

email@cufon.org

Dale Goudie -- Information Director, Jim Klotz -- SYSOP, Chris Lambright -- Webmaster

Adobe Portable Document Format Version of Some documents related to Lawsuit between the United States of America, Defendant, and Betty Cash, Vicki Landrum, and Colby Landrum Plaintiffs

PDF version created and posted 10-June-2002.

This .PDF file contains some of the documents which were released to us by the US Air Force in July, 1993. The documents in this file are related in some way to the lawsuit filed by Peter Gersten on behalf of the three witnesses, filed in the Southern District Federal Court.

Also see these related files available on CUFON: <u>Transcript</u> of interview conducted at the Bergstrom Air Force Base Law Library Building 2102, 17 August 1981, between Betty Cash, Vicki Landrum, Colby Landrum and representatives of the United States Air Force in the persons of Captain John Camp, Acting Staff Judge Advocate, Captain Terry Davis, Claims Officer, and Miss Pat Wolf, Assistant Claims Officer. And a <u>.PDF file</u> of assorted documents related to the claims for damages submitted by Betty, Vickie and Colby.

The Cash - Landrum case is undisputedly one of the classic UFO sighting/physical trace cases. It's importance comes from its dramatic nature and because of the traces left behind by the unknown object in the form of negative effects, (apparently from radiation) on the three witnesses and their car. SOMETHING real caused the terrible effects. And it is sure that Betty, Vickie and Colby's suffering was real. Also important also is the witnesses' report of many military helicopters in the immediate vicinity of the unknown object and the official denials of any government knowledge of the incident.

We note with sadness the passing of Betty Cash, a brave lady. Betty suffered illness after illness causing repeated hospitalization following the UFO encounter, eventually developing cancer. She suffered a stroke in 1998 and passed December 29, 2001.

- Jim Klotz

CUFON SYSOP

Adobe Acrobat ® Software underwritten by: Roderick Dyke Archives for UFO Research, News and Information Services



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

WASHINGTON DC 20330-1000



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1 3 JUL 1993

SAF/AAIS (FOIA) 1620 Air Force Pentagon Washington DC 20330-1620

Dale Goudie ADDRESS REMOVED BY CUFON

Dear Mr. Goudie

We are attaching documents responsive to your undated Freedom of Information Act request addressed to the 67 MSSQ/MSIRF. We received it on December 21, 1992.

Some of the documents you requested are exempt from disclosure because they contain information that if disclosed to the public, would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Other records are exempt because they consists of deliberative process advice, opinions, recommendations and attorney work product.

The authority for these exemptions are in the United States Code, Title 5, Sections 552 (b)(5) and (b)(6) and Air Force Regulation 4-33, paragraphs 15e and 15f.

The denial authority in this instance is Richard A. Peterson, Acting Chief, General Law Division, Office of the Judge Advocate General.

Should you decide that an appeal to this decision is necessary, you must write to the Secretary of the Air Force within 60 calendar days from the date of this letter. Include in the appeal your reason for reconsideration, and attach a copy of this letter. Address your letter as follows:

> Secretary of the Air Force THRU: SAF/AAIS (FOIA) 1620 Air Force Pentagon Washington DC 20330-1620

We also surfaced other records responsive to your request. We do not have the authority to deny or release them. We forwarded your request and the records to the following Air Force activities and government agencies. They will reply directly to you.

> United States Department of Justice FOIA/PA Section Room B-37 Justice Management Division Washington DC 20530

United States Army FOIA/PA Division USAISC-P (ASQNS-OP-F) Crystal Square 2 Suite 201 1725 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington VA 22202

Department of the Navy Chief of Naval Operations N09B30, Pentagon, Room 5E521 Washington, DC 20350-2000

David Grant Medical Center/SGASD (FOIA) 101 Bodin Circle Travis AFB CA 94535-1800

67 MSSQ/MSIRF (FOIA) Building 706 Bergstrom AFB TX 78743-5000

Sincerely PRICE CAROL VN

Freedom of Information Manager

1 Attachment: Releasable records

92-1773

UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOURT

BETTY CASH, VICKI LANDRUM, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM OF COLBY LANDRUM

Plaintiffs,

Interrogatories Number H-84-348

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Defendant.

Plaintiffs, by the undersigned counsel, require that the defendant, through its officers and agents, answer the following Interrogatories in writing, under oath and so otherwise directed by Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The right to propound and serve additional Interrogatories is expressly reserved.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

A. Plaintiffs require that all responses or any portion thereof given upon information and belief should be clearly so indicated, together with a statement identifying the source of such information and/or furnishing the grounds for such belief.

As used herein, "document" includes в. raw data, research data, interview reports, books, records, correspondence, telegrams, interview notes, tabulations, compilations, charts, surveys, appraisals, work sheets, 6(b) and other reports, letters, correspondence, notes, pamphlets, leaflets, diaries, telegrams, desk calendars, appointment logs, memoranda of oral conferences, memoranda of conversations, memoranda of meetings, memoranda of telephone calls, Minutes and all transcriptions or reproductions by any means thereof, together with all drafts of any written document, and all other documentary material of any nature whether written, printed, typed, recorded, or other graphic matter, however produced or reproduced in dependant's possession, custody or control, from whatever source obtained and whether or not prepared by defendants. "Document" also includes all records showing the identites of organizations, consultants, scientific and technical personnel who conducted and collected or assembled data or participated in any manner in the preparation of studies, reports, surveys, appraisals or evaluations. "Document" shall refer to originals and all non-identical copies, except that copies which differ by reason of notations made thereon are not considered identical copies.

C. When asked to "identify" a natural person(s) or local entity, or governmental agency, or component of a governmental agency, set forth, unless otherwise requested or previously stated, the full name, residence and military affiliation, including military title and/or position, if any, of such natural person(s), or in the case of a legal entity, its correct title, address, the nature of its principal business and legal basis for its existence, or in the case of a governmental agency, the full name and business address of said agency, and if a component part of said agency is referred to, the name and business address of said

When asked to "identify" a document set forth, unless otherwise requested, the title of the document, its particular nature and date, the identity of its author, the identity of its intended recipient, the identity and location of its present custodian, the file number or other identifying code, the security classification, retrieval data codes: number of copies made: and a description of how the document is stored and retrieved.

D. When asked to "describe", set forth, in addition to the events, acts, occurrences and/or written communications, all oral communications, conferences, meetings or discussions, together with a description as to the date, place, identity (as required by "C" above) of persons present, a brief statement as to what was said or transpired and the identification as required by "C" above, of all documents containing information or prepared on the basis of such oral communications.

E. When asked to "explain", set forth, in addition to its usual meaning all reasons, purposes, causes, surrounding events and circumstances, prior to and subsequent to, as well as during, either the incident which is the subject matter of the question or the document being discussed.

INTERROGATORIES

1. What is a "CH-47" helicopter.

 Identify the manufacturer(s) of CH-47 helicopters.

3. State the number of CH-47 helicopters in operation in December 1980.

4. State the distribution and location of all CH-47 helicopters in operation in December 1980.

5. State the performance capability of CH-47 helicopters including, but not limited to range and fuel capacity.

6. State the number of personnel required_to operate a CH-47 helicopter, and the duty and responsibility of each.

7. Identify all personnel qualified to operate CH-47 helicopters prior to January 1981.

8. State the flight plans for all CH-47 helicopters on December 28-31, 1980.

9. State whether the maintenance records of all CH-47 helicopters in operation and use in December 28-31, 1980 are available. personnel qualified to operate CH-4/ hel copters prior to

11. State whether any of the following agencies of the defendant have any information, knowledge, or documents concerning the incident referred to in plaintiffs' amended complaint:

a. Department of Energy's Nevada Operations Office.

b. Air Force Inspection and Safety Center (AFISC).

c. The Army agency responsible for avaition

safety.

- d. Aerospace Rescue and Recovery Service (ARRS).
- e. Secretary of Defense.
- f. Joint Chiefs of Staff.
- g. National Military Command Center (NMCC).
 - h. Rapid Deployment Force (RDF).

i. Air Force Intelligence.

j. Army Intelligence.

k. Air Force Office of Legislative Liaison.

1. Air Force Inspector General.

m. Army Inspector General.

12. If the answer to question "11" is yes, identify any and all documents, and state the nature and substance of any knowledge.

13. State whether any agency of the defendant

conducted an investigation into the incident of December 30, 1980.

identify each agency.

15. If the answer to question "13" is yes, state whether any documents, tape recordings, notes, photographs, scientific reports and other materials exist.

16. Identify "Project Snowbird", and "Project Moondust".

17. State whether the Nuclear Emergency Search Team (NEST) was involved in any operations in December, 1980.

18. If the answer to question "17" is yes, decribe each operation including, but not limited to its location.

19. State whether the Air Force Inspection and Safety Center (AFISC) keeps reports for incidents involving classified experimental aircraft.

Dated: April 24, 1984 Westchester, New York

PETER A. GERSTEN Attorney-in-Charge 27 North Broadway Tarrytown, NY 10591 (914) 631-1100

To: Frank A. Conforti Assistant United States Attorney Attorney-in-Charge P.O. Box 61129 Houston, Texas 77208

UNITED STATES DISTRIC[®] COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRIC[®] OF TEXAS

BETTY CASH, VICKI LANDRUM, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM OF COLBY LANDRUM

Plaintiffs,

Interrogatories Number H-84-348

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Defendant.

Plaintiffs, by the undersigned counsel, require that the defendant, through its officers and agents, answer the following Interrogatories in writing, under oath and so otherwise directed by Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The right to propound and serve additional Interrogatories is expressly reserved.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

A. Plaintiffs require that all responses or any portion thereof given upon information and belief should be clearly so indicated, together with a statement identifying the source of such information and/or furnishing the grounds for such belief.

As used herein, "document" includes в. raw data, research data, interview reports, books, records, correspondence, telegrams, interview notes, tabulations, compilations, charts, surveys, appraisals, work sheets, 6(b) and other reports, letters, correspondence, notes, pamphlets, leaflets, diaries, telegrams, desk calendars, appointment logs, memoranda of oral conferences, memoranda of conversations, memoranda of meetings, memoranda of telephone calls, Minutes and all transcriptions or reproductions by any means thereof, together with all drafts of any written document, and all other documentary material of any nature whether written, printed, typed, recorded, or other graphic matter, however produced or reproduced in dependant's possession, custody or control, from whatever source obtained and whether or not prepared by defendants. "Document" also includes all records showing the identites of organizations, consultants, scientific and technical personnel who conducted and collected or assembled data or participated in any manner in the preparation of studies, reports, surveys, appraisals or evaluations. "Document" shall refer to originals and all non-identical copies, except that copies which differ by reason of notations made thereon are not considered identical copies.

C. When asked to "identify" a natural person(s) or local entity, or governmental agency, or component of a governmental agency, set forth, unless otherwise requested or previously stated, the full name, residence and military affiliation, including military title and/or position, if any, of such natural person(s), or in the case of a legal entity, its correct title, address, the nature of its principal business and legal basis for its existence, or in the case of a governmental agency, the full name and business address of said agency, and if a component part of said agency is referred to, the name and business address of said

When asked to "identify" a document set forth, unless otherwise requested, the title of the document, its particular nature and date, the identity of its author, the identity of its intended recipient, the identity and location of its present custodian, the file number or other identifying code, the security classification, retrieval data codes: number of copies made: and a description of how the document is stored and retrieved.

D. When asked to "describe", set forth, in addition to the events, acts, occurrences and/or written communications, all oral communications, conferences, meetings or discussions, together with a description as to the date, place, identity (as required by "C" above) of persons present, a brief statement as to what was said or transpired and the identification as required by "C" above, of all documents containing information or prepared on the basis of such oral communications.

E. When asked to "explain", set forth, in addition to its usual meaning all reasons, purposes, causes, surrounding events and circumstances, prior to and subsequent to, as well as during, either the incident which is the subject matter of the question or the document being discussed.

INTERROGATORIES

1. What is a "CH-47" helicopter.

 Identify the manufacturer(s) of CH-47 helicopters.

3. State the number of CH-47 helicopters in operation in December 1980.

4. State the distribution and location of all CH-47 helicopters in operation in December 1980.

5. State the performance capability of CH-47 helicopters including, but not limited to range and fuel capacity.

6. State the number of personnel required to operate a CH-47 helicopter, and the duty and responsibility of each.

7. Identify all personnel qualified to operate CH-47 helicopters prior to January 1981.

8. State the flight plans for all CH-47 helicopters on December 28-31, 1980.

9. State whether the maintenance records of all CH-47 helicopters in operation and use in December 28-31, 1980 are available. personnel q 'ified to operate CH-47 ne (copters prior to January, 1981 are available:

11. State whether any of the following agencies of the defendant have any information, knowledge, or documents concerning the incident referred to in plaintiffs' amended complaint:

a. Department of Energy's Nevada Operations Office.

b. Air Force Inspection and Safety Center (AFISC).

c. The Army agency responsible for avaition

safety.

d. Aerospace Rescue and Recovery Service (ARRS).

e. Secretary of Defense.

f. Joint Chiefs of Staff.

g. National Military Command Center (NMCC).

h. Rapid Deployment Force (RDF).

i. Air Force Intelligence.

j. Army Intelligence.

k. Air Force Office of Legislative Liaison.

1. Air Force Inspector General.

m. Army Inspector General.

12. If the answer to question "11" is yes, identify any and all documents, and state the nature and substance of any knowledge.

13. State whether any agency of the defendant conducted an investigation into the incident of December 30, 1980.

identify each agency.

15. If the answer to question "13" is yes, state whether any documents, tape recordings, notes, photographs, scientific reports and other materials exist.

16. Identify "Project Snowbird", and "Project
Moondust".

17. State whether the Nuclear Emergency Search Team (NEST) was involved in any operations in December, 1980.

18. If the answer to question "17" is yes, decribe each operation including, but not limited to its location.

19. State whether the Air Force Inspection and Safety Center (AFISC) keeps reports for incidents involving classified experimental aircraft.

Dated: April 24, 1984 Westchester, New York

PETER A. GERSTEN Attorney-in-Charge 27 North Broadway Tarrytown, NY 10591 (914) 631-1100

To: Frank A. Conforti Assistant United States Attorney Attorney-in-Charge P.O. Box 61129 Houston, Texas 77208

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BETTY CASH, VICKI LANDRUM, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM OF COLBY LANDRUM

Plaintiffs,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-84-348

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Defendant.

MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

PURSUANT to the Order of this Court dated the 26th day of March, 1984, plaintiffs, through their attorney, PETER A. GERSTEN, hereby set forth a more definite statement of the allegations in the instant action:

1. The "experimental aerial device" referred to in paragraph 3, 5 and 6 of plaintiffs' complaint, and the "unconventional aerial object," the "aerial object," and the "object, " all referred to in paragraph 4 of plaintiffs' complaint, are all one and the same, and hereinafter will be referred to as the "UFO."

2. On information and belief supplied by the plaintiffs, the UFO appeared to be extremely bright, had red and orange flames emanating from its bottom, and was surrounded by a glow. Plaintiff BETTY CASH could not discern any distinct shape. To plaintiff VICKI LANDRUM the UFO appeared to be oblong with a rounded top and a point at the bottom. To plaintiff COLBY LANDRUM the UFO appeared to be diamond-shaped. Furthermore, when plaintiffs came within 133 ft. of the UFO, they experienced intense heat. The UFO, which now appeared to hover approximately 60-to-80 ft. above the roadway, was the size of a standard city water tank. Lastly, plaintiffs heard a beep-beep sound when in the presence of the UFO.

3. See paragraph "2"

4. Plaintiffs did not observe any markings, numbers, symbols, logos, or other designators on the UFO.

5. See paragraph "2." There were no other sounds, smells, visual aspects, or other sensory observations concerning the UFO.

ated: March 23, 1984

11

1 1-

Westchester, N.Y.

Respectfully submitted,

PETER A. GERSTEN Attorney-in-Charge for Plaintiffs 27 North Broadway Tarrytown, N.Y. 10591 (914) 631-1100

William C. Shead, Esq. 2927 Broadway Blvd. Houston, Texas 77017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BETTY CASH, VICKI LANDRUM, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM OF COLBY LANDRUM

Plaintiffs,

v.

i.

11

ļi

N . W.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-84-348

Defendant.

MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

PURSUANT to the Order of this Court dated the 26th day of March, 1984, plaintiffs, through their attorney, PETER A. GERSTEN hereby set forth a more definite statement of the allegations in the instant action:

The "experimental aerial device" referred to in paragra 1. 3, 5 and 6 of plaintiffs' complaint, and the "unconventional aerial object," the "aerial object," and the "object, " all referred to in paragraph 4 of plaintiffs' complaint, are all one and the same, and hereinafter will be referred to as the "UFO."

11 On information and belief supplied by the plaintiffs, 2. the UFO appeared to be extremely bright, had red and orange flames emanating from its bottom, and was surrounded by a glow. Plaintiff BETTY CASH could not discern any distinct shape. To plaintiff VICKI LANDRUM the UFO appeared to be oblong with a rounded top and a point at the bottom. To plaintiff COLBY LANDRUM the UFO appeared to be diamond-shaped. Furthermore, when plaintiffs came within 133 ft. of the UFO, they experienced intense heat. The UFO, which now appeared to hover approximately

60-to-80 ft. above the roadway, was the size of a standard city water tank. Lastly, plaintiffs heard a beep-beep sound when in the presence of the UFO.

3. See paragraph "2""

4. Plaintiffs did not observe any markings, numbers, symbols logos, or other designators on the UFO.

5. See paragraph "2." There were no other sounds, smells, visual aspects, or other sensory observations concerning the UFO.

ated: March 23, 1984

1

Westchester, N.Y.

Respectfully submitted,

PETER A. GERSTEN Attorney-in-Charge for Plaintiffs 27 North Broadway Tarrytown, N.Y. 10591 (914) 631-1100

William C. Shead, Esq. 2927 Broadway Blvd. Houston, Texas 77017

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION CIV 1 (Rev. 10/82) DISTRICT United States District Court SOUTHEAN DISTRICT OF TEXAS Betty Cosh Vitel Conderm TO: (NAME AND ADDRESS OF DE Colby Lindrum formly brack d Unitid Stafor United States & America YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS) Peter A Gersten 6-29 livedi, Touses & Gerston 27 North Broodway Tany town, N.Y. 10591 an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within Sixty days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. - 636-7.14 157-24-2116 1.500 <u>32</u> DATE CLERK Church JESSE E. CLAFE, Clerk AGI NOLE (BY) DEPUTY CLERK AN 1 8 1954 hond

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BETTY CASH VICKI LANDRUM COLBY LANDRUM	Complaint H-84-348
Plaintiffs,	Civil Action, File Number
۷.	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
Defendant	

FIRST COUNT

This action arises under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28
 USC 1346 (b) , 2671 et seq., as hereinafter more fully appears.
 Before this action was instituted, the claims set forth herein
 was presented to the Department of the Air Force on December
 20, 1982. Final denial of these claims, by the Department of
 the Air Force, was issued on September 2, 1983 and this suit was
 commenced within six months of said denial.

2. Plaintiff Betty Cash resides at 209 48th Street, Birmingham, Alabama. Plaintiffs Vicki Landrum and Colby Landrum reside at 506 West Clayton, Dayton, Texas within the Jurisdiction of this Court.

3. During all times herein-after mentioned, defendant owned and operated military CH-47 double rotary type helicopters and an experimental aerial device of a hazardous nature.

On the evening of December 29, 1980 plaintiff Betty 4. Cash was driving an automobile with two passengers, plaintiffs Vicki and Colby Landrum. At approximately 9:00 pm on FM Road 1485, 7 miles outside of New Caney, Texas, plaintiffs observed a large unconventional aerial object which was emitting a glow and flames. Plaintiff Betty Cash was forced to stop her automobile when the aerial object blocked the road. The plaintiffs exited the automobile and observed the object as it hovered at treetop level approximately 135 feet from them. The plaintiffs experienced intense and excruciating heat emanating from the object. After several minutes plaintiffs returned to the vehicle and the aerial object ascended. Plaintiffs then observed the object together with many military appearing helicopters, including several CH 47s double rotary type. The helicopters appeared to be escorting and/or safeguarding the object.

5. At all times hereinbefore mentioned defendant did not use proper care and skill in failing to warn or protect plaintiffs from said experimental aerial device which was clearly hazardous in nature.

6. At all times hereinbefore mentioned, defendant negligently, carelessly, and recklessly allowed said experimental aerial device to fly over a publicly used road and come in contact with plaintiffs.

7. Solely by reason of defendant's carelessnes and neglegence as aforesaid, plaintiff Betty Cash experienced the following symptoms and injuries: Erythema, acute photophthalmia, impaired vision, dystrophic changes in the nails, stomach pains, nausea, vomiting, diar Ba, anorexia, loss of ener , lethergy, scarring and loss of pigmentation, excessive hair loss and hair regrowth of a different texture and cancer and removal of right breast. The extent of permanent disability is unknown at this time and the plaintiff's condition is subject to deterioration. The plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of body and mind and has incurred and continues to incur expenses for medical attention and hospitalization and has been damaged and is entitled to the sum of ten million dollars.

8. The aforesaid injuries were caused soley by the defendant, its agents, servants or employees and without any neglegence on the part of the plaintiff contribuing thereto.

9. If the defendant were a private person, it would be lia-

WHEREFORE plaintiff Betty Cash demands judgement against defendant in the sum of ten million dollars, and costs.

SECOND COUNT

10. Plaintiff Vicki Landrum repeats and realleges each and all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 as well as those contained in paragraph 9 of the First Count of this complaint with like effect as if herein fully repeated.

11. As a result of the above mentioned incident, plaintiff Vicki Landrum, experienced the following symptoms and injuries: photophthalmia, greatly diminished vision, stomach pains, diarrhea, anorexia, ulceration of the arms, scarring and loss of pigmentation, anychomadesis, hair loss and regrowth of a different texture. The extent of permanent disability is unknown at this time and the plaintiff's condition is subject to deterioration. The plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of body and mind and has incurred and continues to incur expenses for medical attention and hospitalization and has been damaged and is entitled to the sum of five million dollars.

12. The aforesaid injuries were caused solely by the defendant, its agents, servants, or employees, and without any neglegence on the part of the plaintiff contributing thereto.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff Vicki Landrum demands judgement against defendant in the sum of five million dollars and costs.

THIRD COUNT

13. Plaintiff Colby Landrum repeats and realleges each and all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 as well as those contained in paragraph 9 of the First Count of this Complaint with like effect as if herein fully repeated.

14. As a result of the above mentioned incident plaintiff Colby Landrum experienced the following symptoms and injuries: erythema, eyes swollen and watery, progressive deterioration of vision, stomach pains, diarrhea, anorexia, weight loss, and an increase in tooth decay. At the time of the incident, the plaintiff became terrified and hysterical. He suffered from nightmares for several weeks thereafter and continues to display extreme anxiety and fear at the sight of helicopters. The extent of permanant disability is unknown at this time and the plaintiffs condition is subject to deterioration. The plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of body and of mind exacarbated by his age, and has incurred and continues to incur expenses for medical attention and hospitalization and has been damaged and is entitled to the sum of five million dollars. 15. The aforesaid injuries were caused solely by the defendant, its agents, servants, or employees, and without any neglegence on the part of the plaintiff contributing thereto.

1

đ

:İ

'i

WHEREFORE Plaintiff Colby Landrum demands judgement against defendant in the sum of five million dollars and costs

Signed:

Peter A. Gersten Attorney in Charge 27 N. Broadway Tarrytown, N.Y. 10591 (914) 631-1100

William C. Shead Local Counsel 2927 Broadway Boulevard Houston, Texas 77017 (713) 649-8944

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

VICKI LANDRUM) Complaint	H-84-348			
COLBY LANDRUM) Civil Action,	, File Number	!		
Plaintiffs,)				
v.	>		1		
)	·	/ •		

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Defendant.

FIRST COUNT

1. This action arises under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 USC 1346 (b), 2671 et seq., as hereinafter more fully appears. Before this action was instituted, the claims set forth herein was presented to the Department of the Air Force on December 20, 1982. Final denial of these claims, by the Department of the Air Force, was issued on September 2, 1983 and this suit was commenced within six months of said denial.

2. Plaintiff Betty Cash resides at 209 48th Street, Birmingham, Alabama. Plaintiffs Vicki Landrum and Colby Landrum reside at 506 West Clayton, Dayton, Texas within the Jurisdiction of this Court.

3. During all times herein-after mentioned, defendant owned and operated military CH-47 double rotary type helicopters and an experimental aerial device of a hazardous nature. and loss of ener ', lethan

On the evening of December 29, 1980 plaintiff Betty 4. Cash was driving an automobile with two passengers, plaintiffs Vicki and Colby Landrum. At approximately 9:00 pm on FM Road 1485, 7 miles outside of New Caney, Texas, plaintiffs observed a large unconventional aerial object which was emitting a glow and flames. Plaintiff Betty Cash was forced to stop her automobile when the aerial object blocked the road. The plaintiffs exited the automobile and observed the object as it hovered at treetop level approximately 135 feet from them. The plaintiffs experienced intense and excruciating heat emanating from the object. After several minutes plaintiffs returned to the vehicle and the aerial object ascended. Plaintiffs then observed the object together with many military appearing helicopters, including several CH 47s double rotary type. The helicopters appeared to be escorting and/or safeguarding the object.

5. At all times hereinbefore mentioned defendant did not use proper care and skill in failing to warn or protect plaintiffs from said experimental aerial device which was clearly hazardous in nature.

6. At all times hereinbefore mentioned, defendant negligently, carelessly, and recklessly allowed said experimental aerial device to fly over a publicly used road and come in contact with plaintiffs.

7. Solely by reason of defendant's carelessnes and neglegence as aforesaid, plaintiff Betty Cash experienced the following symptoms and injuries: Erythema, acute photophthalmia, impaired vision, dystrophic changes in the nails, stomach pains, nausea, The plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of body and mind and has incurred and continues to incur expenses for medical attention and hospitalization and has been damaged and is entitled to the sum of five million dollars.

12. The aforesaid injuries were caused solely by the defendant, its agents, servants, or employees, and without any neglegence on the part of the plaintiff contributing thereto.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff Vicki Landrum demands judgement against defendant in the sum of five million dollars and costs. THIRD COUNT

13. Plaintiff Colby Landrum repeats and realleges each and all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 as well as those contained in paragraph 9 of the First Count of this Complaint with like effect as if herein fully repeated.

14. As a result of the above mentioned incident plaintiff Colby Landrum experienced the following symptoms and injuries: erythema, eyes swollen and watery, progressive deterioration of vision, stomach pains, diarrhea, anorexia, weight loss, and an increase in tooth decay. At the time of the incident, the plaintiff became terrified and hysterical. He suffered from nightmares for several weeks thereafter and continues to display extreme anxiety and fear at the sight of helicopters. The extent of permanant disability is unknown at this time and the plaintiff's condition is subject to deterioration. The plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of body and of mind exacarbated by his age, and has incurred and continues to incur expenses for medical attention and hospitalization and has been damaged and is entitled to the sum of five million dollars. 15. The aforesaid injuries were caused solely by the defendant, its agents, servants, or employees, and without any neglegence on the part of the plaintiff contributing thereto.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff Colby Landrum demands judgement against defen-

dant in the sum of five million dollars and costs

1

. 1

Signed:

Peter A. Gersten Attorney in Charge 27 N. Broadway Tarrytown, N.Y. 10591 (914) 631-1100

William C. Shead Local Counsel 2927 Broadway Boulevard Houston, Texas 77017 (713) 649-8944

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BETTY CASH, VICKI LANDRUM, Individually and as Guardian Ad Litem of COLBY LANDRUM,

Plaintiffs

Civil Action No. H-84-348

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.

and the second and the second second second second second

DECLARATION

In accordance with 28 USC section 1746, the following unsworn declaration is made pertaining to the above captioned case:

I am the Chief, Tactical Aeronautical Systems Division, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Systems, Air Force Systems Command, United States Air Force, and have held this position since May 1982. In the above position I am and have been involved in the Air Force programs for the research, development, testing and evaluation of all United States Air Force craft capable of flight.

I have reviewed the document entitled "More Definite Statement" in the above captioned case. That document is incorporated herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A. I have compared the description of the object in Exhibit A with my knowledge of the inventory of all United States Air Force craft capable of flight. No such craft was owned, operated, or in the inventory of the United States Air Force on or about December 29, 1980. Further, I have never seen nor heard of any such craft described in Exhibit A as being associated with the military service.

، ^مر، ا

I also declare that the CH-47 Helicopter was not in the inventory of the United States Air Force on or about December 29, 1980.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 31 May 1984.

WILLIAM E. KREBS, Colonel, USAF Chief, Tactical Aeronautical Systems Division DCS Systems, Air Force Systems Command

an en antigen fan de skriver fan de

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BETTY CASH, VICKI LANDRUM, Individually and as Guardian Ad Litem of COLBY LANDRUM,

Plaintiffs

Civil Action No. H-84-348

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.

v

DECLARATION

In accordance with 28 USC section 1746, the following unsworn declaration is made pertaining to the above captioned case:

I am the Chief, Tactical Aeronautical Systems Division, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Systems, Air Force Systems Command, United States Air Force, and have held this position since May 1982. In the above position I am and have been involved in the Air Force programs for the research, development, testing and evaluation of all United States Air Force craft capable of flight.

I have reviewed the document entitled "More Definite Statement" in the above captioned case. That document is incorporated herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A. I have compared the description of the object in Exhibit A with my knowledge of the inventory of all United States Air Force craft capable of flight. No such craft was owned, operated, or in the inventory of the United States Air Force on or about December 29, 1980. Further, I have never seen nor heard of any such craft described in Exhibit A as being associated with the military service.

> ی میں میں میں میں

I also declare that the CH-47 Helicopter was not in the inventory of the United States Air Force on or about December 29, 1980.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 31 May 1984.

WILLIAM E. KREBS, Colonel, USAF Chief, Tactical Aeronautical Systems Division DCS Systems, Air Force Systems Command

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BETTY CASH, VICKI LANDRUM,) Individually and as Guardian) Ad Litem of COLBY LANDRUM,) Plaintiffs,) v.) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Defendant.) H-84-348

DECLARATION

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. section 1746 the following unsworn declaration is made pertaining to the above captioned case:

I am the Acting Chief, Aviation Systems Division, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Research, Development, and Acquisition, United States Army. Prior to assuming that position this month I was the Deputy Chief, Aviation Systems Division, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Research, Development, and Acquisition, United States Army and had held that position since 1974. I am also an aeronautical engineer having received a Master of Science degree in aeronautical engineering. In the above positions I am and have been responsible for the research, development, testing, and evaluation of all Army craft capable of flight and for the Army's aviation procurement appropriation. In these capacities I am and have been familiar with all Army craft capable of flight since 1974.

I have reviewed the document entitled "More Definite Statement" in the above captioned case. That document is incorporated herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A. I have compared the description of the object in Exhibit A with my knowledge of the inventory of all Army craft capable of flight. No such craft was owned, operated, or in the inventory of the United States Army on or about December 29, 1980. Further, I have never seen nor heard of any such craft described in Exhibit A as being associated with the military service.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 19 April 1984.

ihurd I Ballard

RICHARD L. BALLARD Acting Chief, Aviation Systems Division ODCSRDA

Betty Cash, Vicki Landrum Colby Landrum v United States of America

29 Dec 80 \$20,000,000.00

USDC SD TX Civil No. H-84-348 Served: 18 Jan 84

Personal Injury

\$20,000,000.00

Plaintiffs alleges personal injury as a result of citing a UFO.

•

STAFF ATTORNEY: Capt-Stubbeebine

MAJ STALLS

1991 Obisposition: Claims denied 20 May 83 and 21 Aug 83. Case dismissed Oct 86.

STATUS IN CAMP:	A3/B3	at	4	31	Jan	84
CLOSED IN CAMP:						
COURT DATE/CLOSED:						<u> </u>
DISP:						
AMOUNT:						

CLAIN NO: OT/B/BJHZ/83/00225/NA, A/B/C/

•

. . .

S-84-103

÷

.