATD/ATA*: hrs

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

- A/C type: N/A
- Heading: T
- Height: 000ft
- Speed: K
- ATD/ATA*: hrs

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude: 34° 46' 5" Longitude: 147° 42' 5"

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from 
   at: 
   - Z Colour: 
   - Weight: 
   - Radio-sonde**:/radar**: Candle**/battery**(**delete as required)
   - General wind profile: 
   - Maximum height tracked: 000ft at: 

   Was it known to have burst?

/37.
37. The first significant temperature inversion was at 
   ........2 .......°C at ........8000 .......ft, measured at 1700 .......Z 
   hrs from ............NOWRA .......station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, 
   comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting
   N.S. STRONG OBSERVATORY SUGGESTS A METER...

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include 
   radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the 
   aircraft lights were operating .........................
   N/A ......................................................................

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting can* /
   have been* be determined.

41. The object reported probably was* have been* 
   delete as required)
   METER ...............................................

............5 SON (Unit) M. GRIANARO (Name)

17 MAY 72 (Date) LT (Rank)
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part I - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)

1. Name of observer: Mr. W. WARBURTON
   Age: 18

2. Address of observer: S. MAIDEN ST.
   COLEBURN, NSW
   Phone: 

3. Occupation of observer: H.S. SCHOOL STUDENT

4. Date and time of start of observation: JAN. 23, 1957
   How certain is the date? DEFINATE

5. How accurate is the time of start? ± 20 MINUTES
   Duration of observation: ± 5 SECONDS
   How accurate is your estimate? VERY ACCURATE

6. Observer's location at time of sighting: COLEBURN, NSW
   How familiar is observer with this locality? VERY FAMILIAR

7. Weather conditions at time of observation: FINE, CLEAR

8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: N.L.

9. Where was object first observed? :
   (a) Relative to your surroundings: ABOVE
      
   (b) Angle of elevation: 20°
   (c) Compass angle bearing: EAST
   (d) How accurate are these estimates? GOOD

10. What first attracted observer's attention?: ABDOMINAL, SIZE, OR. HEIGHT, SOURCE

/11.
1. Between 7.30 and 8.00pm on 18 April 72

2. I was talking with a friend of mine at our front gate. He noticed the bright light first and told me to look up (facing east).

3. It was an orange light of oval shape travelling fast in a north–south direction.

4. It was 3 times as bright as the brightest star, it was visible for about 5 seconds.

A. Worcestor
If there was more than one light/object:
(a) how many were there? ... N/A
(b) in what formation were they? ...

12. What was the colour of the light or object? ORANGE

13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g., ordinary street light at 100 yards). ORANGE. ... NOT...ight... at 100 yrs

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
(a) relative to Venus or Moon ... VENUS ... SIZE
(b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes). 1" ... 2"

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?
...... 
...... FACT. 30° ELEVATION. ESTIMATED

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? ... NO

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity: ... NO SOUND...

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?
...... 30° MAX. 20° MIN.

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances.
...... APPROX. 12° PER SECOND

20. Give duration of any stationary phases ... NO

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres ... NO

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen ... NO

23. Where was object last observed?
(a) relative to your surroundings. SOUTH EAST
(b) angle of elevation ...... 20°
24. **What was the manner of its disappearance?**  
   Vanished...

25. **Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)?**  
   No...

26. **State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.**  
   N/A...

27. **How many witnesses to the sighting?**  
   1...

28. **Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?**  
   Yes (REPORT ATTACHED)...  

29. **What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?**  
   METEORITE...

30. **What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?**  
   SOFT...

31. **Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.**

Signature: ____________________  
Date: 15 MAY 72...
### Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>H/A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>H/A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude 34.455, Longitude 49 43 E

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from at Z Colour Weight cm

Radio-sonde/fa radar: Candle/battery (delete as required)

General wind profile N/A

Maximum height tracked 000ft at Z

Was it known to have burst?
37. The first significant temperature inversion was at

... 0°C at 8,000 ft, measured at 17:00...2

40. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons,
comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting

Mt. St. Helens, Observatory, suggests... A METEOR

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include

radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the

aircraft lights were operating

N/A

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting can*

be determined.

41. The object reported probably was/*may have been*

delete as required)

A. METEOR

-----------------------------------------------

5 SQN (Unit) M. CLAYTON (Name)

17 MAY 72 (Date) FRT ACT (Rank)
UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTINGS

1. Enclosed is completed questionnaire by the undermentioned:

MRS. UNA ADAMS
115 Barrenjoey Road
Mona Vale NSW 2103

2. We have contacted Mrs. Adams and advised that an investigation seems to indicate that the vapour trail was probably made by a large commercial jet which was passing over Sydney en route to Melbourne, about the time of the sighting.

Allah
(S.R. ELASH)
Squadron Leader
for Air Officer Commanding

(a) how many were there? ........................................

(b) in what formation were they? ................................
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part I - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

1. Name of observer: (Mrs) WINIFRED ADAMS...Age 52...

2. Address of observer: N.S.W. Barrenjoey Rd...MOIRA VALLEY...

3. Occupation of observer: Housewife...

4. Date and time of start of observation: SAT. 29TH APRIL. 1972, 6.40 P.M...

5. Duration of observation: Almost 2 hours...

6. Observer's location at time of sighting: Garden...

7. How certain is the date? I wrote it in my diary immediately.

8. How accurate is the time of start? I noted the time by an electric clock alongside my diary...

9. How accurate is your estimate? I checked with my clock...

10. Observer's location at time of sighting: In the back garden...

11. How familiar is observer with this locality? I have lived here since 1956...

12. Weather conditions at time of observation: Clear night...

13. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: None...

14. Where was object first observed: No object was sighted—only vapour stream spreading incredible speed.

(a) Relative to your surroundings: travelling from North to South slightly west of the early rising moon—coming from angle of elevation behind horizon headland...disappearing behind headland at Morrie's Wood...

(b) Compass angle bearing...

(d) How accurate are these estimates...

15. What first attracted observer's attention: The loud whistling sound...

16. If there was more than one light/object:

(a) How many were there...

(b) In what formation were they...
12. What was the colour of the light or object?

13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g. ordinary street light at 100 yards).

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
   (a) relative to Venus or Moon
   (b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes).

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity. *High pitched sound like the *whirr* of a rocket.

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances.

20. Give duration of any stationary phase, about 15 hours and then began to fade.

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres.

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen. *White vapour stream in an arc like a wide rainbow.*

23. Where was object last observed:
   (a) relative to your surroundings
   (b) angle of elevation
   (c) compass angle bearing

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc). A *smell of burning* similar to the smell of cracker and about 2 hours later a *fog or mist* hanging at the level of the power electricity poles.
26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity .................................................................

27. How many witnesses to the sighting? ...............................................

28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? Yes. But my sister at Torrance heard the noise.

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? A jet plane .................................................................

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation? The width of the vapour stream and the incredible speed as it sped out of sight

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

About 6:15 or near about my husband drew my attention to the rising moon over the ocean as Monas Vale. We live on the main road facing the sea. The moon was particularly beautiful - a very deep golden colour. I called the children to see it as well. They are 11 & 12. I went to the back garden to get our pets and hear this incredible noise. On looking up at the sky I saw the vapour trail and across the sky west of the rising moon. I called my husband to see it. The children were already on the ground wondering calling us. They were speechless with excitement as to what it could have been. We observed the vapour trail at intervals. It remained stationary and during the next 1½ hours we had seen the moon rise higher in the sky & the vapour vapour trail rose higher between the moon & the clouds. The faint smell of burning & the sound of the odors were quite obvious.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 18 May 1972
Department of Air  (Attention: D/DAF IR) /  
For Information : 
Headquarters Operational Command 

COUNTER-INTELLIGENCE - UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS 

1. Enclosed is an UFO report forwarded to this Headquarters by "The Australian Flying Saucer Research Society - (Adelaide)", together with an accompanying letter from Mr John Burford which inter alia outlines recent moves to amalgamate the various UFO "research" societies in South Australia. Mr Burford is the "investigating co-ordinator" for the AFSRS. 

2. As on many occasions in the past, the report arrived at this Headquarters too late to make an investigation possible without considerable embarrassment and possible adverse publicity. The various UFO societies in this State, while aware of the RAAF's responsibility to investigate UFO sightings in an official capacity, are nonetheless reluctant to pass on information on UFO sightings to the RAAF until they have "picked the bones clean". Every attempt has been made by this Headquarters to elicit the co-operation of local UFO organizations, and in particular the AFSRS, in an endeavour to gain some first-hand information on UFO sightings. 

3. From conversations with Mr Burford and others, it would appear that RAAF policy on non-recognition of unofficial UFO groups and restrictions on release of information (eg, military aircraft movements) to them, are in no small way responsible for the lack of "co-operation" experienced by this Headquarters in the past. Mr Burford himself, however, unlike his fellow AFSRS member, Mr Colin Norris, seems interested in co-operating with the RAAF "with no strings attached" and to date has not attempted to make political capital or to gain favourable publicity for his organization by his dealings with this Headquarters. 

4. Also enclosed for your information is a list of alleged sightings investigated by the AFSRS alone in 1971. It is significant that of the 112 sights, not one was reported to this Headquarters in the first instance. Indeed, it was only at the personal whim of Mr Norris that the RAAF received copies of investigations (without "findings") in the long term. It would appear that, in spite of sparse and rather patronising publicity by the mass media to the effect that the RAAF is the responsible UFO-reporting organization, and arrangements with the police to have any individual sighting a UFO contact this Headquarters, the public at large in this State remains either ignorant of the correct procedure, or chooses to contact the more glamorous - and credulous - "flying saucer" society.
Furthermore, we are not aware of any effort on a national scale by higher authority to inform the public of the RAAF position in this matter, which is very active in South Australia.

5. From the foregoing, it can be seen that, if the proposed amalgamation of UFO groups in this State comes to pass, and timely reports of UFO sightings are passed to this Headquarters as indicated by Mr Burford’s remarks, the volume of work involved in investigating and processing such sightings will increase considerably. In fact, it is doubtful whether the Officer-in-Charge UFO’s at this Headquarters (a secondary appointment) would be able to cope with such an increase, without significant and non-acceptable inroads being made into his primary role.

6. You can expect a comprehensive report based on exterior sources, and some most interesting colour slides, of a peculiar ground phenomena in the Eyre Peninsula under separate cover shortly. This incident has provoked widespread interest sparked by repetitive mass-media references.

7. Forwarded for your consideration.

[Signature]

(A.T. PICKEN)  
Air Commodore  
Officer Commanding

Enclosures:  
A. UFO Report  
B. Letter from Mr Burford  
C. Summary of AFSRS sightings
Report 7.5.72
Birkenhead, Sth. Aust.
U.P.O. Report Form

1. Where did you see the object(s)? BIRKENHEAD, S.A.

2. Date: 7 MAR 1972 Time: 9:18 p.m.
Duration of sighting: 20 - 30 secs How did you establish the time and duration? WRIST WATCH (CORRECT TIME)

3. What were the local weather conditions at the time? CLEAR, STILL STARRY, NO MOON, WARM TEMP. APROX 70°, NO BREEZE.

Details about the movements & sound effects of the UFO(s)

4. Please describe the actions and movements of the object(s)
OBJECT APPROACHED FROM SE BY SOUTH DIRECTION AT RELATIVELY FAST SPEED. BY OBSERVATION ITS LIGHTS WOULD ESTIMATE IT WAS ABSENT EVERYTHING TOWARDS END OF MY OBSERVATION IT SEEMED INCREASED SPEED TWO - THREE TIMES DID A FAST RIGHT BANK; THEN A FAST LEFT BANK & IMMEDIATELY COMPLETED AN ELEVATION OF 90°, AND I LOST SIGHT OF IT, STILL CLIMBING.

5. Was there noise and or any other effects? (give details) NO NOISE WHATSOEVER. THIS IS WHAT I THOUGHT TO BE IN THE FIRST PLACE.

6. How did the object(s) come into your view? LOOKED AT SEY ACCIDENTLY

7. How did the object(s) depart from your view? CLIMBING EXTREMELY FAST IN N.W. BY NORTH DIRECTION

General Details about the object(s)

5. Please describe in as much detail as possible, the number, shape, colour, and size. Compare the object's size to a familiar object held at arm's length. e.g. a 1c coin, cricket ball, golf ball. A sketch would be most helpful.

10. DETAILS ABOUT THE TRAVELLING DIRECTION & ANGULAR ELEVATION

Using the curricular diagram on the left. Indicate where you first saw the object(s) marking this point "A" and where you last saw the UFO(s) marking this point "B". Then draw from "A" to "B" the direction of flight.

On the bottom diagram, on the left. Indicate the elevation of the object above the horizon. Mark this point "A". If the object(s) changed its elevation continue to mark to positions "B", "C" etc.
GENERAL QUESTIONS

11. Have you seen anything like this previously or after the sighting in this report? (Give details). [NO]

12. * Have you any photographs, news cuttings, notes etc. as items of evidence? (Please enclose. They will be returned) [YES/NO]

13. List names and addresses of any other people who witnessed the UFO(s) with you, or people you know also saw the object(s). These names will be kept confidential if they wish so. [NIL]

PERSONAL DETAILS

Please give the following information about yourself.

FULL NAME: RICHARD NEIL BARNETT, AGE: 52
ADDRESS: BIRKENHEAD TERRACE, 3 FELDER RD, BIRKENHEAD.

TELEPHONE: [HOME] 49-5150 [BUSINESS] [POSTCODE: 5015]

* You may use my name in connection with this report. [YES/NO]
* Prefer my name kept confidential. [YES/NO]
Date of filling out this report: [16 MARCH 72]
Signature: [signature]

Further signatures of witnesses:

* Strike out which does not apply
In your own words, Please describe the incident as it happened.
(If additional pages are needed, be sure they are numbered.)

Since my observation on the 7th week, I have had plenty of time to think about it but the fact remains, S and something I can't explain with what I know. I am a man of science etc. At 10.15 p.m. at the end of an observation I first noted the object in the sky, it was a light red & green and no a second planet it was a plane except that it didn't do that. At the time of 9.45 p.m. it was about 5,000 feet at the total distance of several meters. I was not near the sea front to hear any noise. I had never heard anything new. I was not aware it day time never even an hour. It was about 40 - 50 seconds as the object was traveling fast. I should not make out a similar shape of what you're talking about. It was at first, but the "cabin" afforded little and was situated slightly the first time I could have been mistaken at the bottom as it may have been rushing with the wind. It was at the bottom to put it more correct. One light (a man & net?) was almost in the middle to within the cabin and sound Atlantic (they didn't flash as with plane). Two lights were close together. The object turned right angled and until it showed and gathered the man of light and checked on it by angle at about 150.3 by right without evidence that a man could have done that. and the disappearance other than.

I had quite thought of about the lights remaining out and the clouds have been observed by them being observed from my review the view of the cabin object because at the moment of when the object was always a city like appearance just before it disappeared until the night of...

R. A. Bussell
March 1972
LOCATION: Birkenhead
WASHINGTON: R.N. Barratt, Birkenhead Tavern Hotel, 3 Elder Rd., Birkenhead, only.

16.3.72 by J. Murfod.

Sequence of events: Mr. Barratt is licensee of the Birkenhead Tavern. At 8pm the hotel closes and for some time after this the books are balanced etc. Having closed this particular night, Mr. Barratt went out of the back of the building (the eastern side) and burned some rubbish (he believes there may have been a fire ban that day). There were no lights on in his immediate vicinity except for one inside a door around a corner of the building. He happened to glimpse a moving light to the south—about 190°, I estimate, from the hotel position.was located by the witness, referring to a conveniently positioned crane from north to east at the time of sighting—and at 30° elevation (again, my estimation from his indications). He first thought of a satellite, but seeing the red and green lights, next thought of a plane. However, he noticed the lights were not flashing and were closer together than a plane's wingtip lights would seem, so he followed the object with his eye. The witness long sight is normal but, he wears glasses for closer use, and just to be on the safe side he put them on.

There was, he said, a definite reflection from ground light sources as if there was a shiny body attached to the lights. By now, he could see that this was something unusual, to him, so he continued watching, and as the object reached a position a few degrees (50°) east of north and again about 30° elevation, it banked swiftly right (east) then left (west), seeming to tilt (i.e., a swift "swinging" motion) as it did so, then fixated and away at a 30° est. angle. The object's speed was steady until it reached a bearing of about 280°-290°, then it sped up, just before the banking manoeuvre. It diminished in apparent size and was lost to him by the time of interview; traffic was sparse, making little noise (an aircraft such as fly in and out of Parrafield would be audible), there was no cloud, although some haze towards ground level (less than 20°), numerous stars, and, unlike at the time of the interview, no wind—smoke from nearby chimneys rose vertically.

Description of object:

First noted as lights (one red, one green, distinct but close together), an area around the lights seemed to reflect ground lights. There was no distinct boundary of the object—witness compared commercial aircraft at night, when the lights and general shape are visible, but not the wing boundaries. From a general vague shape rather than a clearly defined outline, he said the object appeared to be twice the apparent size of a full moon, at least. However, his comparison to the distance between two adjoining house chimneys a couple of hundred yards to the north indicated a size of about 29°, i.e., 4x full moon. He was quite definite about the large size. The lights "had depth"—the description of a "cabin" is derived from this. He said there was no reflection as from perspex or glass, but the lights seemed red, green; this is the only way he could describe them. He just felt they were not flush with the surface of the object. The object changed from a round shape to a disc-profile just after the banking manoeuvre; the lights vanished as if hidden by the tilting body (again, the suggestion that they were recessed) and all that could be seen was the ground light reflection from the object, as it diminished.

Witnes: A. J. Barratt (see report form); wears glasses for near vision (lights less than about 100 yds, away slightly blurred) but long vision good. He was in army (AIF) where he says he learned how to observe (familiar with types of optical illusions because of training), and in my opinion is reliable and thorough witness. He is well-informed regarding, as I said, observational illusions and still cannot offer any explanation for his sighting. He did not attempt to interpret the sighting in terms of "aliens," and was in fact dubious about reporting it. He thought of the RAAF but was uncertain as to how to report to them, and could not think of any crank material until, since the sighting, he had found a little more through F. Powell and myself. He read some science-fiction, and this also caused him a little embarrassment as he felt this admission would lower the worth of his observations in some way. At first he told only his wife and daughter, and told them not to repeat the story; however, it nagged at him, and he is curious to hear if anyone else
saw the object or if any explanations present themselves. I informed him that "a metallic object with red and green lights had been seen on the road", after the interview, and we discussed UFOs in general. His knowledge of them was very vague, only a few press items not seen any UFO books. He wished his identity withheld (do not publish name of witness or of hotel—just area), but consents to publication of the other details.
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JB 16.3.72
1. As the witness put on his glasses to focus short range objects, e.g. 100m, and described the object with them on then this suggests that the object was around 100m or so away, otherwise the object in short range glasses would have been blurred had it been a lot further away. The apparent reflection of ground light sources also supports the close range idea.

2. The angular velocity is approximately 180° in 20-30 seconds, this means either between 5-9° per second. For comparison, the Russian booster rocket of 11.2.72 travelled 70° in 25 seconds, i.e. about 3°/sec. 5-9°/second is really travelling. This factor also suggests a close range, for if the object were a long way away and doing 5-9°/sec then it would be covering a large distance in those 20-30 secs and hence at a very high speed.

3. The angular diameter estimated as 2° by the interviewer also makes a good case for a close range object. Had the object been at a mile or two away, then it would have been many tens of feet in diameter. At about 100 metres this would make it at least 10 feet in diameter.

4. The above four points, i.e. glasses, reflection, angular velocity and size appear to indicate a close range for this object.

5. | Situation |
   | - Ground track |
   | 190° |
   | (b) Elev |
   |

6. | Summation |
   | 1. A noiseless object of circular shape, comes from 190° azimuth, 30° elevation having one red and one green constant light on it, its bearing being 360°. As it passes 280-250° azimuth it accelerates and is seen to be 2° angular diameter, and apparently reflecting ground light sources. It continues to 005° azimuth, 30° elevation where it banks swiftly east then west and climbs, to be lost to sight at 005° azimuth, 5 50° elevation at an average angular velocity of 5-9°/second.
   | 2. From the details given the object does not appear to have been: (a) a meteor, (b) a balloon, (c) a satellite, or (d) an aircraft.

7. | Conclusion |
   | On the details given I am unable to offer a rational explanation, comments welcome.
Dear Sir,

As arranged by phone today, I am enclosing a photostat of a report of an unusual aerial sighting from Birkenhead on 7.5.72. I regret the delay in sending this report, but the civilian group for which I carried out the interview did not have any arrangement for sending reports through you to the Department of Air, and I only recently contacted the witness and obtained his consent to send you the report. He would appreciate the results of any official investigation, although I appreciate at this late stage this will not be a particularly easy task.

The gentleman concerned is proprietor of the "Birkenhead Tavern" and can easily be contacted if necessary. He requests his name be kept from any sort of publication, which I think is reasonable because of his position. I found him a very solid citizen, hardly the type I would suspect of a hoax, and although human error may enter into his sighting, I feel he would be as good an observer as we could hope for in civilian life, especially if, as he claims, he received some training in the army (communications, I believe) in observation. He is a very friendly type and keen to find out what exactly he saw, so if you find it necessary to contact him you should have no problems regarding co-operation.

I wrote to the Department of Air, Russell Offices for the UFO data you mentioned to me, and at the same time enquired as to the possibilities of obtaining, through them, results of their checking of any reports we send them. In the reply, I was told "... if future reports are required by your Society these will be made available on request. I hope this means my request was granted, and we can consult them in the fashion I suggested, although the reply I must admit, seems a little ambiguous.

Finally, as I mentioned today, there have been changes in the UFO societies. I hope for the better, some dissatisfaction was expressed with one of our committee members, not the least complaint being that he did not seem interested in co-operation with other civilian groups. The details are purely society politics and probably of no consequence, but the result of the conflict which resulted was the formation of a breakaway group as has happened in the past in such situations, but an amalgamation. Keith Fosterfield, ex-head of the now defunct APRS (now amalgamated with UFO 31 under that name) was asked to head an investigations group consisting of investigators in the APRS and elsewhere, and I, for one, have great faith in his capabilities as an unbiased researcher. (In fact, I think he doubts that there is any great mystery in UFOs). As I also mentioned, from now on we hope to start an investigator training scheme, and of course we have made arrangements for all reports to be pooled and investigations to be carried out through this group. There will, of course, be something of a lapse before things get under way properly as there is a great deal to be done to re-shape civilian research in this field into something better than half-hearted interviews and curtailing meetings which was largely the case up until recently.

This will probably have one significant effect on you. While the APRS used a practice of sending you reports, the others concerned in the amalgamation were awaiting a written arrangement from you before they did this. Some debate is expected, and I hope that you will continue to receive not only APRS but all reports; I certainly think this is a good idea. But anyhow, you will no doubt hear more of this, and I think you will find that if such arrangements
are made they will be of a higher quality report than you have been receiving in the past.

In closing, let me thank you for the time you have given me in a matter which I realise is only a part of your job. I hope such friendly relations can be maintained with the newly-formed group, and you will of course be informed of what develops as soon as the whole plan solidifies.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

John Bradford
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06.01.71</td>
<td>Mt. Adelaida</td>
<td>2245</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>E 2 white light</td>
<td>Poss. note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.01.71</td>
<td>Brighton</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>N Orange flickering obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.01.71</td>
<td>Strathalbyn</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>45°W 45°SE 1 yellow light</td>
<td>Poss. cat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.01.71</td>
<td>Clare</td>
<td>0215</td>
<td>10°ESE 1 white light stat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.01.71</td>
<td>Brighton</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>E-U Oval, lights at edge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.01.71</td>
<td>Clearview</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>55°NNE stat Half stat 2° across</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.02.71</td>
<td>Euunda</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 Three flashes SW, W, SE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.02.71</td>
<td>Thoovanord</td>
<td>0035</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>NW White-blue oval, shining beam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.02.71</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N Elongated pule red obj</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.02.71</td>
<td>Ferguson</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>60°E 35°NE 1 red pule obj</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.02.71</td>
<td>Mitchell pk</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Humming oval, white light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.02.71</td>
<td>Lago</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>NW 3 round objects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.03.71</td>
<td>Ingle Farm</td>
<td>1549</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Up? Silver disc, red beacon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.03.71</td>
<td>Lindsay</td>
<td>0245</td>
<td>30°E stat</td>
<td>Red/white/green light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.03.71</td>
<td>Salt creek</td>
<td>0245</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>- Red/white/green light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.03.71</td>
<td>Sevanhills</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>60°W 60°N 1 white light</td>
<td>Poss. cat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.03.71</td>
<td>Mt. Compass</td>
<td>u/k</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hov/3ac White light,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.03.71</td>
<td>Eirkenhead</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>E hd S 1 white light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.03.71</td>
<td>19833.57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>hov 1 obj</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.03.71</td>
<td>Ashton</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 obj with green/red lights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.03.71</td>
<td>Bedford Pk</td>
<td>2257</td>
<td>S/NNE 1 pule. rad oval light, moving arr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.03.71</td>
<td>Adel-Eliz</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Circling Red/white/blue/green light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.03.71</td>
<td>Bedford Pk</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>NW 1 red pule oval light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.03.71</td>
<td>Eliz Dune</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ground Circles on lawn, nothing vis. seen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.03.71</td>
<td>Funa Hills</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>SE 1 grey 'oil drum'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.04.71</td>
<td>Findare rga</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1 Silver disc rose from hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.04.71</td>
<td>Debarne</td>
<td>0740</td>
<td>N hoa N Red oval w/ small light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.04.71</td>
<td>Eliz area</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>40°SW 20°W 1 white and 1 orange light</td>
<td>Meteor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.04.71</td>
<td>Gun Creek</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W Orange light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.04.71</td>
<td>Katoepoka ck</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W Orange round obj.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.04.71</td>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>0400</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N White light pule.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.04.71</td>
<td>Sevanhills</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>45°E stat 1 bright yellow light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.04.71</td>
<td>Yukke</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>E stat 6 yellow-white lights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.04.71</td>
<td>71Adel. area</td>
<td>Night o/h U-E Red ball, white tail light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.05.71</td>
<td>Euunda</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>MNE 20°N 1 bright white light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.05.71</td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>0220</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>E 1 red pule light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.05.71</td>
<td>Peniortham</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>SE Bright white light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.05.71</td>
<td>Sevanhills</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>W rad light, discoidal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.05.71</td>
<td>Gun creek</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>W Red pule light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.05.71</td>
<td>Fulham</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Orange light with tail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.05.71</td>
<td>Pat claviey</td>
<td>0115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Orange light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.05.71</td>
<td>Christman Co</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>U 7</td>
<td>C7 5 silver obj Angel hair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.05.71</td>
<td>Macaikins Bev</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>W 7 acol 1 silver obj Angel hair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.05.71</td>
<td>Sevanhills</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>W atat 3 pink discs</td>
<td>Poss. cloud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.05.71</td>
<td>3 ml 5 Clare</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>NE 7 Red light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Heliocentric Time</td>
<td>Observer</td>
<td>Atm. Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.07.71</td>
<td>Dover Downs</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.07.71</td>
<td>Furnace</td>
<td>0536</td>
<td>2036</td>
<td>2036</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.07.71</td>
<td>Gwawenda</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.07.71</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>2105</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.07.71</td>
<td>Kadina</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.07.71</td>
<td>Larronghil</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The descriptions include various colored lights, objects, and smoke trails, indicating varied celestial phenomena observed during the specified period.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.10.71</td>
<td>Clare</td>
<td>0050</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>Orange obj.</td>
<td>Firework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.10.71</td>
<td>Unley</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Orange light</td>
<td>Firework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.11.71</td>
<td>Adelaide hills</td>
<td>0445</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Yellow light</td>
<td>Satellites?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.11.71</td>
<td>Coulter</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Golden oval</td>
<td>3 obj oval, or/gr/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.10.71</td>
<td>Stanley Flat</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Red light</td>
<td>3 reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.10.71</td>
<td>Narooma</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>White light</td>
<td>Star-like obj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.10.71</td>
<td>Elizabeth Cove</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>2 white and red lights</td>
<td>0/h (2 reports)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.11.71</td>
<td>Christian Dh</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Silver obj</td>
<td>Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.12.71</td>
<td>Savick Hills</td>
<td>0430</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Yellow &quot;moon&quot;</td>
<td>2 reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.12.71</td>
<td>Karina</td>
<td>p.m.</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Silver disc rim lights</td>
<td>Hoax?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.12.71</td>
<td>Adelaide area</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>White light (2 reports)</td>
<td>0/h (2 reports)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.12.71</td>
<td>Unnoor Gdns</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>White light</td>
<td>Pass, Venus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.12.71</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Red light</td>
<td>2 reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.12.71</td>
<td>Raynella</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Green ball of fire with tail</td>
<td>3 reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.12.71</td>
<td>Leeton</td>
<td>2145</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>2 white light</td>
<td>3 reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.12.71</td>
<td>Echern Tours</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Round silver object</td>
<td>3 reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.12.71</td>
<td>Athol Park</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Green light</td>
<td>Object with red/green/white light.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.12.71</td>
<td>Mount Gambler</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>Obj with red/green/white light</td>
<td>2 reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistics:**

Total number of reports = 112

**Copies:** KE 50  
KB 9.1.72
Total number of reports from all sources was 112
Hunter removed as suspected conventional was 16
leaving 96
which included (single incidents) 88
Therefore the following is based on 88 incidents.

2. Monthly breakdown:
   Jan. 3  Apr. 7  Jul. 11  Oct. 4
   Feb. 5   May 10  Aug. 10  Nov. 1
   Mar. 7   Jun. 6  Sep. 9   Dec. 9

3. Time breakdown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour comm.</th>
<th>Reports</th>
<th>Hour comm.</th>
<th>Reports</th>
<th>Hour comm.</th>
<th>Reports</th>
<th>Hour comm.</th>
<th>Reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not given 9...T = 68

4. Days of week:
   Sunday 13  Thursday 10
   Monday 7   Friday 10
   Tuesday 11  Saturday 19
   Wednesday 15

Not given 3...T = 68

5. Lighthouse:
   LIT: Orange 11
   Red       16
   White     12
   Shape:...Oval 8
   Disc      4

   LIT:...61
   Shape: 24
   N.K:...13
   T = 28

6. Duration: <1 min | 09
   | 1-5   | 14
   | 5-10  | 10
   | 10-30 | 06
   | >30 min | 08

   N.K.: 30

   68
This is statistics based on 19 "hard core" reports for 1971.

**Number Breakdown**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JUL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time Breakdown**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Not Known**: 1

**Days of the Week**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weke</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sport**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oval</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIT 3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crescent</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gold</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Duration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>1 min</th>
<th>3 min</th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 min</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-30</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusions**

1. These "hard core" reports were those of:
   - 11/2, 3/3, 17/3, 18/3, 5/4, 4/4, 27/4, 14/5, 15/5, 21/5, 22/5, 26/5, 17/7, 8/8, 18/8, 22/8, 8/9, 22/9, 1/12.
   - Other similar cases could be quoted depending on direction and purchase.
2. We have used reports of Mr. Life, Ltd., were in the West End and Kallangur area.
3. To the question "what was it doing there?" the answer is, "there isn't just one answer, no consistent behaviour".

Copies: KB 36

KB 15/1/72
UFOs have their good and bad years

THE only substantial reason I can offer for writing about flying saucers is that when I was in Canberra the other day I bumped into my friend Arthur.

After a few beers and prawns at his golf club, Arthur, who works for the RAAF, became uninterested in UFOs. He occasionally gets that way, and I modestly doubt that the beer and prawns had much to do with it.

But for those among us who believe that flying saucers are real, there are plenty of UFO sightings to keep us interested. In the middle of last week, a hill near Tully, northern Queensland, was the site of a reported flying saucer sightings

Arthur explained the reason for the RAAF's interest in UFOs: "Our U-2 pilot was killed while flying over Australia, and so any reports of unusual happenings in the skies interest us. We certainly never publish any reports of flying saucers unless there is clear evidence from the pilot's. I wouldn't like you to get the impression that our interest suggests we believe them. In fact, we have no conclusive evidence to support any of the various theories."

However, Arthur did say, "Indeed, some UFO sightings have been made by RAAF personnel flying commercial aircraft."

The RAAF has a UFO section which checks flying saucer reports from all over Australia. And if the RAAF does not add up all the reports it has checked, it is not entirely conclusive. In 1959, there have been some reports of a flying saucer reportedly spotted over the outback. In 1966, there have been several reports of a flying saucer hovering over the outback.

If the police think you are drunk, they may not be so helpful."

This strikes me as a serious flaw in the system. Anyone who did not see what was going on at the flying saucer at close range would probably be shocked into giving a false report of a flying object."

Most UFO reports have been given a satisfactory explanation. The fact that a few have not meant that the mystery is solved. UFOs are still a mystery."

In Cabramatta, New South Wales, a man was alarmed by "flying saucers."

The explanation of this sighting is still not clear."

On October 28, 1978, a report from Binalong Bay, Victoria, described an "object coming down from the sky with two small wings."

The object was described as "a flying saucer."

The only other substantial reason I can offer for writing about flying saucers is that when I was in Canberra the other day I bumped into my friend Arthur.
Subject: REPLIES TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC RE UFO REPORTS

The enclosed proformas which are replies to UFO sighting reports at folios 16, 17, 18, 22, 23 and 25 have been prepared for your signature and release.

12 May 72

(P. McSARTHY)

Pat Lt
for DAPI
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly a foreign friend's aircraft heading north west.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 90 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANTERBURY
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly a meteor.  

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.
8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly the planet Venus seen through fast-moving broken cloud giving the impression of movement.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 60 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly a satellite or space debris.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1952 and 1969 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly a meteorological balloon released from Casendon airport.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:

a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;

b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and

c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was...

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.
8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.
SIGHTING OF UNUSUAL AERIAL OBJECT

1. The attached report concerns the sighting of an unusual object by Mrs. S. McFarlane of Newham Tasmania, and was received at this Headquarters from the Department of Civil Aviation, Melbourne.

2. The object observed by Mrs. McFarlane has been sighted frequently by the Launceston Tower Controllers (and others) who state that the sighting is that of the planet Venus. See remarks by the Senior Controller on page 5 of the pro-forma.

Enclosure: 1. Report: S. McFarlane

11. If there was more than one light/object:
   a. how many were there? ONE
   b. in what formation were they? METALLIC - SUN REFLECTING FROM IT.

12. What was the colour of the light or object? METALLIC
RESTRICTED
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING
Part 1 - Report by Observer
(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)

1. Name of observer: ABC
Age: 21

2. Address of observer: 123 Main Street

3. Occupation of observer: Police Officer

4. Date and time of start of observation: 25/06/20 GMT - Still visible at 25/07/20
How certain is the date?: POSITIVE
How accurate is the time of start?: ACCURATE

5. Duration of observation: 10 MIN
How accurate is your estimate?: APPROX

6. Observer's location at time of sighting: KITCHEN WINDOW FACING NORTH
How familiar is observer with this locality?: FAMILIAR

7. Weather conditions at time of observation: COLD AND CLEAR

8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation:

9. Where was object first observed? :
   a. Relative to your surroundings: NORTH
   b. Angle of elevation: 30 DEG
   c. Compass angle bearing:
   d. How accurate are these estimates?: APPROX

10. What first attracted observer's attention?
    SOUND OF JET, LIGHT PASSING OVER

11. If there was more than one light/object:
    a. How many were there?: ONE
    b. In what formation were they?:...

12. What was the colour of the light or object?: METALLIC - SHEEN REFLECTING FROM IT.

RESTRICTED
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g. ordinary street light at 100 yards)

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach?
   a. relative to Venus or Moon
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes)

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? No

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity No sound

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances.

20. Give duration of any stationary phases.

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres.

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen.

23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings
   b. angle of elevation
   c. compass angle bearing

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc)?

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

27. How many witness to the sighting? 4 (wife, husband, 2x neighbours)
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?...

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) should be nearest to explaining your observation?...VERY BRIGHT STAR...

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?...
BRIGHT DAYLIGHT

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Phoned in. Copied by
A. PIESSE
Signature.............. Date............. 25/4/72
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C Type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C Type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. Observer's location: Latitude:____________________ Longitude:____________________

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from _________________________________ at ______________ Z Colour:________________ Weight:____gm

Radio-sonde **/ radar **: Candle **/ battery** (** delete as required)

General wind profile:________________

Maximum height tracked:____________________ ft at ______________ Z

Was it known to have burst? Yes

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of ___________ °C at ______________ ft, measured at ______________ Z hrs from ________________________________

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting:________________

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

NIL

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably was PLANET VENUS (delete as required)

Witness McFarlane observed two jet civil aircraft northbound (CZE & TJC) it was sound of aircraft which caused her to look out window. No other aircraft in vicinity.


Bearing from LT Airport. Approx 320 Deg. Elev approx 25 Deg.

Theodolite Readings by LT Met. at:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0730</td>
<td>148.8</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0731</td>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0732</td>
<td>148.4</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0733</td>
<td>148.2</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0734</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAUNCESTON OCC (Unit) A. V. PISS (Name)
25/4/72 (Date) ATC 4 S.C. (Rank)
This folio (2A) placed on

57A/3/88 (38)
29 May 72.

IR (Date)
UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Attached is a report by Miss Robyn Ellis giving details of an object sighted in the sky on 29th March 1972. Remarks by C Intel O (HQSC) are shown at Unit Evaluation, pages 4 and 5.

J.R. NEWSON
Squadron Leader
For Air Officer Commanding

Enclosure: 1. Report by Miss Ellis

10. What first attracted observer's attention?...BRIGHT, ABORT.
   ...VERY CLEAR SKY (DARKNESS).

11. If there was more than one light/object:
   a. how many were there?..........................
   b. in what formation were they?...........

12. What was the colour of the light or object?...SILVER, INCONSPICUOUSLY CROBED BY BLACK LINE.
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part I - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

1. Name of observer: ROYAL E. LEE
   Age: 17 years

2. Address of observer: 67, THE ROWEND, MARTIN BAtlOWN
   U.E. 9/14
   Phone: 35-5037

3. Occupation of observer: STUDENT

4. Date and time of start of observation: Wed. 29, March 1972, 11:15 a.m.
   How certain is the date?...
   How accurate is the time of start?...

5. Duration of observation: 2 minutes, 1 to 2 minutes
   How accurate is your estimate?

6. Observer's location at time of sighting: CANON, AIRL.
   SCHOOL, BENTON, CORNER, W.E., WELLINGTON, N.E.
   How familiar is observer with this locality?...

7. Weather conditions at time of observation: CLEAR, SKY, N.C.
   .... WIND...

8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: NONE...

9. Where was object first observed?
   a. Relative to your surroundings: LOOKING THROUGH WINDOW
   .... WINDOW...
   b. Angle of elevation: 45°...
   c. Compass angle bearing: 265°, APPROX...
   d. How accurate are these estimates?...

10. What first attracted observer's attention?...
    .... BRIGHT, OBSCURED...
    .... BRIGHT, CLEAR, SKU (ADJACENT)

11. If there was more than one light/object:
    a. How many were there...
    b. In what formation were they...

12. What was the colour of the light or object?...
    .... SILVER, UNACCOUNTABLY CROSSED BY BLACK LINE.
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg ordinary street light at 100 yards). COMPARE THE MOON AT NIGHT
14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach?
   a. relative to Venus or Moon...RIGHTLY BIGGER THAN VENUS
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes)...
   ½ inch
15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?
16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?
17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity...NONE
18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?...45° - 135°
19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances...SEE ANSWERS
20. Give duration of any stationary phases...NOT CERTAIN - WENT SLOWLY AT TIMES
21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres...SLOW DEVIATION EASTWARD FROM N.E.
22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen...WHITE FLAME
23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings...SCHOOL GROUNDS
   b. angle of elevation...125°...ABOVE
   c. compass angle bearing...45°-55°
24. What was the manner of its disappearance?...DISAPPEARED IN DISTANCE
25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, searchings, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc)...NO
26. Stated any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity...
   ...GEOMETRY,+ SCIENCE
27. How many witnesses to the sighting?...4-6 (INCLUDING OBSERVER)
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?... NO...

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?... NEAREST THING - STAR...

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?...

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Signature... Robyn Ellis...

Date... 7th April 1972...
Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude... Longitude...

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only...

36. A meteorological balloon was released from...

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of... °C at...

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc., relevant to the sighting...

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace.
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably may have been (delete as required) MET BALLOON.

From the duration of this sighting and the general heading, it is probable that the object was a radar tracked met balloon released from Essendon at 0600Z.

(N6 SC) (Unit) R.R. Radar (Name)

31/12/72 (Date) Lt. 65 (Rank)
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

1. The attached pro-forma, received from Department of Civil Aviation Melbourne, concerns a sighting on 15th April 1972 by Master Parsons of Georgetown Tasmania.

2. DCA, Launceston office, has assessed the object as a probable satellite.

(W.C. KEPITZ)
Wing Commander
For Air Officer Commanding

Enclosure: 1. Report raised by DCA Launceston

11. If there was more than one light/object: No
   a. How many were there? 
   b. In what formation were they? 

12. What was the colour of the light or object? Silver - Yellow
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part 1 - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

1. Name of observer: MR. PARSONS
   Age: 45

2. Address of observer:
   10 WIDDOWSON ST., GEORGETOWN, TASMANIA
   Phone: GTN 82761

3. Occupation of observer: Student (Father's occupation - Caster)

4. Date and time of start of observation: 1509 GMT
   How certain is the date?:
   How accurate is the time of start?: + - 5 minutes

5. Duration of observation: 5 to 10 minutes
   How accurate is your estimate?:

6. Observer's location at time of sighting:

   How familiar is observer with this locality?: Very

7. Weather conditions at time of observation:
   3 - 4/8ths, 5000 ft

8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: None

9. Where was object first observed:
   a. Relative to your surroundings: West over the Tamar River
   b. In vicinity of Kelso Antenna
   c. Angle of elevation: Approx 100-150 ft
   d. Compass angle bearing:
   e. How accurate are these estimates?:

10. What first attracted observer's attention:
    Light travelling south

11. If there was more than one light/object:
    a. How many were there?:
    b. In what formation were they?:

12. What was the colour of the light or object: Silver - Yellow
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g. ordinary street light at 100 yards) 
   Similar brilliance as evening star

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach? :
   a. relative to Venus or Moon
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes)

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? No

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity
   No sound heard

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances.
   Approx 30 – 40 MPH

20. Give duration of any stationary phases
   None

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres
   Appeared to jerk occasionally

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen
   None

23. Where was object last observed :
   a. relative to your surroundings
   b. angle of elevation
   c. compass angle bearing

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?
   Behind hills

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc).
   No

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity

27. How many witness to the sighting?
   Father, mother, and neighbour
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? No

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. Observer's location: Latitude: 41°06'N, Longitude: 46°40'W

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Radio-sonde</th>
<th>Radar</th>
<th>General wind profile</th>
<th>Maximum height tracked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**/rader</td>
<td>**/battery</td>
<td>**(delete as required)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of...°C at...

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc., relevant to the sighting.

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace.
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating...

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably was (delete as required)

Apparently the family are keen satellite observers and discounted this observation as a satellite.

LAWRENCE A.G.G. (Unit) (Name)

15th April 1972 (Date) S.G.C. (Rank)
UNCLASSIFIED FOR SO INTEL AND DAFI PD UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING COMM
MRS. ALLINGHAME HILLGROVE S/N VIA CHARTERS TOWERS SIGHTED SILVER
OBJECT 021630K STATIONARY 1 DEGREES 5 HOURS

U.S.
The enclosed report of an alleged UFO sighting, received by Department of Air, is forwarded for action under HQOC AS1 3/4/5.

(J.C. SCOTLAND)
Wing Commander
for Chief of the Air Staff
I whom this day concerned.

Tonight, 27 Apr 1973 at 2000. There was such a shower which came last, I happened to look out of the window and saw a bright light hanging at the several hand good. It was shining 20' north of south about 11' in the sky when in a straight line.

It disappeared into the darkness of the sky and at 2100 was no longer visible.

It was a dark clear night, no cloud or moon light for, about 2100 was a bright clear light with a trail about 15' 15' 15' long and a star seen satellite reflecting in the light seemed to be closer in the sky than them.

Also no sound accompanied it, no airplane engine.

I hope it has some explanation, or some body else has seen it as well.

L.J.Tagges.

P.S. 17/4/73
I had learned today, 3 young people of high school living in the north land of youlker also saw the same
UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTINGS

1. Enclosed is a report on an unusual aerial sighting by the undermentioned:

   Mr J. LEISTER
   3 Byrne Street
   MAREEBA
   QUEENSLAND

2. As can be ascertained from the amplifying letter, which is enclosed, the object was sighted slightly north of west, low on the horizon relative to the viewer's position. The observer was driving quite fast in a south-westerly direction and was positioned due west of Mount White on the Road Mareeba-Dimbula.

3. Investigations into the incident have revealed the following facts:

   a. at the time of the sighting 2045Z, Venus would have been on a relative bearing of about 285° from the viewer's position, with an altitude of 10°. These figures were derived from the current Almanac, which further indicated that at this time the planet would be at its brightest;

   b. the local wind at the time was south-easterly at 40 knots; and

   c. there was heavy broken cloud in the area.

4. The conclusions drawn are:

   a. the object seen was Venus;

   b. the strong wind blowing broken clouds would have given the object apparent movement; and

   c. the clouds at times partially obscuring the object would have made the light diffused, slightly changing in colour and larger than it actually was, as well as completely rendering impossible any accurate judging of distance.

(Signed)

Squadron Leader
for Air Officer Commanding

Enclosures: 1. Copy of Letter
            2. Copy of Report
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.</strong> Name of observer</td>
<td><strong>A.L. LEISER</strong></td>
<td>Age 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.</strong> Address of observer</td>
<td>8 BURNE STREET</td>
<td>Phone 337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.</strong> Occupation of observer</td>
<td>WATERFRONT ELECT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.</strong> Date and time of start of observation</td>
<td>22/4/72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.</strong> Duration of observation</td>
<td>30 MIN.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6.</strong> Observer's location at time of sighting</td>
<td>unte Set</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7.</strong> Weather conditions at time of observation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.</strong> Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9.</strong> Where was object first observed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Relative to your surroundings</td>
<td>DIRECTLY IN SIGHT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Angle of elevation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Compass angle bearing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) How accurate are these estimates?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10.</strong> What first attracted observer's attention?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Annex A to API 3/72**

**Report on Unusual Aerial Sighting**

**Part 1 - Report by Observer**

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)
If there was more than one light/object:
(a) how many were there? ........................................
(b) in what formation were they? ................................

What was the colour of the light or object? ..................

What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light
source at a stated distance, e.g., ordinary street light at 100 yards)

What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
(a) relative to Venus or Moon ...................................
(b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches
from the eyes) ..................................................

What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and
how were these values assessed? ................................

Was any method of propulsion obvious? Very slowly moving.

Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity

What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height,
if an estimate can be justified)? ................................

What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if
an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with movement
of familiar objects at stated distances

Give duration of any stationary phases

Describe any deviations or manoeuvres

Describe any trail or exhaust, vapour or light seen

Where was object last observed?
(a) relative to your surroundings ................................
(b) angle of elevation ...........................................
24. What was the manner of its disappearance?  
25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)?

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

27. How many witnesses to the sighting?
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: [Date]

For Observer: [Name]

Questions answered by telephone.
Dear Sir,

On the night of Saturday 25th March, I was travelling along the Maraba-Lindela road to a bar-venue at Lindela. Our party of five was in two cars. I was in the first one. Approximately one mile from Maraba, my attention was caught by a slanting light in the sky to my right. The light appeared to be just above tree top level on the hill. The hill appeared on a map I have as Mt. Ukhala. The light at first seemed to be stationary.

A friend in the second car was watching almost continuously from this point on, except when tree blocked his vision. He said it suddenly lowered behind the hill and his vision was then blocked by slow moving trees. When he could see again, the light was again up above the hill, but then moved at a speed barely seen even from a moving car (50 mph). It was moving away to the west.

The driver of his car then stopped and when we noticed the absence of this light, blocked as we also stopped and he then came on up to us. He had noticed from the car he said he had seen this strange light, and I replied that I had also seen a strange burning light that had not taken a lot of notice of it. We then all stood on the road and watched the light which was now almost straight up the road and descending. This part of the road was straight for about a mile leaning slightly south of west. According to my map I would say the point at which we stopped would be about 14° 14' 17° 8' S. We were looking west 5° 10' north of west from this point. We were looking at the light in relation to a couple of thick, distinct trees directly below the light. It was between a quarter and three minutes previously when we stopped. When we watched, the light moved very slowly downward and to the right, about 10° in three degrees.
I have in view one of the "Queensland Two Mile Series", and covers the area from 125° 5 to 125° 45' E and 17° 15' S to 17° 15' S and includes the parishes of Pagman, Waru, and Hodgkinson. The light was first sighted from approximately 125° 16' 35" E, 17° 1' 35" S, and it was about 20' W of W from this point.

I hope that this report is adequate for your purposes and might some time be useful to you in other investigations of a similar nature. If it can be of any further assistance to you please let me know.

Yours Sincerely,
J. H. Leistin.
COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

ROYAL AUSTRALIAN AIR FORCE
Headquarters
RAAF Base
DARWIN NT 5703
12th April 1972

Director of Air Force Intelligence
Headquarters Operational Command

INVESTIGATION OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

Reference: A. HQAR AI259 of 6th February 1972

1. Attached is a report of an unidentified flying object sighted at Port Hedland on 23rd January 1972.

2. Efforts to obtain information from the Meteorological section Port Hedland have not been successful and has delayed the submission of this report.

(L.B. STAPLETON)
Flight Lieutenant
For Officer Commanding

Enclosure 1. Report of an Unidentified Flying Object

(a) How accurate are these estimates? Positive
(b) What first attracted observer's attention? Object appeared as long as much darker than others, lower and was moving too fast.

11. If there was more than one object:
(a) How many were there? 09;
(b) in what formation were they?
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part I - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

1. Name of observer: WILLIAM BROWN STRAITON. Age: 43

2. Address of observer: 12 Sutherland St. PORT HEDLAND WA 6721. Phone: PB Hed 721200.

3. Occupation of observer: Superintendent - Industrial Relations.

4. Date and time of start of observation: 1845-1900 Hrs Sun 23 Jan 72.
   How certain is the date?: Positive.
   How accurate is the time of start?: Between times stated.

5. Duration of observation: one to two minutes.
   How accurate is your estimate?: Positive.

6. Observer's location at time of sighting: Junction of Thomson and Sutherland Sts. Port Hedland (ocean front).
   How familiar is observer with this locality?: have lived at current address since February 1969.


8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: None.

9. Where was object first observed:
   (a) Relative to your surroundings: Straight out to sea.
   (b) angle of elevation: 500 level.
   (c) compass angle bearing: Accurate bearing not taken but approx 50.
   (d) How accurate are these estimates?: Positive.

10. What first attracted observer's attention?: The object appeared as long cloud much darker than others, lower and was moving too fast.

11. If there was more than one object:
   (a) How many were there?: 4.
   (b) In what formation were they?: 7.
12. What was the colour of the light or object? Dark Brown
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light
source at a stated distance, e.g. ordinary street light at 100
yards)..... Sighting took place in daylight.
14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
   (a) relative to Venus or Moon..............................
   (b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches
        from the eyes)... Approx 1-1¼ inches
15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and
    how were these values assessed?... Eye level during observation until
    it disappeared being horizon.
17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity
    None.
18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if
    an estimate can be justified)? Difficult to assess. A few feet above
    sea all the time.
19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an
    estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the move-
    ment of familiar objects at stated distances. Difficult to assess
    but considerably faster than a helicopter or light aircraft other than
    jet aircraft.
20. Give duration of any stationary phases. None.
21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvre.
    Initially, in level flight:
    Upper part rose slightly to join upper part, before
    disappearing behind horizon. Upper part gave impression of smoke trail.
    Lower part indicated (suggest).
22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen.
23. Where was object last observed:
    (a) relative to your surroundings... All as in question 9, except
        object had travelled a few miles
        from west to east.
    (b) angle of elevation...
    (c) compass angle bearing...
24. What was the manner of its disappearance? Dissipated over horizon with
    steep plunge
25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments,
    photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or
    radioactivity etc) Helicopter search following morning with local
    police personnel revealed no physical evidence.
26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain
of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

Royal Artillery - Heavy anti-aircraft training, Observer is current District Commissioner of Scouts.

27. How many witness to the sighting? Three. M.M. (wife and two friends)

28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?...........
NO

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? None

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?...........

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings.

Use back of form, if needed. This question calls for a plan drawing combined with an elevation drawing which is beyond my capabilities.

Thompson St., runs N by NNE while Sutherland St., runs W to E. The object was first sighted when we approached the junction of the two streets, on the ocean front (I was driving). The object appeared at first to be a thin, narrow, dark brown cloud (distinguishable because other clouds in the sky were white and at a higher altitude). At this point also, it was an entity and appeared to be stationary. As we turned east into Sutherland St., we observed that the object was following a parallel course but several miles out to sea. It then separated, one part dippiing towards the sea, the other part remaining above and this took on the appearance of a smoke trail. The lower part then rose, as if in an attempt to gain height, joined with the upper part and then dipped straight down behind the horizon.

Because of the speed of the object (and it maintained level flight until the last few seconds) I discounted the possibility that it was a helicopter or even a civil light aircraft.

Both the police and DCA advised me after I had reported it to them that no reports of civil aircraft in the vicinity had been received. Because of this and because of it speed I have come to the conclusion (and, I emphasize that this is pure conjecture) that the object may have been a small jet-engined military or naval aircraft of either Australian or of foreign origin.

Again, I emphasize that this last is conjecture on my part.

P.S. If accurate compass bearings are required, I shall be pleased to arrange these.

(dated) W.B. Straiton

Signature:............................................. Date: 13th February 1972
The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE KNOWN</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE KNOWN</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>000 ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.


Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

A meteorological balloon was released from:

at: Z Colour: .Weight: gm

Radio-sonde/radar: Candle/battery (**delete as required)

General wind profile.

Maximum height tracked: 000 ft. at. Z

Was it known to have burst?  

The first significant temperature inversion was of: °C at . . . . . . . . . . . . FT, measured at. Z hrs from.

Station.

Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting.
when an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably have been a flock of sea birds.

Form 41 is based on the following comment by A/Supervisor Flight Service Centre PT REDLAND in his reply to aircraft activity in the area. His personal opinion is that it could have been a flock of sea birds (ducks) returning to their roost for the night. These birds would give the appearance of an elongated object and as they change height above the water consistent with air flow, one half of the flock could drop below the horizon and become indistinguishable to the observer. This would give the impression that the object had broken up in flight. As soon as the other half of the flock dropped this would leave no sign visible to the observer unless they rose again above the horizon. The report was received at 1910 hours local and last light for the day was approx 1915 local.

HQBAR

12 APR 72 (Unit) (Name) (Rank)

(Date)
UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTINGS

Enclosed is a report on an unusual aerial sighting by the undermentioned:

Mr. E.F. Edwards
10 Sixth Avenue
SOUTH TOWNSVILLE
QUEENSLAND

Enclosure: 1. Report

J.C. Luker
Squadron Leader
for Air Officer Commanding
**REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING**

**Part 1 - Report by Observer**

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of observer</td>
<td>M.B. E.F. EDWARDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Address of observer</td>
<td>10. SIXTH. AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Occupation of observer</td>
<td>WATCHMAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Date and time of start of observation</td>
<td>21.O.S.2.O.K. MAR.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Duration of observation</td>
<td>5.T.P.7.M.N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Observer's location at time of sighting</td>
<td>RAAF.T.V.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Weather conditions at time of observation</td>
<td>FINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation</td>
<td>STARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Where was object first observed?</td>
<td>(a) Relative to your surroundings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Angle of elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Compass angle bearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) How accurate are these estimates?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. What first attracted observer's attention?</td>
<td>LIGHT MOVING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANNEX A TO ASI 3/A/5**

**A/L 28**

1 Apr 70

RESTRICTED
If there was more than one light/object:
(a) how many were there? .......... ONLY ONE
(b) in what formation were they? ........................................

What was the colour of the light or object? WHITE

What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg, ordinary street light at 100 yards)
SMAIL...F.U.S.I...H.I.N.E...ORDINARY...STAR

What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
(a) relative to Venus or Moon ........ SMALLER THAN VENUS
(b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes) ....

What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed? ... SEE 9 ... ESTIMATE ... ONLY

Was any method of propulsion obvious? ... N.A.

Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity ................. NOISE

What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)? .... 48-59,999

What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with movement of familiar objects at stated distances .......... SAME AS ORDINARY 4/4

Give duration of any stationary phases ........... NONE

Describe any deviations or manoeuvres .......... STRAIGHT FLYING

Describe any trail or exhaust, vapour or light seen ........... NONE

Where was object last observed?
(a) relative to your surroundings ........ FLYING N.W.
(b) angle of elevation .................. ABOUT 45°
(c) compass angle bearing \(300^\circ - 320^\circ\) DISAPPEARED FROM VIEW

24. What was the manner of its disappearance? $$\text{NO.}$$

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)? $$\text{NO.}$$

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity $$\text{NO.}$$

27. How many witnesses to the sighting? $$\text{ONLY S.E.L.F.}$$

28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? $$\text{NO.}$$

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? $$\text{NO. ENGINE. NO. I.E.}$$

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation? $$\text{SAME AS A STAR.}$$

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed. 

Signature .................................................. Date ..................................................
Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:
   A/C type: (1) (2) (3)
   Heading: T T T
   Height: 0000ft 0000ft 0000ft
   Speed: K K K
   ATD/ATA: hrs hrs hrs

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:
   A/C type: F.27 (1) (2) (3)
   Heading: N.W. T T T
   Height: 141 0000ft 0000ft 0000ft
   Speed: 2.5 K K K
   ATD/ATA: hrs hrs hrs

*Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer’s Location: Latitude: 29° 19’S. Longitude: 44° 44’E

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only. Jupiter, high in Eastern sky...10°.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from Too Late. FOR 0315K Balloon at 17 Colour Weight gm
   Radio-sonde: ‘/radar’: Candle”/battery” (** delete as required)
   General wind profile
   Maximum height tracked 0000ft at Z

Was it known to have burst? Yes

A/L 28
AS 3/1/5
Annex A
1 Apr 70

RESTRICTED

/37.
37. The first significant temperature inversion was of
........................ 3°C at ........................ ft, measured at ........................ hrs from .............................. station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting.

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating ....N/A..............

40. The cause (or likely cause of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably was/may have been* (Delete as required)

HQTVL (Unit) W. G. CANNIFFE (Name)
7 APR 72 (Date) FLYING OFFICER (Rank)

A/L28 ASI 3/A/5 Annex A 1 Apr 70
On the morning of Sunday 26 July between 0500 and 0530 I noticed one aircraft passing over in a north-west direction. I also noticed a white light which I took to be an aircraft flying at about 2,000 feet in a north-west direction. I could not hear any engines noise only the faint light moving across the sky.

At the time I was on point of Camp 4/4 facing towards the civil airport. The light came from the south-east and I watched it for nearly ten minutes until it dropped in the distance.

[Signature] 
Watchman
DEPARTMENT OF AIR

MINUTE PAPER
(This side only to be written on)

Subject: REPLIES TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC RE UFO REPORTS

The enclosed pro formas which are replies to UFO sighting reports at folio 1, 4, 5 and 7 have been prepared for your signature and release.

C.R. Hickey
Sqn Ldr for DAFI

26 Apr 72
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly a meteorological balloon, launched from RAF base Fairbridge.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was **possibly a meteor with an ionized tail**.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was...

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 90 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was identified at this time.

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
GENERAL STATEMENT IN RELATION TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was possibly a light... Aircraft landing...

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1965 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:

a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
c. three percent were unidentified.

US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
1. Your report on the sighting of an Unusual Flying Object (UFO) has been investigated and the object was...

2. You may be interested in the investigation of reports in Australia, the United States and the United Kingdom and the following information provides a brief outline of the subject.

3. The investigation of reports of UFOs in Australia is carried out by the Royal Australian Air Force, Directorate of Air Force Intelligence, at the Department of Air in Canberra. A considerable amount of effort is spent in investigating each report and the majority of observers are interviewed by selected RAAF personnel.

4. Between 23 January 60 and 26 May 71, the RAAF received 572 UFO reports. Department of Air has assessed that 93 percent were explainable by present scientific knowledge. Six percent of the reports did not provide sufficient information to permit proper analysis and evaluation. One percent of the reports were attributed to unknown causes.

5. The United Kingdom Air Ministry has stated that on an average, 90 percent of the sightings it investigates are explained by causes ranging from meteorological balloons and meteors to aircraft lights. The other 10 percent probably remain unexplained because of lack of reliable information.

6. In 1966 the University of Colorado, under contract to the USAF, spent two years processing and investigating all American reports. Its findings have been published by Bantam Books as 'The Condon Report'. Its general conclusion is that 'nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge' and that 'further extensive study of UFOs probably cannot be justified in the expectation that science will be advanced thereby'. A panel of eminent scientists, chosen by the US National Academy of Sciences, has examined and endorsed the Condon Report.
7. The USAF 'Project Blue Book' investigation of unidentified aerial objects between 1953 and 1969 analysed 7,641 UFO reports. It concluded that:
   a. 80 percent of sightings were natural phenomena, hoaxes, birds or man-made objects;
   b. 17 percent of sightings provided insufficient data to permit thorough analysis and evaluation; and
   c. three percent were unidentified.

8. US and Soviet space exploration has found no evidence to support the theory of life on planets in our solar system. It seems that the Mariner series of space exploration to Mars has proved it a 'dead' planet. The only other source of extra-terrestrial life, then, would have to be in another 'solar system'. It would seem, therefore, that whilst it may be possible for extra-terrestrial life forms to visit Earth, it is improbable.

Department of Air
CANBERRA
UNCLASSIFIED FOR SO INTEL AND DAF/PD UFO SIGHTING ALFA COMM LILY
MAY AND ETHEL MARSHELL MITTAGONG STATION SIGHTED SILVER POLE-LIKE
OBJECT MOVING VERT DOWN AT 1432K 19 APR 72 PD BRAVO COMM CONSTABLE
SEXTON INGHAM POLICE SIGHTED METEORITE-LIKE OBJECT STRIKING EARTH
AND FOLLOWED BY EXPLOSION 5 MINS LATE SAME TIME AS ALFA PD BEING
INVESTIGATED
Enclosed are reports on unusual aerial sightings by the undermentioned:

a. Mr. P. JEFREMOV
   141 Atherton Street,
   DOWHER ACT

b. Mr. B.D. LEE
   20 Cleveland Terrace,
   Melton Hill
   TOWNSVILLE QLD

(R.S. BLACK)
Squadron Leader
for Air Officer Commanding

Enclosures: 1. Report by Mr. JEFREMOV
             2. Report by Mr. LEE

10. What first attracted observer's attention? ............ Reasonably
    SIZE AND BRIGHTNESS

11. If there was more than one light/object:
    a. How many were there? ...........................................
    b. In what formation were they? ............................... N/A

12. What was the colour of the light or object? .......... ORANGE

RESTRICTED
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING
Part I - Report by Observer

As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.

1. Name of observer: Mr. Peter Zefremov
   Age: 46

2. Address of observer:
   Downer, Canberra, A.C.T.
   Phone: N.C.

3. Occupation of observer:
   Engineer (Dept. Navy)

4. Date and time of start of observation:
   MAR. 12
   1850-1952

5. Duration of observation:
   AT LEAST 10 MIN.

6. Observer's location at time of sighting:
   Mosman Town
   N.S.W.

7. How familiar is observer with this locality?
   Moderately

8. Weather conditions at time of observation:
   Clear skies, nil weather

9. Where was the object first observed:
   a. Relative to your surroundings:
      291° RAPIDT (OVER MONEGRO RIVER)
   b. Angle of elevation:
      15° APPROX
   c. Compass angle bearing:
      291° T
   d. How accurate are these estimates:
      Reasonably

10. What first attracted observer's attention:
    SIZE AND BRILLIANCE

11. If there was more than one light/object:
    a. How many were there:
    4-10
    b. In what formation were they:
    4-10

12. What was the colour of the light or object:
    Orange
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg, ordinary street light at 100 yards)..........

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
   a. relative to Venus or Moon..............
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length
      (about 20 inches from the eyes)...........

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity.

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar object at stated distances.

20. Give duration of any stationary phases. All Time

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres.

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen.

23. Where was object last observed?
   a. relative to your surroundings... Hills to Left of Hoovero
   b. angle of elevation........... DISAPPEARED
   c. compass angle bearing...... 282° T.

24. What was the manner of its disappearance?

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)?

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

27. How many witnesses to the sighting:

   RESTRICTED
Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA*</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
<td>000ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA*</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

34. Observer's Location: Latitude: 35°.25' Longitude: 149°.27'

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

36. A meteorological balloon was released from Fairbaian at 1115 Z. Colour: WHITE. Weight: 100gm

Radio-sonde**/radar** : Candle**/battery**(**delete as required)

General wind profile: 8-47 kts W-WNW

Maximum height tracked: 20,000ft at 13 Z

Was it known to have burst? NO

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of 20°C at NIL, measured at 2 Z hrs from station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc relevant to the sighting

N/L

/39. When
39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

N/A

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting can/cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably was/may have been* (delete as required)

MET. BALLOON LAUNCHED FROM FAIRBAIN.

ENCL: a. MAP OF AREA
       b. NEWS CUTTING

5th May 72  (Date) FLC OFF

1. Met office at Fairbairn state that the 100 g. balloon can, at times, appear to be a dull flickering orange colour as the attached light passes behind the balloon relative to the observer. The balloon usually tumbles while in flight.

2. The winds tracked through the balloon would be in such a direction as to cause the balloon to head toward the observer's location.

3. The observer gives the impression of being very sincere in his sighting and accurate in his facts, although not too certain of the exact time of sighting. He lives in the area at weekends and says he has seen nothing like it before.

4. He reported the sighting to the local press and the resultant news item is enclosed.
1:50,000

BUNGENDORE
AUSTRALIA.
### REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

#### Part 1 - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Name of observer: A. L. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Address of observer: A.P.C. Head. Post.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>How certain is the date?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>How accurate is the time of start?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Duration of observation: 3-5 hrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>How familiar is observer with this locality?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation: Only the eye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Where was object first observed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Relative to your surroundings: Due north.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Angle of elevation: Above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>Compass angle bearing: North.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>How accurate are these estimates?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>What first attracted observer's attention?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

A/L 28
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11. If there was more than one light/object:
   (a) how many were there? ........................................
   (b) in what formation were they? ..............................

12. What was the colour of the light or object? ................

13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light
    source at a stated distance, eg, ordinary street light at 100 yards)
    ..............................................................................

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
    (a) relative to Venus or Moon .................................
    (b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches
        from the eyes) ......................................................

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and
    how were these values assessed? ..............................

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? ....................

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity
    ..............................................................................

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height,
    if an estimate can be justified)? ..............................

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if
    an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with movement
    of familiar objects at stated distances .........................

20. Give duration of any stationary phases ........................

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres ........................

22. Describe any trail or exhaust, vapour or light seen ........

23. Where was object last observed?
    (a) relative to your surroundings ...............................
    (b) angle of elevation ...................................................

A/L 28
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(c) compass angle bearing ...

24. What was the manner of its disappearance? Pulled then blacked out...

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)? No...

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

27. How many witnesses to the sighting? More...

28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation? No idea...

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Signature Date

A/L 28
ABI 3/A/5
Annex A
1 Apr 70
Course was smooth save last quarter.

Course - looking from below.
### Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

#### The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T,000ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T,000ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T,000ft</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>ATD/ATA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

#### Observer's Location: Latitude 19° 16'S Longitude 146° 46'E

#### Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

- **VENUS LOW ON HORIZON 15°**
- **MARS 30°**
- **SATURN 40°**

#### A meteorological balloon was released from.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>gm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Radio-sonde**/radar**; Candle**/battery**(** delete as required)
- General wind profile
- Maximum height tracked T,000ft at Z
- Was it known to have burst?
37. The first significant temperature inversion was of 0°C at .................. ft, measured at .................. hrs from ......................... station.

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting.

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

40. The cause (or likely cause of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported probably may have been (Delete as required).

...Meteors...With...Ignited...Train...

HOTUL....................................(Unit) W. G. CANNIFFE.........(Name)
29 MAR 72..........................(Date) F/LC ORR...........................(Rank)

The Moonwatcher Group confirm that no satellites were visible at that time but suggested that the answer to para 41 as a remote possibility,
UNCLAS A162 FOR DAFI AND SO INTELL PD UFO SIGHTING REPORT PD ALFA
PD MR J LESTER 3 BYRNE ST MAREEBA AT 2115H 25MAR72 SIGHTED IRREGULAR
MOVING BRIGHT STAR PD BRAVO MR E F EDWARDS WATCHMAN RAAF TVL AT
0520H 25MAR72 SIGHTED WHITE LIGHT HIGH IN SKY
UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

1. Enclosed is a report on an unusual aerial sighting by the undermentioned:

MR. F.W. SMART
25/23 Queens Road
BRIGHTON LE SABLES
NSW 2216

Squadron Leader
for Air Officer Commanding

What wind was blowing? GUSTY
What was the approximate temperature? 65 F
Describe any aids or equipment used in the observation. (Binoculars, compass, etc.) NONE
# REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

**Part 1 - Report by Observer**

The Observer is invited to complete this form in his or her own manner, using the back of the form if necessary for additional information and sketches.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Name of observer: M.R. L.W. SMART... Age: 46.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Address of observer: 25/27 Queen's Road... H/IGHTON-LE-SANDS. M.SW. 7216...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (Business) 660 - 0516... Ext. 311...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Home)...........................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Occupation of observer: TECHNICAL OFFICER...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Date and time of start of observation: 5th March 1972... 19:35...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>How certain in the date? 100.0%...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>How accurate is the time that you first sighted the sighting? +/- 2 MINUTES...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>For how long did you observe this sighting? 15-20 SECONDS...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>How accurate is your estimate? GOOD...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Observer's location at the time of sighting: AT HOME...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>How familiar are you with this locality? 18 MONTHS RESIDENCE...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>What were the weather conditions at the time of observation? How much cloud? 10/10... INTERMITTENT RAIN...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Where was the moon? NOT VISIBLE...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>What wind was blowing? GUSTY...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>What was the approximate temperature? 45°F...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Describe any aids or equipment used in the observation (binoculars, compass, etc). NONE...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Where was the object first observed?
   Relative to your surroundings: APPEARED TO
   HAVE COME FROM BEHIND
   How high above the horizon? ELEVATION APPX. 60°
   Direction or compass bearing? HEADING DUE WEST
   How accurate are your estimates? REASONABLE

10. What first attracted your attention? BRIGHT, WHITE
    LIGHT BELOW CLUMP, BASE, MOVING AWAY
    FROM W.E. IN A STRAIGHT LINE

11. If there was more than one light or object:
    How many? ........................................
    In what formation were they? ...............
    Were they all seen at the same time? ....

12. What was the colour of the light or object? WHITE
    Did the colour change? ............... NO
    Did the brightness change? ........... NO

13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon,
    bright star, or streetlight at a stated distance)
    AS BRIGHT AS VENUS, WHEN LOW ON HORIZON

14. What was the apparent size of the object at its
    nearest approach?
    Compared to the moon... VERY SMALL
    Compared to a star... CONCEALABLE
    Dimensions in inches compared to your fist held at
    arms length.......

15. What was its bearing and elevation angles at its
    nearest approach to you and how were these values
    assessed? DIRECTLY IN FRONT... RF... NE AT
    AN ELEVATION... RF... 60° (ESTIMATED)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Was any method of propulsion obvious?</td>
<td>N.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity</td>
<td>N.O. SOUNDF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed if an estimate can be justified. If necessary compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances)</td>
<td>S.P.E.E.D. ESTIMATED AT 200-250 KTS APPEARED TO SLOW AND THEN ACCELERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give the duration of the time when the object was stationary</td>
<td>CONTINUOUSLY MOVING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe any deviations or manoeuvres</td>
<td>N.O.M.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen</td>
<td>N.A.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where was the object last seen?</td>
<td>N.W. NORTHERNLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative to your surroundings?</td>
<td>N.E. WEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of elevation (height)?</td>
<td>5000-5000 FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compass bearing (or direction)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What was the manner of it's disappearance?</td>
<td>DISAPPEARED INTO MUSKY WEATHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorched, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc)?</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
26. State any training which enables the observer to be reasonably certain of his or her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity...SERV'D...WITH...
   A.T.C.:.....H..U.K.

27. Do you know of any other witnesses to the sighting? No.
   Name:................................................................
   Address:................................................................
   (if any more place names and addresses on back of
   this Form)

28. What natural phenomenon or human activity would be
   nearest to explaining your observation? (star, meteor,
   lightning, aircraft, rocket, searchlight, weather balloon
   etc)?..AIRCRAFT.(?1)...........................................

29. Do you think the above explanation is satisfactory? No...
   If not, why?..NO...ENGINE...NOISE...NO
   NAVIGATION...LIGHTS..................................

30. Please sketch the light or object with all details. If
   appropriate, sketch the position and/or movement of the
   object in relation to your surroundings. Use the back
   of the form if needed.

Signature of observer:....................................
Name (please print) : PETER..WILLIAM..SMART........
Date:........9./3/72.............
N

MASCOT
AIRPORT

BAY ST.

OBSEV. FACING WEST.

QUEEN'S ROAD.

OBSEV. PATH TAKEN BY OBSEV.
PART 2 - UNIT EVALUATION

32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

- Aircraft type: N/A
- Heading: T
- Height: 000 ft
- Speed: K

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

- Aircraft type: Numerous
- Heading: T
- Height: 000 ft
- Speed: K

34. Calculations show that the following planets or major stars were in that portion of the sky at the time:

- Overcast

35. A meteorological balloon was released from Z and could have been in the reported UFO position at the time.

36. The State Observatory in the nearest capital city affirms that aerial phenomena in that portion of the sky at the time were

- (comet, meteorite shower, etc)

37. Any other relevant remarks (e.g., about satellites, rockets, research balloons):

- N/A

38. There is conclusive evidence that the object reported was:

- Civil aircraft approaching moment

39. The object reported could have been:

- 

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

[Signatures and dates]

RESTRICTED
UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

1. Enclosed is a report on an unusual aerial sighting by the undermentioned:-

   Miss A.J. HOBBS
   Post Office
   LUCINDA QUEENSLAND 4853

2. It is noted that Headquarters Townsville have not offered any possible cause for the sighting. It is probable that the observer sighted a combination of VENUS and SATELLITES 1969/65A 1969/65B.

    Squadron Leader
    for Air Officer Commanding

Enclosures: 1. Report on Unusual Aerial Sighting
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part 1 - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form)

1. Name of observer: Max. A. J. Hobbs
2. Address of observer: P.O. DEPOT
3. Occupation of observer: Postmistress
4. Date and time of start of observation: 29/1/71, 8.45 P.M.

5. How certain is the date? APPROXIMATE
6. How accurate is the time of start? APPROXIMATE
7. Observer's location at time of sighting: BACK VERANDAH

8. How familiar is observer with this locality? HAS BEEN MY HOME
9. Weather conditions at time of observation: CLEAR, SNOWY

10. Where was object first observed?
   (a) Relative to your surroundings: U.S.
   (b) Angle of elevation: 45°
   (c) Compass angle bearing: APPROXIMATE
   (d) How accurate are these estimates? APPROXIMATE
   (e) What first attracted observer's attention? LIGHT...PRINT...OUT...MY...UNCLE.

Mr. S. Herron, Of Salvation Army, Glenroa Road, Red Hill, Brisbane.
11. If there was more than one light/object:
   (a) how many were there? 
   (b) in what formation were they?
12. What was the colour of the light or object?
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, e.g., ordinary street light at 100 yards)
14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
   (a) relative to Venus or Moon
   (b) relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes)
15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?
16. Was any method of propulsion obvious?
17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity
18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?
19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with movement of familiar objects at stated distances
20. Give duration of any stationary phases
21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres
22. Describe any trail or exhaust, vapour or light seen
23. Where was object last observed?
   (a) relative to your surroundings
   (b) angle of elevation

A/L 28
ASI 3/4/5
Annex A
1 Apr 70
24. What was the manner of its disappearance?  **SLOWING AWAY, QUICKLY.**

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity, etc)?

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity

27. How many witnesses to the sighting?  **FIVE.**

28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?  **UNEXPLAINABLE.**

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Signature  **A.L. Heide**  Date  **9-2-1970**
### Part 2 - Unit Evaluation

#### 32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0000ft</td>
<td>0000ft</td>
<td>0000ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/C type</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heading</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0000ft</td>
<td>0000ft</td>
<td>0000ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATD/ATA</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.

#### 34. Observer's Location:

- **Latitude:**
- **Longitude:**

#### 35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.

#### 36. A meteorological balloon was released from:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>at</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>g/m</th>
<th>Radio-sonde/radar: Candle/battery: (** delete as required)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Maximum height tracked: 0000ft at Z
- Was it known to have burst?

---

A/L 28
ASI 3/A/5
Annex A
1 Apr 70

---

RESTRICTED
The first significant temperature inversion was of _____________°C at ______________ft, measured at ______________station.

Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting.

When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

The cause (or likely cause of the sighting cannot be determined.

The object reported probably was/may have been (Delete as required)

UNK

HOTUL    (Unit) W. G. CANNIFFE   (Name)

3 MAR 72    (Date)   FIOFF    (Rank)

A/L28
ASI 3/4/5
Annex A
7 Apr 70

RESTRICTED

The attached amplifying comments by the observer defy explanation at this stage though the position of Venus is such as to cause some comment by the casual observer, though hardly the case in this instance.
This light was seen three nights in succession. The first two nights, following a similar pattern appearing west south west of my home and moving up and down, and from side to side gradually moving away in a south westerly direction, with an exception that on the second night we saw a pale red or pink light at the bottom of the larger bright light.

On the third night the light hovered more or less in the same area for about twenty minutes. During this time I was able to borrow a pair of binoculars which gave us a clearer view of the object which seemed crystal shaped with a long narrow shadow on the right hand side reaching nearly to the centre of the light. The shadow later moved more to the top of the light but, still on the right hand side.

At intervals only, a smaller round light appeared from behind the larger light on the bottom left hand corner, moving away from and then returning towards the larger light, sometimes dissapearing completely behind the larger light, moving much faster than the larger light.

This smaller light was a bright pink in colour and the size of the smaller light was like a ping-pong ball beside a football in comparison with the larger light.

After about twenty minutes, the larger yellow light moved away in a similar pattern as the two previous nights, leaving no trace of the smaller pink light.
UNCLASS A158 FOR SO INTELL AND DAFI PD UFO SIGHTING CUM MR B LEE AND T CAMPBELL 20 CLEVELAND TCE TVL SIGHTED BRIGHT ORANGE LIGHT HEADING DUE STH AT 151945K MAR 72
RESTRIC TED A1037 REPORTED UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING
BY MR PETER JEFREM O V 141 AUTHERTON ST DOWNER ACT PD APPROX 20.50K
11MAR72 OBSERVED BRIGHT ORANGE MOON SHAPED OBJECT OVER CANBERRA PD
OBJECT OBSERVED FOR SEVERAL MINUTES PD MRS JEFREM O WITNESS
TO SIGHTING PD REPORTED FAIRBAIRN 0945K 13MAR72 PD
REPORT WILL FOLLOW
REPORTS ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

1. Attached are reports by Mr R.A. Powell and Miss J. Pennella concerning an unusual aerial object sighted in the East Doncaster-Lower Templestowe area on 9th February 1972.

2. The two reports have been processed jointly because of proximity in time and location, and may possibly be explained as aircraft movements.

(W.C. Keitz)
Wing Commander
For Air Officer Commanding

Enclosures: 2 Reports
REPORT ON UNUSUAL AERIAL SIGHTING

Part I - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

1. Name of observer.................................................Age 27..............
   Regard: Alan Powell

2. Address of observer..............................................Phone:
   16 Beverley Street, East Dardanup, Vic.

3. Occupation of observer..............................Clerk

4. Date and time of start of observation...............9th Feb. 1973...11:20 AM
   How certain is the date?..................................................Perfect
   How accurate is the time of start?..........................Within 5 minutes either way
   Duration of observation........................................30 minutes (approx.)
   How accurate is your estimate?........................................Can be up to 45 minutes

5. Observer's location at time of sighting.............Standing in front garden
   If my own home at the above address?

6. How familiar is observer with this locality?......Lived here 2 years

7. Weather conditions at time of observation........Calm night, clear
   No clouds obstructed the view of the object

8. Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation...None

9. Where was object first observed?
   a. Relative to your surroundings..............................To the North
   b. Angle of elevation........................................40 - 45° from horizon
   c. Compass angle bearing..............................North, possibly up to 10° to the west from
   d. How accurate are these estimates?......................See next page

10. What first attracted observer's attention............Another person in my company was it approached, be close

11. If there was more than one light/object:..............One only
   a. How many were there?..............................M.A.
   b. In what formation were they?......................M.A.

12. What was the colour of the light or object?...........Intensely yellow, orange colour
What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg. ordinary street light at 100 yards). Not so bright as a full moon; a dull light.

What was the apparent size at nearest approach?

a. relative to Venus or Moon: As large as largest visible star
b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes): 3/4" - 1/4" diameter.

What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed? Object passed almost overhead on straight line.

Was any method of propulsion obvious? No.

Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity. No sound heard at all.

What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)? 65° maximum, 30° minimum.

What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances. See back page.

Give duration of any stationary phases. None. Object moved rapidly.

Describe any deviations or manoeuvres. None. Object travelled in straight line.

Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen. See back page.

Where was object last observed:

a. relative to your surroundings: Beyond and above my house.

b. angle of elevation: Approximately 30° from horizontal.

c. compass angle bearing: Up to 10° east of due south.

What was the manner of its disappearance? Lead path due to distance.

Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc.)? No.

State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity. None.

How many witness to the sighting? Only people including myself.
26. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area?... No...

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomena) would be nearest to explaining your observation?...

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation?... Have never observed any things of this nature...

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

Object was a blue diamond shape... 0

[Sketch of object with details and movement]

Answer to questions:

9.a) Angle of elevation was estimated with a protractor and ruler from memory (on receipt of this report form on 11/12/12) Computed angle being was estimated from a real map of the area.

18/19 Object was first observed over road in front of home, it was visible until it disappeared from view, in the distance. It is difficult to state any speed except to say that it moved faster than a plane (which I have observed traveling) at turbulence from the descent area - 200 feet overhead. P.T.O.

Signature... [signature] Date... 15. 2. 72
The object did not ascend or descend, but kept to a straight path at a constant speed.

I have observed satellites passing at night, and in comparison, the object appeared faster, due to its size, and moved much faster.

Because of its speed, object appeared to leave a short trail of light, though I consider the trail to be an illusion. I am quite certain that I did not see any vapour, or emission in the nature of a sky rocket (firework) trail.
### Report on UFO Sighting

#### Part I - Report by Observer

(As a preliminary to completing this form, the observer is invited to describe the sighting in his or her own manner, using either separate sheets or the back of this form.)

1. **Name of observer:** Jane Penney
   - **Age:** 12
2. **Address of observer:** 37. Olympus Drive
   - Lower Templestowe 3107
   - Phone: 848-3369
3. **Occupation of observer:** Student
4. **Date and time of start of observation:** 9th. February 9:30 PM
5. **How certain is the date?** Certain
6. **How accurate is the time of start?** Exact.
7. **Duration of observation:** No longer than 1 minute
8. **How accurate is your estimate?** Good
9. **Observer's location at time of sighting:** 37. Olympus Drive
   - Lower Templestowe 3107
10. **How familiar is observer with this locality?** My permanent address.
11. **Weather conditions at time of observation:** Hot evening, calm, clear.
12. **Describe any aids/equipment used in the observation:** No.
13. **Where was object first observed?**
   - **a.** Relative to your surroundings: Almost overhead.
   - **b.** Angle of elevation: 90 degrees.
   - **c.** Compass angle bearing: East.
   - **d.** How accurate are these estimates? Good.
14. **What first attracted observer's attention?** The movement of the star.
15. **If there was more than one light/object:**
   - **a.** How many were there?
   - **b.** In what formation were they?
16. **What was the colour of the light or object?** Seems light as star.
13. What was the brightness? (compare with full moon or common light source at a stated distance, eg ordinary street light at 100 yards). same brightness as medium bright star.

14. What was the apparent size at nearest approach:
   a. relative to Venus or Moon.
   b. relative dimensions in inches at arm's length (about 20 inches from the eyes).

15. What were the bearing and elevation angles at nearest approach and how were these values assessed?

16. Was any method of propulsion obvious? no

17. Describe any sound heard, including changes in pitch and intensity no sound was heard.

18. What was the maximum and minimum angle of elevation (or height, if an estimate can be justified)?

19. What was the maximum and minimum angular velocity (or speed, if an estimate can be justified)? If necessary, compare with the movement of familiar objects at stated distances.

20. Give duration of any stationary phases. didn't stop.

21. Describe any deviations or manoeuvres. moved in a line or a steady speed.

22. Describe any trail of exhaust, vapour or light seen.

23. Where was object last observed:
   a. relative to your surroundings. past Orion.
   b. angle of elevation.
   c. compass angle bearing. South to North.

24. What was the manner of its disappearance? passed our at sight behind building.

25. Do you know of any associated physical evidence (such as fragments, photographs, scorching, ground indentations, residual magnetism or radioactivity etc).

26. State any training which enables observer to be reasonably certain of his/her ability to estimate angles and angular velocity.

27. How many witnesses to the sighting? 2: mum and dad.
28. Do you know of witnesses to similar sightings in the area? **no**

29. What natural phenomenon (or phenomenon) would be nearest to explaining your observation? **satellite**

30. What are your main reasons for excluding this explanation? .................................................................

31. Please sketch the light/object with all details. If appropriate, sketch position and/or movement of object in relation to your surroundings. Use back of form, if needed.

[Diagram of light path with labeled positions]
32. The following military aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:  

A/C type...........................(1)...........................(2)...........................(3)  
Heading................................T.................................T.................................T  
Height................................000 ft..........................000 ft..........................000 ft  
Speed................................K.................................K.................................K  
ATD/ATA*..............................hrs..............................hrs..............................hrs  

33. The following civil aircraft were in the vicinity of the reported UFO position at the time:  

A/C type...........................(1)...........................(2)...........................(3)  
Heading................................T.................................T.................................T  
Height................................000 ft..........................000 ft..........................000 ft  
Speed................................K.................................K.................................K  
ATD/ATA*..............................hrs..............................hrs..............................hrs  

* Give location of nearest ATD or ATA.  

34. Observer's Location:  
Latitude: 3743479  
Longitude: 1445808E  

35. Give bearing and elevation of any planets and major stars that were in that portion of the sky at that time. By day, give location of Venus only.  

36. A meteorological balloon was released from Laverton at 1930Z. Colour: White. Weight: gm  
Radio-RADAR**/radar **/ **/ **/ **/ (**delete as required)  
General wind profile:  
Maximum height tracked:  
Was it known to have burst? Unknown  

37. The first significant temperature inversion was of Nil °C at  

38. Any remarks on satellites, rockets, research balloons, comets, meteorites etc. relevant to the sighting: Nil satellites predicted  

39. When an aircraft is a possible identification, include radar trace
if available, and ascertain which of the aircraft lights were operating.

40. The cause (or likely cause) of the sighting cannot be determined.

41. The object reported may have been (delete as required)

42. **Tullamarine** The following air moves were advised:

   a. DC3 departed R/W 26 2115
   b. 727 arrived R/W 26 2124 from Sydney
   c. Electra arrived R/W 26 2310 from NZ
   d. 747 arrived R/W 26 2327 from Sydney
   e. DC9 departed R/W 26 2330 for Sydney

   **Moorabbin** Checked with ATC Moorabbin who advised that the East Doncaster and Lower Templestowe areas are near the Moorabbin CTZ. It was also advised that no light aircraft were in the vicinity at the times quoted (but see below). The controller stated that the two localities above are in the approach path for both Essendon and Tullamarine.

   **Essendon** Following aircraft movements were reported by ATC:

   a. PZK Piper ex Moorabbin landed R/W 35 2125
   b. BFL Aero Commander landed R/W 35 2322
   c. PZK for Swan Hill departed R/W 26 2147
   d. SJQ Bristol Freighter departed R/W 26 2144

   **Observatory** Could offer no help.
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